Genetic Diversity of Related Vibriophages Isolated from Marine Environments Around Florida and Hawaii, USA
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ABSTRACT: Although viruses from the marine environment have been enumerated, isolated, and characterized, there is little information on the abundance or global distribution of specific phage types. To this end, we studied the abundance and distribution of phages which infect a marine bacterium isolated from Tampa Bay (Florida, USA), tentatively identified (Microbial ID, Inc., Newark, Delaware, USA) as *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Using this host, we have isolated over 60 phages from the Gulf of Mexico, Tampa Bay, Florida Keys, and Oahu, Hawaii (USA). These isolates are all Myoviridae, with head sizes ranging from 50 ± 0.0 to 65 ± 4.2 nm and tail lengths of 60 ± 3.6 to 100 ± 5.0 nm. The type phage (Φ16 from Tampa Bay) has a double-stranded DNA genome of 51 to 58 kb. A 1.5 kb EcoRI fragment of this genome has been cloned and used as a gene probe. All of the DNA from the phage isolates hybridized to this probe under stringent conditions, but not to DNA from other marine vibriophages and bacteriophages, suggesting genetic relatedness. Agarose gel electrophoresis of EcoRI digests of the DNAs, followed by Southern transfer and probing with the 1.5 kb gene probe, yielded 6 groups based upon banding patterns. These groups were not segregated geographically within the Florida isolates; however, all of the Hawaiian phages had a common restriction pattern. These data indicate that populations of genetically related phages are widely distributed over large geographic distances in the oceans.
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INTRODUCTION

Attempts to classify bacteriophages into taxonomic groups, which could be arranged to infer phylogenetic relationships between viral groups, began over half a century ago (Burnet 1933). The advent of DNA technology has added criteria such as DNA-DNA homology, restriction endonuclease fragment patterns, and genomic DNA molecular weights (Werquin et al. 1988, Lindstrom & Kaijalainen 1991) to the traditional diagnostics of size, morphology, serology, and physiological properties (Adams 1952). A combination of several of these methods, used to analyze eucaryotic algal viruses, led to the discovery of groups of viruses, from diverse locations, which share a common host and similar to identical morphologies, but have considerable variability within their genomes (Schuster et al. 1986, Cottrell & Suttle 1991). Recently, similar analysis was performed with 5 cyanophages which infect *Synechococcus* (Wilson et al. 1993). Limited homology under low stringency probing conditions did reveal a low level of relatedness among the 5 viruses isolated from the Sargasso Sea, Woods Hole Harbor (Massachusetts, USA), and the English Channel.

Other than the studies mentioned above, there has not been much research in the area of phylogeography of marine viruses, particularly phages. This dearth of information is especially surprising in its exclusion of vibriophages since this family (Vibrionaceae) contains the greatest number of reported phage-host systems for the marine environment (Moebus 1987), with the genus *Vibrio* comprising most of the hosts (Moebus & Nattkemper 1981). Since the first isolation of a bacteriophage specific for *Vibrio para*...
haemolyticus (Nakanishi et al. 1966), these phage-host systems have received much attention (Baross & Liston 1968, Kaneko & Colwell 1973, Sklarow et al. 1973, Baross et al. 1978a, b, Hidaka & Tokushige 1978, Koga & Kawata 1981, Koga et al. 1982). These studies, however, were largely concerned with the isolation, morphology, sensitivity to heat and chemicals, burst size, and host range of such phages. The objective of the research described herein was to conduct a systematic study with a large sample size that would determine the geographic distribution and genetic diversity of marine vibriophages that infect a host V. parahaemolyticus (strain 16), isolated from Tampa Bay, Florida, USA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial and viral strains. Host 16 (tentatively identified as Vibrio parahaemolyticus by Microbial ID, Inc., Newark, DE, USA) and its corresponding phage (Φ16) were isolated from surface waters at the St. Petersburg Pier in Tampa Bay in 1991. Host 16 was used to isolate all phages. The 9 bacteriophages with the prefix ΦKL were isolated from near Key Largo in January, 1992 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Isolates with a ΦPEL prefix were collected during a cruise from Tampa Bay to the Dry Tortugas aboard the RV 'Pelican' during July 1992 (Table 1). The designations ΦMOAT, ΦMARQ, and ΦKWH all refer to isolations from stations along a cruise track in the Florida Keys during June 1993 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Viruses from Mamala Bay, Hawaii, USA, are indicated by the ΦH prefix, with the rest of the letters indicating the station they were isolated from. ΦHAWI-1 to ΦHAWI-10 and ΦHDO-1 and ΦHDO-2 were all isolated in October 1993, the rest of the Hawaiian phages were isolated in February 1994 (Table 2, Fig. 2).

The host range of the phages was assayed by spotting 5 μl of lysate \([10^{10} \text{ to } 10^{11} \text{ pfu (plaque forming units) ml}^{-1}]\) onto a lawn of bacteria. The host strains tested were Vibrio natrigens (DM1070), V. anguillarum (DM1107), Vibrio sp. (DI-9), V. parahaemolyticus (HER 1169), and V. parahaemolyticus (HER 1165). Both DM strains were isolated from lesioned fish from St. Johns River, FL (D. McGary & D. Lim pers. comm.). DI-9 is a water column isolate found near Davis Island, FL (Jeffery et al. 1990). HER 1165 and 1169 were used by Koga et al. (1982) to isolate vibriophages from seawater around Japan. These 2 strains were obtained from the Felix d’Herelle Reference Center for Bacterial Viruses (Université Laval, Québec, Canada).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phages</th>
<th>Isolated from:</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Φ16</td>
<td>St. Petersburg Pier, Tampa Bay, FL</td>
<td>May 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦKL3, ΦKL5, ΦKL6, ΦKL7</td>
<td>Canal, Key Largo, FL</td>
<td>January 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦKL33, ΦKL34, ΦKL35, ΦKL36</td>
<td>Blackwater Sound, Key Largo, FL</td>
<td>January 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦKL4</td>
<td>Tarpon Sound, Key Largo, FL</td>
<td>January 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPEL1A-1, ΦPEL1A-2</td>
<td>Mouth of Tampa Bay, FL</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPEL8A-1, ΦPEL8A-2</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Str 8. Surface waters</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPEL8C-1, ΦPEL8C-2</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Str 8. 1500 m</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦPEL13A-1</td>
<td>Off Garden Cay, Dry Tortugas, FL</td>
<td>July 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦMOAT-1</td>
<td>Marquesas, FL</td>
<td>June 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦMARQ-1, ΦMARQ-2, ΦMARQ-3</td>
<td>Key West Harbor, FL</td>
<td>June 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦKWH-2, ΦKWH-3, ΦKWH-4</td>
<td>Ala Wai Canal, Honolulu, HI</td>
<td>October 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHAWI-1, ΦHAWI-2, ΦHAWI-3, ΦHAWI-4, ΦHAWI-5, ΦHAWI-6, ΦHAWI-7, ΦHAWI-8, ΦHAWI-9, ΦHAWI-10</td>
<td>Ke‘ehi Lagoon, HI</td>
<td>October 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHDO-1, ΦHDO-2</td>
<td>Ke‘ehi Lagoon, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHDO-3, ΦHDO-4, ΦHDO-5, ΦHDO-6</td>
<td>Sand Island Transect, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHDS1-1, ΦHDS1-2</td>
<td>Sand Island Outfall, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHDS2-1, ΦHDS2-2, ΦHDS2-3, ΦHDS2-4, ΦHDS2-5</td>
<td>Upper Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHC1-1, ΦHC1-2, ΦHC1-3, ΦHC1-4</td>
<td>Mid Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHC2-1, ΦHC2-2, ΦHC2-3, ΦHC2-4</td>
<td>Mouth of Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>February 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΦHC3-1, ΦHC3-2, ΦHC3-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phage isolation by plaque assay. Retentates from vortex flow filtration (VFF)-concentrated water column samples (Paul et al. 1991, 1993, Jiang et al. 1992) and sponge extracts (Paul et al. 1993) were assayed by top agar overlay for phages which would infect Host 16. No prefiltration was done prior to the VFF concentration (100 kDa filter). An overnight culture of Host 16 was inoculated into 25 ml of ASWJP media (Paul 1982) in a 50 cc tube and grown on a shaker at 25 to 30°C for 2 to 4 h until log-phase growth was reached. Into 3 ml of 1% melted agar in tubes (in a 48 to 50°C water bath), 1 ml of Host 16 and 1 ml of retentate were mixed, and then poured onto 1.5% ASWJP agar plates. The overlays were allowed to solidify, incubated overnight, and then the plaques were picked from the agar with sterile Pasteur pipets and placed into 0.9 ml of 0.5 M Tris (pH 8) for further confirmation.

**Confirmation.** A second round of overlays was done, but with the following changes: the melted-agar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Concentration (10^2 pfu l⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 1993</td>
<td>Moat, Ft. Jefferson, Dry Tortugas, FL</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1993</td>
<td>Key Largo, FL⁵</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1993</td>
<td>Ala Wai Canal, HI</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1994</td>
<td>Ke'ehi Lagoon, HI</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1994</td>
<td>Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 1994</td>
<td>Key Largo, FL</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1994</td>
<td>Pearl Harbor, HI</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1994</td>
<td>Moat, Ft. Jefferson, Dry Tortugas, FL</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1994</td>
<td>Marquesas, FL</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1994</td>
<td>Key West Harbor, FL</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When multiple concentrations were found over a few days, the highest is shown

⁵Additional concentrations for this location are published in Paul et al. (1993)
tubes contained 2 ml of 1% agar, 1 ml of Host 16, and 100 μl (0.1 ml) of the phage-Tris suspension. Dilutions of 10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}, and 10^{-8} of these suspensions were also overlaid, with replicates. After an overnight incubation, a single plaque would be picked as before, and the procedure would be repeated. From these plates, the phages were eluted to make a lysate.

**Lysate production.** To each agar plate (12 to 14 plates total), 5 ml of 0.5 M Tris (pH 8) was added and allowed to remain at room temperature for 15 min. For each isolate, the lysate was removed from the plates into oakridge tubes with a sterile 10 ml syringe. The lysates were centrifuged at 8000 rpm, 4989 x g, for 10 min to pellet any agar or cellular debris. The supernatants were then filtered through an ultra low binding cellulose acetate 0.2 μm sterile filter (Costar, Cambridge, MA) and stored at 4°C.

**DNA extraction.** The ultracentrifugation method of Sambrook et al. (1989) was followed except that 30 μg ml^{-1} DNAase and 5 μg ml^{-1} RNAase were used, and the bacteriophage particles were collected by ultracentrifugation (70 000 rpm, 265 070 X g, 4°C, 90 min). DNA was collected by ethanol precipitation.

**Radiolabeled probe.** A 1.5 kb EcoRI digestion fragment of the Φ16 genome was randomly cloned into the Riboprobe vector pGEM3Z using standard cloning techniques (Maniatis et al. 1982). There is some evidence, based on preliminary translation studies, that it is a gene. A 35S RNA probe was prepared by transcription of the fragment with T7 RNA polymerase, using 35S UTP (Church & Gilbert 1984, Frischer et al. 1990).

**Dot blot analysis.** Each of the phage DNAs was dotted onto charged nylon membranes (Zetaprobe; BioRad) by Southern blotting and probed as described above.

**Electron microscopy.** Viral lysates were diluted 1:10 in deionized water. A total of 1 μl of each dilute lysate was dotted onto a 300 mesh Formvar-coated copper grid (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA, USA), and allowed to air dry. The grids were then stained with 2% uranyl sulfate (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). Photography was performed at a magnification of ×60 000. Size estimates were made from the electron micrograph negatives, dividing by the magnification at which they were taken. The accuracy of the magnification was checked with 50 nm Nanosphere size standards (Electron Microscopy Sciences).

**RESULTS**

**Phage distribution**

The original phage-host system of Φ16- *Vibrio para-haemolyticus* 16 was isolated from a vortex flow filtration retentate of Tampa Bay water in 1991 (Table 1, Fig. 1). During subsequent cruises to Key Largo, the Gulf of Mexico, Dry Tortugas, and Mamala Bay, additional bacteriophages were isolated on Host 16. Of the Key Largo phages, 4 (ΦKL33, ΦKL34, ΦKL35, and ΦKL36) were isolated from a sponge, while the rest were found in VFF retentates. In July 1992, 2 more phages were isolated from the mouth of Tampa Bay (ΦPEL1A-1, ΦPEL1A-2). At Stn 8 of a transect into the Gulf of Mexico, 5 phages were isolated; 3 from the surface waters (ΦPEL8A-1, -2, and -3), and 2 from a depth of 1500 m (ΦPEL8C-1 and -2). One virus (ΦPEL13A-1) was isolated from the water column near Garden Key in the Dry Tortugas. Fort Jefferson, on Garden Key; has a shallow moat of salt water, and it was from there that the remaining 10 ΦPEL phages (ΦPEL13B-1 to -10) were obtained. In June 1993, we returned to the moat at Fort Jefferson and isolated ΦMOAT-1. During the same sampling trip the following isolations were also made: ΦMARQ-1 to -3 from the Marquesas and ΦKWH-2 to -4 from Key West Harbor. During October 1993, 10 bacteriophages were isolated from the Ala Wai Canal in Honolulu, HI (ΦHAWI-1 to -10), and 2
from the Ke'eki Lagoon (ΦHD0-1 and -2). The next Hawaiian trip was in February 1994 and resulted in isolations from the Ke'eki Lagoon (QHDO-3 to -6), the Sand Island Outfall (ΦHD1S-1, ΦHD1S-2, and ΦHD2S-1 to -5), and Pearl Harbor (ΦHC1-1 to -4, ΦHC2-1 to -4, and ΦHC3-1 to -3). The total number of isolates described here is 67, 33 of which are from the Gulf of Mexico and waters surrounding Florida and 34 of which are from Mamala Bay, Oahu, Hawaii (Table 1, Figs. 1 & 2).

Detectable concentrations of these phages, determined from plaque titers and calculated for the original seawater, range from 3 pfu l⁻¹ (Pearl Harbor) to 1.94 × 10³ pfu l⁻¹ (Ft. Jefferson Moat, Dry Tortugas). The average concentration around Key Largo is on the order of 10² pfu l⁻¹, while concentrations around Hawaii are a magnitude lower (Table 2). These calculations assume 100% concentration efficiency. Previous experiments (Paul et al. 1991, Jiang et al. 1992) have shown that VFF concentration with a 100 kDa membrane has an average efficiency slightly above 80%, making these phage concentrations conservative.

Phage characteristics

Using all 67 bacteriophages, 5 alternate hosts (see 'Materials and methods') were tested for sensitivity. None of these viruses plaqued on any host other than Host 16.

All of the phages examined by transmission electron microscopy had similar morphologies (Fig. 3). They were all Myoviruses (Bradley Group A), having icosahedral heads and long, contractile tails. The head diameters ranged from 50 to 65 nm and the tail lengths from 60 to 100 nm.

The molecular weight of the Φ16 genome was calculated to be approximately 58 kb by addition of Hpal fragments. This is larger than the EcoRI fragment total (51 kb), presumably due to co-migration of similar sized fragments in the latter digests. For the same reason, the 58 kb may still underestimate the genome size, but that is not believed likely since digestion by the other restriction enzymes tested (Aval, BamHI, HindIII, KpnI, PstI, DpnI, Sall, BglII, Clal, Sphi, Xbal, EcoRV) has not resulted in a higher molecular weight.

DNA from Φ16 and the 9 ΦKL was digested with DpnI and found to be very susceptible to this enzyme. Gel electrophoresis, as well as autoradiography with the 1.5 kb probe, yielded autoradiograms which were much more easily compared. The patterns observed on the autoradiograms allowed the phages to be grouped (Table 3, Fig. 5). Thus far, 6 groups have been identified. This arrangement includes a group containing only Φ16, a group containing all 34 Hawaiian phages, and 4 other groups comprised of a mixture of the remaining Key Largo, Gulf of Mexico, and Dry Tortugas isolates.

**DISCUSSION**

Over 60 phages have been isolated with Host 16 from various marine environments over a geographic distance of more than 4500 miles. In addition to sharing a common host, and being morphologically similar, these viruses are likely genetically related, as shown by the stringent hybridization of their DNAs with a 1.5 kb gene probe made from an EcoRI digest of Φ16 DNA.
Fig. 3 Electron photomicrographs of selected vibriophages. A. φ16; B. φPEL1A-1; C. φPEL13B-2; D. φHC1-4; E. φKL3; F. φKL33
Fig. 5. Autoradiograms of EcoRI digested phage DNAs which have been probed with the 1.5 kb fragment. (a) A: uncut 016; B: digested 016; C: uncut 0PEL1A-1; D: digested 0PEL1A-1; E: uncut 0PEL1A-2; F: digested 0PEL1A-2; G: uncut 0PEL8A-1; H: digested 0PEL8A-1; I: uncut 0PEL8A-2; J: digested 0PEL8A-2; K: uncut 0PEL8C-1; L: digested 0PEL8C-1; M: uncut 0PEL8C-1; N: digested 0PEL8C-1. (b) All EcoRI digests, no uncut DNAs: A: OPEL7; B: 0PEL8C-1; C: 0PEL13B-1; D: 0PEL1B-1; E: 0HD1-1; F: 0HD1S-1; G: 0HD2S-1; H: 0HC1-2; I: 0HC2-3. Note that the 2.0 kb band of 0PEL8C-1 is not visible in this film due to incomplete digestion of that sample.

No other host examined was sensitive to any of these phages. Although a limited number of strains were examined, other research has shown that some Vibrio parahaemolyticus phages are highly specific, giving no lytic response on 53 V. parahaemolyticus strains and 95 other Vibrio strains (Sklarow et al. 1973).

Since viruses are by definition obligate cellular parasites, they must remain in close proximity to their chosen host if they are to survive for any period of time in any marine environment. The detection and isolation of these phages suggests they are being actively reproduced, since marine bacteriophages have been found to decay rapidly once outside of their hosts (Helgad & Bratbak 1991). Because of the specificity of phage-host systems in the marine environment, it has previously been stated that the distribution of the host bacterium can be mapped by the distribution of its phages (Dorsheim 1993). For this reason, we have not done any additional experiments to determine the distribution of Host 16.

The fact that DpnI, an enzyme specific for DAM-methylation sites, produced many (20+) restriction fragments indicates extensive methylation of these phages' genomes. This is one of several bacteriophage defense adaptations to avoid host restriction systems.

Similar size, identical morphology, and a common host were the first indicators of possible relatedness between these phages. The primary confirming evidence was homology to the 1.5 kb gene probe which was found to be present in all of these geographically diverse bacteriophages. The phage groups are non-identical, as evidenced by their differing restriction patterns and autoradiography patterns, yet clearly share sequence homology of at least 1.5 kb (≥22.6% of the genome). While it is possible that these related bacteriophages stem from a common ancestral Vibriophage, there is not enough information to be certain. Without knowing what function the common gene serves, it is not possible to be sure it is not a gene (or part of a gene) which is common to these viruses due to convergent evolution or horizontal gene transfer (Haggard-Ljungquist et al. 1992) rather than derivation from a common ancestor. The 1.5 kb gene probe has not hybridized with DNA from any other viral group (namely T2, and several other environmental isolates), which rules out a 'trans-species' function, such as DNA polymerase. It also does not hybridize with DNA from Vp1, Vp5, Vp6, Vp11, or Vp12, which are marine phages specific to Vibrio parahaemolyticus strains HER1165 and HER1169. This suggests the DNA is specific to this group of vibriophages.

The rationale of screening the viral isolates by probing EcoRI digests with this 1.5 kb fragment was to quickly sort the phages into groups. The 1.5 kb common region from a representative of each group could then be PCR amplified, cloned, and then sequenced. A comparison of these sequences would allow an estimate of genetic divergence between the 'genotypes' to
be calculated from the similarities of their DNA sequences.

In light of the evidence that phage-host systems can reflect different water masses (Hidaka & Sakita 1981, Moebus & Nattkemper 1981, Moebus 1983, Hidaka et al. 1987), we have speculated that the distribution of these vibriophages in the Gulf of Mexico and around the Florida peninsula may be related to the path of the Florida Current, which curves around the state before heading north to form the Gulf Stream. While there is clearly no sequential geographic distribution of the restriction banding pattern groups, advection by the Florida Current could explain how Group E phages can be found in Key Largo, Tampa Bay, offshore in the Gulf of Mexico, and in the Fort Jefferson moat in the Dry Tortugas.

A mechanism of long-distance transport other than natural currents exists in the form of cargo ships and freighters which cross the Panama Canal. Such vessels often take on seawater as ballast, and the local microbial and planktonic populations are unnoted passengers (Hallegraeff & Bolch 1992, McCarthy & Khambaty 1994). Mamala Bay and Tampa Bay are both ports of call for these types of ships, and ships en route to Miami or further east would have to go around the Dry Tortugas, Marquesas, and Florida Keys on their way out of the Gulf of Mexico.

Hidaka (1980) found a Vibrio sp. phage-host system with a fairly wide oceanic distribution: the host was isolated near Hawaii, but the phage was found in waters just south of Japan. This study corroborates that such ‘long-distance’ phage-host systems may not be uncommon. The research in this paper confirms that there are identifiable genetic populations of the Φ16-type vibriophages not only in the Gulf of Mexico, Tampa Bay, and Florida Keys, but also around Hawaii, some 4500 miles distant. This suggests that virus ‘species’ such as these vibriophages may be widely distributed in the global ocean.
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