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Regulation of ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase gene expression in natural phytoplankton communities. I. Diel rhythms
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ABSTRACT: Marine phytoplankton fix carbon dioxide primarily through the action of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RubisCO), the first enzyme in the Calvin Cycle. Although the regulation of this enzyme has been studied in algal cultures and higher plants, little is known regarding RubisCO regulation in natural phytoplankton populations. To determine if natural communities of phytoplankton utilize transcriptional regulation to control RubisCO expression, we investigated the diel relationship between carbon fixation and RubisCO large subunit (rbcL) transcript levels, rbcL DNA, chlorophyll a, autofluorescent cell counts and bacterial direct counts for natural communities of the southeastern Gulf of Mexico. Studies were performed with natural phytoplankton populations in Lagrangian studies or deck-top incubators as well as with a Prochlorococcus isolate in culture from the North Pacific Ocean. rbcL mRNA and DNA were detected by stringent hybridization using an antisense rbcL RNA gene probe originating from Synechococcus sp. PCC 6301. For natural communities, carbon fixation maxima (-0.1 to 0.7 µg C l⁻¹ h⁻¹) occurred from early morning to mid afternoon, with minimal values at night. Peak levels of rbcL mRNA (3.6 to 22.2 ng l⁻¹) almost always coincided with the time of maximum carbon fixation and were positively correlated. When natural phytoplankton populations or the Prochlorococcus culture were maintained in continuous illumination for 24 h, the same diel pattern of RubisCO regulation was observed, implying that diel entrained rhythms in rbcL transcription occurred. The results thus indicate that natural phytoplankton communities appear to regulate carbon fixation at least in part by transcriptional control of RubisCO synthesis and that such patterns appear to be rhythmic in nature.
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INTRODUCTION

The majority of oceanic carbon fixation results from the ability of phytoplankton to perform photosynthesis. Phytoplankton productivity is responsible for nearly 40% of the annual global conversion of inorganic carbon to organic carbon (Falkowski 1994). In phytoplankton the enzyme primarily responsible for CO₂ fixation is ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (E.C. 4.1.1.39, RubisCO). The regulation of photosynthetic carbon fixation in response to time of day or diel changes in insolation in natural phytoplankton populations is poorly understood. We have become interested in understanding the regulation of this enzyme in an effort to elucidate factors affecting CO₂ fixation in the oceans and on the continental shelves.

In almost all phytoplankton, certain phototrophic and chemoautotrophic bacteria, and aquatic and terrestrial vascular plants, RubisCO exists as a multi-subunit protein comprised of 8 large and 8 small subunits (L₈S₈). The large and small subunits are encoded...
by the rbcL (cbbL) and rbcS (cbbS) genes, respectively. In a previous study it has been shown that there is a direct relationship between the level of rbcL mRNA and the carbon fixing ability of phytoplankton (Pichard et al. 1993). A linear relationship has also been observed for carbon fixation and RubisCO protein levels in Thalassiosira weissflogii (Orellana & Perry 1992), although RubisCO enzyme activity has not always been found to be as tightly coupled to maximum photosynthetic activity for natural communities (Glover & Morris 1979).

Diel or diurnal variations in photosynthetic carbon metabolism have been observed both for natural phytoplankton communities in the field and in cultures of phytoplankton isolates (Doty & Oguri 1957). Several field studies have shown that carbon fixation, photosynthetic capacity ($P_{\text{max}}$) and photosynthetic efficiency of natural communities change during the day with minimum values occurring during the night and maximum values occurring either before or after solar noon (Legendre et al. 1988). Eukaryotic phytoplankton (members of the Dinophyceae, Phaeophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, and Chlorophyta) have been shown to exhibit entrained rhythms in photosynthesis (Sweeney 1983). Diel rhythms in cell division, nifH and rbcL have been observed in Synechococcus strains (Grobbelaar et al. 1986, Sweeney & Borgeese 1969, Huang & Chow 1990, Chow & Tabita 1994). Members of this cyanobacterial genus are particularly abundant in natural phytoplankton communities of the open ocean and can be responsible for up to 80% of the water column primary production (Takahashi & Hori 1984, Iturriaga & Mitchell 1986). Similarly, members of the genus Prochlorococcus have recently been found to be as abundant (or more so) in open ocean environments (Chisholm et al. 1988, Campbell et al. 1994a).

We have presented preliminary evidence for diel regulation of rbcL transcription in natural phytoplankton communities in the oligotrophic Gulf of Mexico (Pichard & Paul 1991, Pichard et al. 1993). The present study was undertaken to expand on these initial findings and to extend these studies to determine the effect of continuous illumination or light:dark cycling on RubisCO regulation in phytoplankton.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. Surface seawater samples (5 m depth) were collected at several stations in the oligotrophic Gulf of Mexico as shown in Fig. 1. Stn 1 (26°58.3′ N, 83°25′ W) was the site of a Lagrangian diel study in which a patch of surface water was marked by a buoy and followed over 48 h. Sampling was performed using a submersible pump to fill a 20 l acid washed polycarbonate carboy. At Stn 2 (26°50′ N, 83°49′ W) a 200 l polyethylene tank was filled to 150 l using a submersible pump lowered over the side of the ship. The tank was kept under natural irradiance reduced to 10% (~140 μE m⁻² s⁻¹) surface irradiance by 2 layers of neutral density screening. The diel rhythm experiment was carried out at Stn 3 (24°11′ N, 82°30′ W). 150 l of surface (5 m depth) seawater was collected using 30 l niskin bottles and used to fill two 200 l tanks covered with black plastic. Illumination was provided by submersible fluorescent lamps (NEC biolux 20 W fluorescent lights, 71 μE m⁻² s⁻¹), one tank being continuously illuminated and the other tank placed on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle. The temperature in tanks in all experiments was controlled to within ±1°C using a refrigerated cooling recirculator (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA, USA) with coolant recirculated through 1/16 inch (1.27 cm) diameter Tygon tubing [1/16 inch (0.16 cm) tube wall] in each tank. 10 l samples were collected from each tank by siphoning into 20 l acid washed polycarbonate carboys.

rbcL mRNA analysis. Surface seawater and tanks were sampled at 4 h intervals and seawater collected for RNA and DNA analysis was first treated with diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC; Sigma Chemical Co., St.
Counting efficiency was determined by scintillation counting of a $^{14}$C standard (Wang et al. 1975). Sample carbonate alkalinity and total carbon dioxide content were determined by titration with 0.01 N HCl (Strickland & Parsons 1968). Total carbon dioxide and incorporated $^{14}$C were used to determine the rate of carbon fixation for each sample.

**Autofluorescent and DAPI stained cell counts.** Autofluorescent picoplankton (orange-yellow fluorescing and red fluorescing cells) were enumerated by the method of Vernet et al. (1990). Samples were filtered onto Igalan black-stained, 25 mm 0.22 pm Nuclepore filters in triplicate. The filters were then placed onto a microscope slide, 8 µl of glycerol was placed between the filter and the cover slip and the filter stored in the dark and counted on board ship within several hours. Cells were enumerated using blue light excitation (450 nm) and 400x magnification with an Olympus BH-2 epifluorescence microscope. For DAPI stained counts of total bacteria 20 ml samples were collected and preserved with filtered formalin to await onshore processing. Cells were stained with DAPI and enumerated under UV light using epifluorescence microscopy (Paul 1982).

**Chlorophyll a analysis.** Samples for chl a were filtered through Whatman GF/F filters and the filters stored at -20°C until further analysis. The chl a was extracted in 100% methanol and quantified fluorometrically using a Turner Designs fluorometer (Holm-Hansen & Riemann 1978).

**Prochlorophyte culture and diel study.** A Prochlorococcus sp. isolated from Stn ALOHA was grown in batch culture using K/2 PC media (Liu et al. 1995a) under blue light illumination at 10 µE m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Prochlorococcus cell concentrations were determined by flow cytometry (Liu et al. 1995a). Duplicate 2 ml samples were collected by microcentrifugation and the cell pellet extracted in the same manner as for natural phytoplankton samples. rbcL mRNA detection and quantification was performed as with natural samples.

**Statistical analysis.** Regression analysis (Zar 1984) was used to determine sample rbcL mRNA and DNA concentrations from standard curves. Correlation analysis (Pearson correlation coefficient) was performed using Statgraphics Plus for Windows version 1.0 (Manugistics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA).

**RESULTS**

The results of sampling offshore stations in the Gulf of Mexico for diel variations in cyanobacterial/chlorophyte-like hybridizable rbcL mRNA, DNA and other parameters are shown in Figs. 2 to 5. A typical dot blot for extracted rbcL mRNA from natural phytoplankton
Table 1: Pearson correlation coefficients and significance level (p-value) between rates of carbon fixation and other measured parameters in the various diel experiments indicated. Significance is indicated by a p-value < 0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Stn 1</th>
<th>Stn 2</th>
<th>Stn 3 Lt/Dk</th>
<th>Stn 3 Lt/Lt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>0.267 (0.400)</td>
<td>-0.171 (0.686)</td>
<td>0.450 (0.278)</td>
<td>0.472 (0.283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>0.419 (0.175)</td>
<td>0.073 (0.864)</td>
<td>0.0576 (0.902)</td>
<td>0.0005 (0.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDC</td>
<td>0.0439 (0.892)</td>
<td>-0.236 (0.574)</td>
<td>-0.371 (0.412)</td>
<td>-0.035 (0.940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synech.</td>
<td>0.121 (0.708)</td>
<td>0.0342 (0.936)</td>
<td>0.268 (0.562)</td>
<td>0.440 (0.323)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-0.339 (0.412)</td>
<td>0.245 (0.597)</td>
<td>0.416 (0.354)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a</td>
<td>-0.053 (0.870)</td>
<td>0.247 (0.556)</td>
<td>0.251 (0.587)</td>
<td>0.396 (0.378)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>0.778 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.775 (0.024)</td>
<td>0.578 (0.114)</td>
<td>0.520 (0.182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>0.424 (0.169)</td>
<td>-0.059 (0.885)</td>
<td>0.481 (0.171)</td>
<td>0.003 (0.999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Autoradiograph of dot blots of rbcL mRNA from 1000 ml samples of seawater collected from the diel rhythm tanks at Stn 3. Paired dots represent duplicate samplings. Tanks were either continuously illuminated for 24 h or illuminated on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle. All blots were probed with the antisense rbcL gene probe. U: untreated, D: DNase treated, R: RNase treated.
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Fig. 4. Diel variations in \( rcbl \) mRNA, \( ^{14}\text{C} \) carbon fixation, and other parameters for the natural phytoplankton community in the tank study at Stn 2.

(A) Diel variations in activity parameters of \( rcbl \) mRNA and net carbon fixation. (B) Diel variations in \( rcbl \) DNA and chl a concentration.

(C) Diel changes in rates of \( ^{14}\text{C} \) carbon fixation in light and dark bottle incubations throughout the study. (D) Diel variations in cells counts of bacteria and yellow-orange (Synechococcus) and red autofluorescing cells.

20:00 h time point, suggesting that another mechanism of regulation (perhaps activation of previously synthesized RubisCO) was occurring.

Diel patterns of \( rcbl \) mRNA synthesis and carbon fixation were also observed in samples incubated in deck-top tanks under natural illumination (Fig. 4). In this study the highest correlation was seen between carbon fixation rates and \( rcbl \) mRNA, \( r = 0.775 \) with the peak activity in both parameters observed at 10:00 h. RubisCO large subunit mRNA ranged from 0.0444 to 3.626 ng l\(^{-1} \) while net carbon fixation varied from 0.195 to 0.701 \( \mu \text{g C l}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \) (Fig. 4A). As the study progressed, rates of dark carbon fixation increased to levels comparable to light carbon fixation. Initially dark carbon fixation amounted to only 0.07% of carbon fixation rates in the light but increased to 76% of the light rate towards the end of the study (Fig. 4C). Levels of \( rcbl \) DNA decreased -3-fold from the start of the study to the end while chl a nearly doubled rising from 0.141 to 0.238 \( \mu \text{g l}^{-1} \) (Fig. 4C). Synechococcus concentrations remained at a relatively constant level throughout the study (Fig. 4C). In contrast, red autofluorescing cells decreased by approximately 1 order of magnitude (from 1.20 to 0.055 \( \times 10^3 \) cells ml\(^{-1} \)) by 16 h into the study and then rising again over the next 12 h to near initial levels. Bacterial direct counts in the tank nearly doubled from start to finish and displayed a correlation coefficient to dark carbon fixation rates of \( r = 0.684 \).

Figs. 2 & 5 show the results of a diel study in natural populations in 2 deck-top tank incubators illuminated with artificial lighting, one on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle and the other continuously illuminated. Variations in \( rcbl \) mRNA (Fig 2) levels were nearly identical between the 2 illumination schemes, displaying highest values in the light and ranging from 0.129 to 22.17 ng l\(^{-1} \) (Fig. 5A, D). Carbon fixation rates displayed peak activity either at or 4 h after the peak in \( rcbl \) mRNA (Fig. 5A, D). In both tanks chl a decreased slightly from start to finish while \( rcbl \) DNA remained relatively the same at the start and end of the incubation (Fig. 5B, E). In both tanks, both red autofluorescent cell counts and Synechococcus counts decreased over the duration of the experiment. This demonstrates that changes were occurring in the composition of the microbial community over time in these enclosed water masses.

Fig. 6 displays the results of a study using the Prochlorococcus sp. culture maintained on a 12:12 h light:dark regimen or continuous illumination after
Fig. 5. Diel variations in rbcL mRNA, 14C carbon fixation, and other parameters for the natural phytoplankton community in the circadian rhythm study conducted at Stn 3. (A to C) Results for the 12:12 h light:dark illuminated tank; (D to F) results for the continuously illuminated tank. (A, D) Diel variations in activity parameters of rbcL mRNA and carbon fixation in the light cycled and continuously illuminated tanks. (B, E) Diel variations in rbcL DNA and chl a concentrations for the same tanks. (C, F) Diel variations in cell counts of bacteria, yellow-orange (Synechococcus) and red autofluorescent cells for the same tanks.

Prior cultivation on a 12:12 h light-dark illumination scheme. Under both illumination regimens, cells had elevated levels of rbcL mRNA at the initiation of the light period. RubisCO large subunit mRNA ranged in concentration from 0.00550 fg cell\(^{-1}\) during the dark incubation and 0.107 fg cell\(^{-1}\) during the light period.

In all 3 studies variations in carbon fixation exhibited the highest Pearson correlation coefficients when correlated to rbcL mRNA levels (range: \( r = 0.570 \) to \( 0.778 \), Table 1). The lowest coefficient was exhibited in the rhythm study at Stn 3 in which the tank was continuously illuminated and the highest coefficient was

Fig. 6. Diel variations in rbcL mRNA and flow cytometric determined Prochlorococcus cell counts for a culture divided and placed under cycled illumination (12:12 h light dark) and under continuous illumination. (A) Variation in rbcL mRNA as a function of time. (B) Variation in cell concentration as a function of time. (C) Variation in rbcL mRNA per cell as a function of time.
found in the Lagrangian study at Stn 1. In these studies no other measured variable displayed a higher level of correlation than that between carbon fixation and \textit{rbcL} mRNA. These results demonstrate a coupling between transcription of \textit{rbcL} mRNA and rates of carbon fixation.

\section*{DISCUSSION}

A consistent pattern of diel transcriptional regulation of cyanobacterial/chlorophyte-like \textit{rbcL} has been observed in phytoplankton populations in a Lagrangian study, in phytoplankton populations enclosed in deck-top incubators, and in an oceanic picoplankter in culture. In all instances, transcription of \textit{rbcL} occurred early in the light phase, and diminished at the end of the light phase and into the dark phase. Concentrations of \textit{rbcL} transcript positively correlated with the rate of photosynthetic carbon fixation, when measured.

Elucidating regulatory mechanisms in natural populations of phytoplankton is technically difficult and the approaches taken here are not without limitations. The Lagrangian approach has the advantage of no containment ('bottle') artifacts. However, because no tracer was used to track the water mass except a drogued buoy, we are not certain of the homogeneity of the water mass sampled. Additionally, no compensation has been made for potential vertical mixing, advection, or vertical migration of phytoplankton communities. The deck-top incubator overcomes the problems of water mass homogeneity, but has artifacts associated with containment. For example, the increase in dark fixation rates in both tank experiments and the decrease in autofluorescent cell counts in the second tank experiment indicate that population changes were occurring. Despite these differences in approach and methodological drawbacks, both indicated a diel pattern in RubisCO transcription.

The diel variations in \textit{rbcL} transcription appear to be rhythmic in nature and tied to light cues. Variations in \textit{rbcL} mRNA have been demonstrated for both natural phytoplankton communities (Pichard et al. 1993) and for a variety of clonal isolates of various phytoplankton taxa (Reynolds et al. 1993, Chow & Tabita 1994). In many of these cases it appears that transcriptional activity at the level of the \textit{rbcL} gene or RubisCO operon is responsible for regulating carbon fixation capabilities in such organisms.

We do not know if all components of the phytoplankton regulate their RubisCOs as demonstrated here for cyanobacterial/chlorophytic members. While it is not expected that the \textit{Synechococcus rbcL} gene probe employed here will detect all RubisCO types present in surface water communities, this probe has been demonstrated to hybridize with DNA from various marine \textit{Synechococcus}, \textit{Prochlorococcus}, and prasinophytes (Pichard et al. unpubl.). It is not expected to detect variations in \textit{rbcL} mRNA for the chromophyte algae, for dinoflagellates, or for some \textit{Prochlorococcus} sp. as these organisms possess either evolutionary variants of the type I RubisCO or type II RubisCOs (Newman & Cattolico 1990, Morse et al. 1995, Shimada et al. 1995, Tabita 1995).

There are a myriad of regulatory mechanisms known for RubisCO in various photoautotrophs, including activation and inhibition by substrates and metabolites, activation by RubisCO activase, and regulation of assembly by chaperonins, to name a few (Tabita 1988, 1994, 1995). Some evidence for regulation of \textit{rbcL} by modulating gene dose has been observed in \textit{Chlamydomonas reinhardtii} (Holser et al. 1989). In the diel studies presented here \textit{rbcL} gene dose appears to have a limited role in regulating \textit{rbcL} gene expression as much of the variation is within \textit{rbcL} mRNA. However, small variations in the template for transcription can result in large changes of transcripts as in \textit{C. reinhardtii}.

Other enzymes such as phosphoribulokinase and carbonic anhydrase play potential roles by controlling cellular levels of ribulose bisphosphate (RuBP) and \textit{CO}_2, respectively (Tabita 1988, 1994, 1995, Badger & Price 1994). Therefore, enzymes that are responsible for controlling the delivery of substrate to the RubisCO enzyme may also have a regulatory role in the Calvin Cycle. Photosynthetic electron flow between PSI and PSII has also been shown to be important in the cellular accumulation of \textit{rbcL} transcripts in \textit{Synechocystis} sp. (Mohamed & Jansson 1991), as well as in several terrestrial plants, and thus may be part of the signaling between the light and dark reactions in photosynthesis. Whether \textit{rbcL} transcript levels in natural phytoplankton communities are dependent upon the same type of mechanism is an open question. It is also not known whether, in the case of type I RubisCO, small subunit (\textit{rbcS}) transcripts are modulated in the same manner.

Beta-carboxylating enzymes, such as phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPCase), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, and pyruvate carboxylase, may also be involved in the carbon metabolism of phytoplankton as well as the anaplerotic replenishment of TCA intermediates in heterotrophic microbes. The maximum yield that PEPCase can provide to carbon metabolism is 25\% and in most natural cases is more on the order of 12.7\% or less (Mortain-Bertrand et al. 1988).

One of the most significant findings in this work is the evidence for circadian rhythms in transcription of \textit{rbcL} in natural phytoplankton communities and a
*Prochlorococcus* strain. Circadian rhythms, once thought to occur only in eukaryotic organisms (Sweeney 1983), are now being recognized in prokaryotes such as cyanobacteria. Rhythms in expression of a number of genes in several cyanobacteria are shown to occur at the transcriptional level. Transcriptional control of both photosynthetic (*rbcL*) and nitrogen fixing (*nifH*) activities has been demonstrated to occur in a reciprocal manner in *Synechococcus* sp. RF-1 (Chow & Tabita 1994) and to be rhythmic in nature (*nifH*) under light-dark and continuous light conditions (Huang & Chow 1990). Other genes, such as *psbA*, *purF*, *glnA*, *rrnA*, and *dnaK*, have been demonstrated to exhibit circadian rhythms in their expression in *Synechococcus* sp. PCC7942 and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 (Aoki et al. 1995, Kondo et al. 1995, Liu et al. 1995b). The major taxa comprising oligotrophic phytoplankton communities are recognized as *Synechococcus* sp., *Prochlorococcus* sp., and chromophytic members of the ultraphytoplankton such as prymnesiophytes, chrysophytes, diatoms, and others (Campbell & Vaulot 1993, Campbell et al. 1994b, Li 1995). Therefore, it might be expected that gene expression would display some form of rhythmicity in communities dominated by such members. Whether this rhythmic expression is truly circadian awaits further tests of phase resetting and temperature compensation.

The data presented here suggest that these natural communities of oligotrophic phytoplankton utilize the mechanism of regulation of *rbcL* mRNA levels as one control on carbon fixation. Whether such variations are a result of increases and decreases of transcription rates, variations in mRNA turnover rates, or a combination of the two remains to be investigated. *rbcL* mRNA may be controlled by a clock-set rhythm mechanism that controls the cellular levels of *rbcL* mRNA, and therefore carbon fixation, using a variety of the above processes. Evidence is presented here that establishes that rhythms in *rbcL* mRNA levels are present in both natural phytoplankton communities and in *Prochlorococcus* sp. Whether other members of the autotrophic picoplankton community also display rhythms in *rbcL* mRNA is currently being investigated. In any case, it is clear that molecular tools have revealed the importance of events occurring at the level of transcription in regulating the physiologically and globally important process of carbon fixation in the oceans.
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