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Hizbollah–Syrian Intelligence Affairs: A Marriage of Convenience
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Abstract

Since the 1980s, Hizbollah has emerged as the guardian of Lebanon's Shi'a and a stalking horse for Iran. Syria, though allied with Tehran, seeks to manage Hizbollah's freedom of action in Lebanon and is eyed cautiously in Damascus. Hizbollah has managed to maintain independence from these Syrian efforts because of both Lebanese Shi'a religious élan and the protection given Hizbollah by its Shi'a allies in Iran.

Introduction

Syria is historically and geographically ill-defined, although an identifiable Syrian ethnicity can be traced to the Biblical era. Nonetheless, the contemporary Syrian state deems Lebanon little more than an errant Syrian province, albeit one that has been at the economic heart of Syria since Ottoman times. Syria's political objectives are to maintain authority over the Lebanese state and to manipulate both Hizbollah (“Party of God”) and the larger Lebanese polity to Syrian advantage. On the other hand, Iran—with an historic connection to the Shi'a of Lebanon—seeks ostensibly to further the Shi'a Islamist revolution. Iran and Syria mutually desire to use Lebanon and Hizbollah as an asset in their own confrontations with Israel.

Beginning with the outbreak of Lebanon's civil war in 1975, Syria worked to penetrate and influence terrorist organizations and militias operating in Lebanon with the aim of furthering Syria’s political domination. Syria
has been unsuccessful in its efforts to penetrate and control Hizbollah, due—in part—to Iran's interests in the Shi'a inhabitants of Lebanon. The *modus vivendi* (understanding) that finally emerged was one of Syrian tolerance for Hizbollah's relative independence in return for Hizbollah's facilitation of larger Syrian interests regarding Lebanon and Israel.

Syria's support of Hizbollah is an element of the marriage of convenience that characterizes the larger Iranian-Syrian entente. The changing nature of Iran's relationship with Syria mirrors, to a certain extent, the relationship between Hizbollah's security apparatus and Syrian intelligence. The genuine alliance between Hizbollah and Iran can be juxtaposed against Syria's desire to control Hizbollah activity in Lebanon. Essentially, Syria tolerates and supports Hizbollah's activities in Lebanon because Hizbollah furthers Syria's political and policy objectives. Syria's ability to attenuate the arms flow to Hizbollah is its primary source of influence. That influence is limited, however, by Damascus's need to maintain good relations with Tehran.

Syrian backing for Hizbollah operations has been characterized by a punctuated rhythm in the decades following Hizbollah's establishment. Syrian support was greatest between the Iranian creation of Hizbollah in July of 1982 through the Hizbollah operations aimed at driving the Multinational Forces out of Lebanon two years later. Following the withdrawal of Multinational Forces in 1984, Syria attenuated its support for Hizbollah operations as it altered its intentions regarding Israel and Lebanon. When Syria wants to intimidate non-Shi'a Lebanese or Israel, it provides greater support for Hizbollah operations. Once those objectives are achieved, Syria reduces its support for Hizbollah. When Syrian assistance to Hizbollah is otherwise minimal, it nonetheless facilitates logistical support, in part, to maintain good relations with Tehran. The current Adra facility near Damascus, for example, is a case in point. It is within Syrian borders but acts as a Hizbollah arms depot and trans-shipment point, as well as a Hizbollah training facility. Syria's physical control of the facility allows it to attenuate the arms flow to Hizbollah in Lebanon. This nominal control, however, is undermined by the fact that the arms are financed by Tehran. Therefore, Damascus's ability to exercise its authority over the facility and Hizbollah is truncated, lest it incur Tehran's wrath.
The Foundational Syrian Intelligence Apparatus and Its Operations in Lebanon

While Syria managed to subsume its clan, tribal, and religious divisions under an authoritarian and secular Ba'athist state by the 1960s, these factional loyalties continue to persist in its intelligence services. Syrian intelligence originated during the French Mandate (1923–1943) and was referred to as the Deuxième Bureau until 1969. The modern Syrian intelligence services emerged in the 1970s under (then) Soviet tutelage. They still reflect Soviet influence, albeit in a particularly Syrian political and cultural context. The historic heart of Syria’s praetorian state during the regime of Hafez Assad (1971–2000) was a balanced triumvirate consisting of the General Intelligence Directorate (Idarat al-Amn al-'Amm), General Military Intelligence (Shu'bat al-Mukhabarat al-'Askariyya), and Air Force Intelligence (Idarat al-Mukhabarat al-Jawiyya). Air Force Intelligence is the smallest intelligence organ, but its role is significant in liaising with Syrian-sponsored terrorist organizations.

Syria's foundational intelligence agencies are supported by derivative agencies in a security network whose primary imperative is the protection of Assad’s (both Hafez's and Bashar's) regime. The primary external focus of Syrian intelligence is on the polities of Lebanon. During the last quarter of the twentieth century in Lebanon, Syria pioneered and perfected the operational use of state-sponsored terrorism as a covert tool of state policy. Elements of Syrian Military and Air Force Intelligence, in their early institutional iterations, created regularized procedures whereby Damascus would approve political targets and task a terrorist group operating in Lebanon, but dominated by Syria (such as the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine General Command [PFLP-GC]) as external assets. The PFLP-GC, in this example, would then carry out the operational particulars with Syrian intelligence support, thereby insulating the Syrian government from direct culpability.

The ability of Syrian intelligence organs to infiltrate organizations in Lebanon is facilitated by a border that is somewhat ambiguous because of the reluctance of Damascus to completely demarcate the boundary or recognize Lebanese sovereignty. In essence, the border is merely an administrative division between eastern Lebanon and Syria proper, although there are two major recognized crossing points: at Jusia between Baalbek, Lebanon and Homs, Syria; and at Masnaa on the Beirut-to-Damascus highway. Several dozen additional informal crossing points are used primarily by smugglers. Individual Syrian officers administering the post-1976 occupation of Lebanon were often primarily concerned with per-
sonal enrichment, and only secondarily concerned with either Syria's Lebanese interests or the confrontation with Israel. Syrian occupation authorities operated what amounted to a tax-farming system in the Bekka and allowed narcotics production to surge from regional to global significance while funneling hundreds of millions of dollars into the larger Syrian economy. In addition to profiting from other kinds of criminal activity, Syria used Lebanese front men and Lebanese banks to launder money procured from corruption, and to facilitate particular terrorist operations. Since the nominal Syrian state lacked a private banking system, Damascus was quite dependent on the Lebanese banks for both normal economic transactions and for more nefarious Syrian activities.

**Lebanese Militias as Syrian Instruments**

The Syrian Social Nationalist Party (SSNP) was founded in Beirut and has traditionally maintained a substantial presence in Lebanon, along with several large, although factionalized, militias wholly beholden to Damascus. The Bath’ist foreign policy of modern Syria effectively incorporated part of the pan-Syrian ideology expressed decades earlier by the SSNP, arguing that geographic Syria should include present-day Israel/Palestine, Lebanon, and Jordan. The SSNP’s significant links to many of the region’s political radicals facilitated enhanced operational flexibility of Syrian intelligence interactions with terrorist organizations in Lebanon. The ability of Syrian intelligence to access the SSNP provided Syria’s organs with an additional entrée into some of the major Palestinian militias, which Syria sought to infiltrate in order to bolster the Syrian intelligence apparatus.

Syria has used the SSNP as a level to manipulate various factions and militias in Lebanon, including Lebanon’s Shi’a community. In 1986, for example, Hafez Assad deployed the SSNP militias to rein in Hizbollah, lest it threaten the continued viability of Shi’a Lebanese Resistance Detachments (aka *Amal al-Islamiyah*, or AMAL, which also means “hope” in Arabic), and thereby degrade Syrian influence over the Shi’a population. Hizbollah’s ability to extend its *wasta*, or influence, deep into the Shi’a clans was in direct competition with Syria’s effort to dominate the larger Lebanese polity. Assad used the SSNP to enhance his authority in Lebanon, while avoiding a direct confrontation with Iran that might have ensued had Syrian troops confronted Hizbollah directly. Syria and Iran then negotiated a cease-fire and *modus vivendi* between AMAL and Hizbollah, easing tensions until the T’iaf agreement ended the larger civil war in 1989. Syria also desired access to the Palestinian camps, whose extraterritoriality established by the Cairo Agreement was an intrinsic affront to the authority of Damascus. In addition to the SSNP,
organizations like the PFLP-GC furthered Syrian infiltration efforts. Although long beholden to Syrian intelligence, the PFLP-GC nevertheless shed its Marxist pretenses and embraced both Iran and Syria when Tehran began to fund the organization. The result was a PFLP-GC with some factions beholden to Iran and others more subservient to Damascus. The PFLP-GC became a Syrian tool, particularly in the Borj Al-Baraïneh camp in southern Beirut, while other PFLP-GC factions cooperated with Iranian intelligence.

Syrian influence in the Hizbollah-dominated Bekka was enhanced through the presence of Syrian personnel in PFLP-GC facilities in Taalabaya, Koussaya (near Zahleh), and in the western Bekka area of Kamed Al-Lawz. Assad likewise used what was originally an Iraqi Shi’a Islamist Dawah organization to further its influence among Lebanon’s Shi’a. Created by Baqr al-Sadr (a cousin of Musa Sadr) in 1958, Lebanon’s al-Dawah (the Islamic Call) was formed in the late 1960s and officially dissolved itself in 1980 in response to Khomeini’s admonitions concerning Western-style party organization. There was little practical consequence, as al-Dawah’s members were subsumed into the larger Hizbollah movement. Those Dawah affiliates retaining less formal adherence to Dawah ideology tended to follow Hussein Fadlallah. They became almost completely infiltrated by Syrian intelligence by the late 1990s, although they continued training at Hizbollah camps until they created their own facilities in 1996. Additionally, Syria exercised its influence through compromised organizations. In so doing, it gained an independent modality with which to enforce its authority over recalcitrant Lebanese players through an autonomously operated system of detention centers in Beirut and Tripoli; in the Bekka towns of Anjar and Chtaura; and in prisons located at Palmyra and Mezza (in Damascus).

Iran’s Sway with Lebanon’s Hizbollah

A cosmopolitan Lebanese state created under French auspices was intended to protect Maronite co-religionists. Paris carved a Christian-Arab state out of greater Syria in the environs of Mt. Lebanon. This Christian-dominated Lebanon was forged with a confessional system controlled by a Maronite-Sunni axis to the disadvantage of Lebanon’s Shi’a. Lebanon’s Shi’a, historically affiliated with the Twelver Shi’a of Iran, was relegated to third-class citizenship in their own country. The confessional system stratified Lebanese political institutions and civil society in ways that became increasingly untenable over time, ultimately leading to civil war and Syrian intervention. This was followed by two Israeli invasions aimed at settling accounts with the Palestinians. The
initial Israeli invasion is noteworthy for the establishment of an Israeli-occupied "security zone" roughly fifteen kilometers deep along Lebanon’s southern border. In 1982 Israel invaded Lebanon in a more substantive way, intent on liquidating the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO) infrastructure in Lebanon. While the Shi’a of Lebanon’s southern region were in regular conflict with the Palestinians, the Israelis clashed with the Shi’a as well, precipitating Iranian intervention. Among the Shi’a, the 1982 Israel-Lebanon war catalyzed the emergence of Islamic AMAL and its coalition with the followers of Sheikh Subhi al-Tufayli and other Shi’a factions in Lebanon’s Bekka Valley. The Sepahe al-Quds (Jerusalem) elements of Iran’s Pasdaran (Padan-e Inqilal-e Islami, or Revolutionary Guards), in coordination with the Iranian Embassies in Beirut and Damascus, deployed in eastern Bekka in July 1982. The Sepahe al-Quds built on this AMAL coalition and, thus Hizbollah was born.

The first iteration of Hizbollah included a security apparatus modeled on the AMAL security service and, more generally, Fatah’s Jihaz al-Razd. Hizbollah’s initial operations were consummated using multiple organizational descriptors for this security apparatus. Hussein al-Khalil first established Hizbollah’s security apparatus in the Bekka during the summer of 1982, coordinating operations with AMAL before being formally subordinated to Hizbollah under Hussein Musawi after 1984. The core Hizbollah security apparatus functions were configured and nurtured by elements of the Bekka’s Pasdaran Quds. Hizbollah’s initial creation in the summer of 1982 under Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC) auspices resulted in necessarily limited operations aimed at the coalescence of Hizbollah’s constituent entities.

The first military efforts carried out with these proto-Hizbollah fighters were limited and intended primarily to gain control of territory in the Bekka Valley. These coalescing Hizbollah fighters were primarily opposed by scattered AMAL fighters and minor Bekka militias associated with existing clans. The Israeli focus on the Palestinians was so intense that they missed the significance of the “Party of God.” These early Hizbollah operations were organized with fighters drawn primarily from the Hamadi and Musawi clans, using various organizational names. Between 1983 and about 1985, Sheikh al-Musawi became operational head of the emergent security apparatus, with the Musawi and Hamadi clans remaining as core clans of the embryonic Hizbollah organization.

Hizbollah’s security apparatus, as it developed, reflected the configuration, almost in a geographic sense, of the principal Shi’a clans in each of Lebanon’s three distinct regions of Shi’a dominance, although the regional organizations in the middle-1980s included elements of other
clan-based criminal networks. The geographic regions themselves were subdivided into sectors, creating a compartmentalized operational environment. Hizbollah developed multiple and overlapping security organs derived from the original security apparatus. These subsidiary organs had primary responsibility for maintaining Hizbollah’s organizational integrity as the militia developed with changing security needs and relationships. Hizbollah’s operational security arm is strictly separated from the organization’s political and military wings. That separation is more complete than the separation between most state political institutions and security services. Consequently, Hizbollah’s political wing’s ability to exercise administrative control over the military wing and its security organs has always been problematic.

At the end of Lebanon’s civil war in 1989, Hizbollah created a dual policy in Lebanon. Hizbollah’s military wing, the Islamic Resistance, continued operations aimed at the Israeli occupation of South Lebanon and provided armed protection for the Shi’a community in Lebanon. The logistical support for Hizbollah’s Islamic Resistance was provided by Iran and facilitated through Syria. In the second track, Hizbollah entered Lebanese parliamentary politics, abiding by the political norms established with the 1989 Peace of Ti’af. Lebanese political stability increased, resulting in an evolution of Hizbollah’s security apparatus to include security functions supporting the organization’s social, political, and military operations. With Hizbollah’s emergence as a political party representing the bulk of Lebanon’s Shi’a, the security apparatus accrued some functions analogous to an Interior Ministry’s. Police functions became necessary to maintain both the integrity of the party and Lebanon’s Shi’a body politic in territories controlled by Hizbollah.

In the 1990s, local military operations focused on Israel and its occupation zone in the south. The security apparatus managed overall coordination and maintained organizational integrity, enhancing guerrilla resistance until the Israelis were driven out in 2000. Syria maintained an intelligence presence in every major Lebanese city, including a substantial one in the Ramlet al-Baida district of Beirut. Kan’an’s replacement as head of Syrian military intelligence in Lebanon, General Rustom Ghazaleh, maintained a headquarters staff of about 100 Syrian military intelligence personnel in the small eastern Bekka village of Anjar prior to Syria’s formal withdrawal of troops in 2005.

After the Syrian withdrawal in 2005, Hizbollah adopted a new approach much more conducive to orderly governance which included giving tacit approval for the Lebanese army to act against criminal gangs in Brital (which had become a car-theft capital) and in the Hizbollah stronghold at
The security apparatus thereby separated traditional police functions from roles supporting military and covert operations. Following the summer war in 2006, Hizbollah's role shifted more to one of deterring Israeli incursion rather than resisting Israeli occupation. The incorporation of Hizbollah into the Lebanese polity as a formal partner in Lebanon's government, with ministerial responsibilities, concomitantly made it a greater target for Syrian manipulation as Damascus sought to maintain control over the Lebanese state.

Iran and the Narrow Hizbollah-Syrian Entente

Syria's troop withdrawal from Lebanon in 2005 was effectively reversed because of the efforts of the former head of Syrian Military intelligence, General Asif Shawkat, who was promoted to deputy chief of staff of the armed forces in 2010. Yet Hizbollah's liaison with the Syrians was more problematic than with Iran's Quds force and the Ministry of Intelligence and Security (MOIS). Former Syrian President Hafez Assad facilitated Pasdaran operations in the Bekka to immunize his troops in Lebanon against Shi'a militants. This was important, with Assad supporting both the Shi'a AMAL and the Shi'ite Hizbollah, even given the greater Alawite affinity for the secularists of AMAL. The small Alawite community in Lebanon was concentrated in Tripoli and Akkar in the north, and historically its members joined secular militias and parties, including Lebanon's Ba'ath and Lebanese Community Party.

The major developments in the region since the summer war of 2006 have been the increasing role of Hizbollah in Syrian military doctrine and the growing integration of Iran and Hizbollah into the Syrian command and control apparatus. Hizbollah, while maintaining some features from its origins as a liberation organization, has developed many characteristics of traditional military organizations. Iran has facilitated this development, supporting the creation of several brigade-style organizations, as well as providing advanced infantry weapons and shoulder-fired surface-to-air missiles. Post-war Iranian logistical support has resulted in a Hizbollah rocket arsenal nearly three times its size prior to the summer war, with a larger fraction of those rockets capable of carrying a more substantial payload with much more precise targeting. The number and quality of these rockets, and increasing confidence in Hizbollah's ability to use them effectively, are acting as significant deterrents against an Israeli invasion of Lebanon.

Hizbollah is also playing an operational role in the integration of some channels of the joint Iranian-Syrian command apparatus.
Iran maintain a common interest in monitoring events in Lebanon and Israel. Consequently, an important element of the joint command apparatus has been the construction of electronic listening posts. Syria and Iran agreed to build four such signals intelligence stations and constructed them in the Golan Heights, the Al-Jazirah and Bab Al-Hawa’ regions in northern Syria, and the Abu Kamal region of northeastern Syria.41

While it would be a vast overstatement to imply that the Syrian and Iranian military commands are wholly integrated, it is nonetheless appropriate to acknowledge a significant level of cooperation in Lebanon and in confrontations with Israel in that theater.42 Hizbollah's most notable role in this integration has been in expanding the scope of communication and signals intelligence. That scope ranges from support functions, such as guarding approaches to the Mt. Sannine and Mt. Barukh radar stations operated by Syria and Iran, to integrating Iranian intelligence personnel into Hizbollah operational command posts that engage Israeli military communications (as occurred during the 2006 summer war).

Conclusions

Syrian practice, since the beginning of the Lebanese civil war in 1975, has been to infiltrate and manipulate terrorist organizations and militias of all political stripes with the objective of turning them into Syrian assets. Such assets further Syrian policy in its ongoing confrontation with Israel. Syria has been unable however, to turn Hizbollah into a wholly owned asset, due to both the Shi’a religious élan and the protection provided to Hizbollah by its alliance with Iran. Hizbollah has evolved into a component of the Lebanese state, facilitating both Syrian and Iranian objectives while at the same time maintaining its autonomy. The modus vivendi is Syria’s tolerance for Hizbollah independence and some Iranian role in Lebanon in return for Hizbollah support of larger Syrian interests regarding Lebanon and Israel.
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