Mixing of water in a carbonate aquifer, southern Italy, analysed through stable isotope investigations
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Abstract: Mixing of water was analysed in a carbonate aquifer, southern Italy, through stable isotope investigations (\(^{18}\)O, \(^{2}H\)). The input signal (rainwater) was compared with the isotopic content of a 35-meter groundwater vertical profile, over a 1-year period. Within the studied aquifer, recharge and flow are diffuse in a well-connected fissure network. At the test site, the comparison between input and groundwater isotopic signals illustrates that no efficient mixing takes place in the whole unsaturated zone, between the fresh infiltration water and the stored water. When analysing the stable isotope composition of groundwater, significant variations were observed above the threshold elevation of 1062 m asl, while a nearly constant composition was observed below the same threshold. Thus, temporal variations in stable isotope composition of rainwater are completely attenuated just in the deeper phreatic zone. On the whole, taking into consideration also the results of previous studies in the same area, the investigations showed that physical characteristics of the carbonate bedrock, as well as aquifer heterogeneity, are factors of utmost importance in influencing the complete mixing of water. These findings suggest a more complex scenario at catchment scale.
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INTRODUCTION

At catchment scale, hydrology in carbonate systems can be characterised by (a) diffuse or concentrated recharge and (b) diffuse or concentrated flow (Atkinson, 1977; Williams, 1983; White, 1988; Ford & Williams, 1989). In the present study the attention is focused on a carbonate aquifer system where recharge and flow are diffuse in a well-connected fissure network (Celico et al., 2006; Petrella et al., 2008), but little information is available concerning mixing of water within the same system. Thus, the main purpose of this research was the identification of the compartments of the aquifer system where these processes take place.

Several studies have been carried out in carbonate aquifers using stable isotopes (\(^{18}\)O and \(^{2}H\)) as conservative tracers (e.g. Maloszewski et al., 2002) to investigate the role of the unsaturated zone only or of both the saturated and the unsaturated ones in mixing processes. However, different findings were obtained in different test sites. Yonge et al. (1985) found in three cave sites in the eastern U.S.A. (Tumbling Creek Cave, Missouri; Shenandoah Caverns, Virginia; Indian Echo Caverns, Pennsylvania) a nearly complete mixing of water in the first 10 ÷ 15 meters of the vadose zone, even if the structural and lithological settings of the three cave systems were felt to be sufficiently different. Schwarz et al. (2009), in the Blautopf Catchment, one of the largest karst areas in Germany, found that the isotope signals in seepage water in the caves (analysed at a depth ranging from 8 to 45 meters from the surface) were almost completely buffered and ranged around an average \(^{18}\)O value. Conversely, Cruz Jr. et al. (2005), in the Santana cave systems in subtropical Brazil, found that the isotopic signal of water collected at drip sites, from 100 to 300 meters below ground, are directly related in a non-linear fashion with rainwater input into the karst.

Within the present study, mixing processes were analysed at site scale through isotopic investigations (\(^{18}\)O, \(^{2}H\)) over a 1-year period, by comparing the input signal (rainwater) with the isotopic content of a 35-meter groundwater vertical profile. Due to the
absence of accessible caves and conduits, cave dripwater studies were not possible. Thus, the types of vadose flow (preferential or fracture fed; matrix or seepage fed; Baker & Brundson, 2003) were not directly investigated, contrary to other studies (e.g., Baker et al., 1997; Genty & Deflandre, 1998; Baker et al., 2000; Kaufman et al., 2003; Tooth & Fairchild, 2003; Spötl et al., 2005; Karmann et al., 2007; McDonald & Drysdale, 2007; Lange et al., 2010). The role of the unsaturated zone (thickness ranging from 27 to 62 meters during the observation period) was analysed based on observations made within the groundwater profile in a purpose-drilled well.

**DESCRIPTION OF THE FIELD SITE**

The focus of the work lies on a carbonate aquifer (Acqua dei Faggi, Longano, southern Italy; Fig. 1) formed predominantly of limestone (Monte Calvello and Monaci Formations; Cretaceous-Oligocene) and subordinately of lower permeability rocks (Macchiagodena Formation; Oligocene-Burdigalian) (De Corso et al., 1998). The Macchiagodena Formation consists mainly of marls and marly limestone. Monte Calvello and Monaci Formations are mainly made up of calcarenites and calcirudites with intergranular material composed predominantly of spatic cement, biocalcarenites and biocalcirudites. Carbonates have very low primary porosity, but are extensively fissured. Natural gradient tracer tests yielded an opening porosity given by fissures of 2.3 x 10^{-4} (Petrella et al., 2008). Lugeon tests showed hydraulic conductivity of limestone in the order of 10^{-6} m s^{-1} (Petrella et al., 2007). Carbonate rocks are exposed or lie below a cover of pyroclastic origin (Naclerio et al., 2008).

The aquifer is bounded by fault zones that act as barriers to groundwater flow and compartmentalise the aquifer system (Celico et al., 2006; Petrella et al., 2009a). However, some fault zones, where calcite-filled cataclasite is observed, allow significant groundwater flowthrough, and the interdependence of hydraulic heads up- and down-gradient of these faults has been observed (Celico et al., 2006). The aquifer behaves as a basin-in-series system, where the hydraulic head shows a step-like shape and seasonal and temporary springs occur along some fault zones (Petrella et al., 2009a). At the basin scale, the groundwater flows westwards towards the perennial spring (Fig. 1).

The carbonate medium is laterally and vertically well connected in the subsurface, and the fracture spacing is sufficiently dense to apply the continuum approach to describe groundwater flow at the metric scale (Petrella et al., 2007). A significant vertical heterogeneity of the carbonate bedrock has been found, due to differences in fissuring and karstification with depth (Petrella et al., 2007). Darcy’s law can be applied in epikarstic horizons with some karstification, and groundwater flow is also expected to be laminar in diffusely karstified epikarst and in the underlying fissured bedrock (Petrella et al., 2008).

The groundwater responds rapidly to recharge events, due to fast and diffuse rainwater infiltration. The funnelling effect into larger shafts does not play an important role in the hydrogeological behaviour of the aquifer (Petrella et al., 2007). At the aquifer scale, the thickness of the unsaturated medium ranges from a few centimetres (Celico et al., 2006) to some hundreds of meters (Petrella et al., 2009a), depending on the area and the groundwater level fluctuations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Hydrogeological investigations**

The hydraulic head was measured on an hourly basis with a pressure transducer, from May 2008 to August 2009, at well P1 (Fig. 1). The well P1 was drilled in order to analyse mixing processes in one of the sites where the unsaturated zone is relatively
thin, if compared with the rest of the studied aquifer system. The well (130 m deep) is drilled through a few meters of cover of pyroclastic origin and then through limestone. It is full-screened, except for the first 5 meters that are sealed in order to prevent the local infiltration of surface water. This well is the same borehole that was used in a previous study (Petrella et al., 2009b), during which experimental data demonstrated the local diffuse infiltration of rainwater through topsoil and limestone bedrock, and showed the effect of this infiltration on the shallower groundwater in terms of salinity modification. Other investigations, in Petrella et al. (2009b), were carried out only to determine the origin of the lower- and the higher-salinity groundwater which were detected during this survey.

This well is therefore useful to analyse other processes, such as mixing, related to the interaction between fresh infiltration water and groundwater.

**Water sampling**

**Rainwater**

Rainwater samples for isotopic (δ¹⁸O, δ²H) analyses were collected at a rain sampler (RWS1), located within the aquifer system, close to P1, at 1150 meters above sea level (m asl; Fig. 1). The sampling was carried out on a monthly basis from May 2008 to August 2009, to compare the input isotopic signal with the isotopic content of groundwater, during the same observation period.

A more detailed analysis of rainwater isotopic content was obtained collecting samples on a weekly basis, from April 2006 to January 2008, at another rain sampler (RWS2) located within the same aquifer system (1014 m asl). Polyethylene bottles (10 L) containing about 300 ml of vaseline oil to prevent evaporation processes even under very hot summer conditions were used to collect the samples. Oil contamination was carefully avoided while syringing the water samples out of the bottle.

**Groundwater**

Groundwater samples for stable isotope (δ¹⁸O, δ²H) analyses were collected at P1 at different depths, using a 5-m-depth interval. Preliminary investigations suggested that a maximum investigated depth of 35 m below the groundwater table was necessary to thoroughly analyse variations of the groundwater isotopic signal at the study site. Collection was carried out 10 times, from June 2008 to August 2009.

A stainless steel bailer was used (250 cm³ volume) fitted with a one-way valve at the lower end and attached to 6 mm plastic tubing at the upper end. The upper end of the tubing was attached at the surface to a pump. The bailer was pressurised with air before lowering it to the chosen sampling depth. Once at the chosen depth, the pressure was released, allowing water to enter the bailer. After the bailer was withdrawn, a sample of water was transferred to a polyethylene bottle.

**Isotopic analyses**

Isotopic analyses (δ¹⁸O, δ²H) were carried out at the Laboratorio di Geochimica Isotopica of the University of Parma (Italy), and at the Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse of the CNR, Pisa (Italy), using Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry (IRMS). The analytical precision was ±0.1‰ for δ¹⁸O, ±1.0‰ for δ²H.

The compositions of δ¹⁸O and δ²H are reported in δ‰ vs. V-SMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) standard.

**Mixing analysis**

The two-component isotopic separation technique (Pinder & Jones, 1969; Sklash & Farvolden, 1979) was used to calculate the proportion of freshwater mixed with pre-event groundwater along the investigated groundwater profile, during the main recharge events. The mixing was calculated using eq. (1):

\[ X\gamma C\gamma = X\alpha C\alpha + X\beta C\beta \]

where \( X\gamma \) is groundwater at any depth, \( X\alpha \) is pre-event groundwater (corresponding to the deepest sampled one along the investigated profile), \( X\beta \) is the event water (corresponding to rainwater collected at RWS1), \( C\gamma \), \( C\alpha \) and \( C\beta \) are δ¹⁸O composition (‰) of groundwater at any depth, of pre-event groundwater, and of event water, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Input isotopic signal**

With regard to stable isotopes composition of rainfall (Tab. 1) it is possible to calculate the following equation that describes the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL):

\[ \delta^2H = 7.7 \delta^{18}O + 12.7 \quad (R^2=0.96; \ n \ of \ samples=15) \]

This equation is very close (Fig. 2) to the Western Mediterranean Meteoric Water Line (WMMWL; \( \delta^2H = 8 \delta^{18}O + 10 \); Craig, 1961) and the Easter Mediterranean Water Line (EMWL; \( \delta^2H = 8 \delta^{18}O + 22 \); Gat & Carmi, 1970) underlining that the variability is influenced by both the Atlantic and the Mediterranean air masses. This is also evident from the deuterium excess values (d in Table 1). From May 2008 to August 2009 the weighted mean isotopic composition for the precipitation was -7.88‰ for δ¹⁸O and -47.38‰ for δ²H. However, a significant temporal variability of the signal was observed in monthly cumulated rainfall, with values ranging from -10.48‰ to -5.11‰ for δ¹⁸O and from -70.80‰ to -24.30‰ for δ²H. The significant temporal variability of the input signal is more thoroughly observed in the samples collected weekly from April 2006 to January 2008 in RWS2, with values ranging from -15.70‰ to -2.61‰ for δ¹⁸O and from -117.46‰ to -9.58‰ for δ²H.
After plotting the isotopic data of groundwater collected at different depths at P1 in the $\delta^{18}$O vs. $\delta^{2}$H scattergram (Fig. 2) it is observed that all samples are well situated along the LMWL, therefore suggesting a complete meteoric origin of groundwater within the whole investigated profile. However, interesting differences in groundwater isotopic content were observed with depth. Two main types of profiles (A and B) were detected within the 35-m-deep interval. Type A (full lines in Fig. 3) was observed during late recession, when the isotopic composition was homogeneous (variations were lower than the 2σ error of the analyses) down to the maximum investigated depth. Type B (dashed lines in Fig. 3) was observed during recharge and early recession, when the isotopic composition varied significantly (variations were greater than the 2σ error of the analyses) within the whole investigated profile.

**Comparison between rain- and groundwater signals**

The temporal variations of the input function were strongly, but not completely reduced at the groundwater table. Variations of groundwater isotopic content were observed at shallower depths below the hydraulic head, and were clearly due to mixing between groundwater and fresh infiltration water. In fact, taking into account only the recharge period (from November 2008 to May 2009) when the rainfall produces huge effective infiltration, the mixing analysis (Tab.2) shows that fresh infiltration water is up to 40% of the whole groundwater within the upper 10 meters below the hydraulic head in early November 2008 and in February 2009. Below this depth, the percentage of fresh infiltration water was negligible (less than 5%). The negative values of infiltrated water are in Table 2. Percentage of fresh water in the whole groundwater, at different depths, during huge effective infiltration.

### Table 1. Isotopic and d-excess values in RWS1 rainwater samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O ‰ (vs. V-SMOW)</th>
<th>$\delta^{2}$H ‰ (vs. V-SMOW)</th>
<th>d-excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/06/2008</td>
<td>-8.01</td>
<td>-51.40</td>
<td>12.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2008</td>
<td>-5.31</td>
<td>-31.00</td>
<td>11.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/07/2008</td>
<td>-5.78</td>
<td>-31.70</td>
<td>14.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/09/2008</td>
<td>-5.84</td>
<td>-31.90</td>
<td>14.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/2008</td>
<td>-8.39</td>
<td>-49.30</td>
<td>17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/11/2008</td>
<td>-5.11</td>
<td>-24.30</td>
<td>16.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/12/2008</td>
<td>-7.93</td>
<td>-42.40</td>
<td>21.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/01/2009</td>
<td>-9.50</td>
<td>-59.50</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2009</td>
<td>-10.48</td>
<td>-70.80</td>
<td>13.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/2009</td>
<td>-8.25</td>
<td>-49.90</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/04/2009</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td>-27.90</td>
<td>15.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/05/2009</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-46.50</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/06/2009</td>
<td>-5.77</td>
<td>-34.30</td>
<td>11.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/2009</td>
<td>-7.59</td>
<td>-47.90</td>
<td>12.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/2009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Percentage of fresh water in the whole groundwater, at different depths, during huge effective infiltration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth below groundwater level (m)</th>
<th>03/11/2008</th>
<th>03/02/2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-35</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the range of the error related to the two-component isotopic separation technique. This phenomenon has been thoroughly investigated and quantified during this recharge period, because the strong difference between the isotopic content of rainwater and that of pre-event groundwater, and the huge effective infiltration both allowed to distinguish in a detailed manner the influence of event water on pre-event groundwater (Fig. 4). This time span is enough to experimentally verify how mixing of water takes place within the unsaturated zone and the shallower saturated zone. In early April 2009, isotopically heavier rainwater caused a new slight increase in isotopic content of the same groundwater layer, but the difference in isotopic composition between end-members is not useful to thoroughly quantify the mixing, as carried out during the previous events. The isotopic content remained nearly constant in early May 2009, due to precipitation that was characterised by a composition very close to that of groundwater (Fig. 4). In such a scenario, the slight increase in isotopic content in the deeper groundwater, that was observed from February 2009 onwards, indicates a mixing between the upper and isotopically heavier, and the lower and isotopically lighter groundwater layer detected in November 2008 (Fig. 4). The absence of precipitation in June and July 2009 emphasised the results of this mixing in terms of isotopic composition of the different groundwater layers (Fig. 4).

The slight difference between the weighted mean isotopic composition of rainwater (-7.88‰ for δ¹⁸O and -47.38‰ for δ²H) and the nearly-constant isotopic content of the deeper groundwater layer (-8.22‰ ± 0.15 for δ¹⁸O, and -49.30‰ ±1.88 for δ²H) is explained taking into consideration that the rainwater sampler (RWS1) is at an elevation lower than the mean altitude of the catchment area (δ¹⁸O vertical gradient -0.16‰/100m, Petrella et al., 2009a).

As a matter of fact, the comparison between input and groundwater isotopic signals illustrates that no complete mixing takes place in the whole unsaturated zone, between the fresh infiltration water and the stored water. This observation, together with the fast response of the hydraulic head to recharge events, suggests the widespread existence of an important vadose flow in larger fissures at the study site, even though the mobilisation of pre-event water from smaller fissures and rock matrix cannot be excluded. Existence and importance of diffuse and fast vadose flow in larger fissures is also in agreement with the short transit time observed when studying contaminant transport within the same system, induced by diffuse migration of microorganisms through the soil and the limestone bedrock (Celico et al., 2004a and b; Naclerio et al., 2008, 2009).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

When analysing the stable isotope composition of groundwater as a function of the depth below ground surface, significant variations (greater than the 2σ error of the analyses) were observed above the threshold elevation of 1062 m asl (~78 meters below the ground surface), while a nearly constant composition was observed below the same threshold (Fig. 5). These differences do not correspond to significant differences in terms of residence time, as demonstrated in a former study (³H content in both the upper and the lower groundwater layers is 4.5 Tritium Units; Petrella et al., 2009b).

These findings suggest that temporal variations in stable isotope composition of rainwater are not completely damped in the whole unsaturated medium, as well as in the upper phreatic zone, during recharge.

Since the dampening of the signal is well observed in the deeper phreatic zone, close to the depth at which geophysical investigations detected the transition between limestone with a well-connected fissure network (ρ ≈ 2500 Ω m) and limestone with a poorly-developed fissure network (ρ ≈ 10000 Ω m)
Coexistence of an upper several-meters-thick limestone bedrock with a well-developed fracture network (thicker than the epikarst only) and a lower limestone bedrock with a poorly-developed fracture network are principally due to stress release fracturing, which occurs because of unloading stress where rock has been removed. This phenomenon causes an increased density of joints and bedding plane partings in the near-surface bedrock (Ferguson, 1967; Sasowsky & White, 1994). However, vertical heterogeneity can be further emphasised by mixing processes between groundwater and fresh infiltration water just below the water table of an unconfined aquifer. When saturated waters with different chemical composition mix, new aggressivity arises and widening of fissures can be caused, due to carbonate dissolution (e.g., Gabrovšek & Dreybrodt, 2000; Romanov et al., 2003; Gabrovšek & Dreybrodt, 2010). In the studied aquifer, great hydraulic head fluctuations were observed during each hydrologic year in several wells (30 to 100 meters; Petrella et al., 2007 and 2009a), therefore suggesting that this process can influence the widening of the fissures at really different depths and within relatively thick horizons, depending on the zone of the aquifer system, and the phase of the hydrologic year. For example, in the test site where the well P1 has been drilled, the depth at which the contrast between the more and the less fissured bedrock has been detected (~70 meters below the ground, Petrella et al., 2009b) is close to the maximum depth at which the hydraulic head has been recorded from 2005 on (~73 meters below the ground). Moreover, taking into consideration that in high flow the hydraulic head at this site rises close to the ground surface, the mixing between waters with different chemical composition occurs within a several tens of meters-thick limestone bedrock.

In a wider context, these statements suggest the existence of two different scenarios at least, at catchment scale. Where the uppermost limestone bedrock is nearly completely involved in head fluctuations during the hydrologic year, the following sequence is expected, from the top to the bottom: (a) epikarst, (b) limestone bedrock with well-developed fissure network and relatively high effective porosity, due to stress release fracturing and mixing of water with different chemical composition, (c) limestone bedrock with poorly-developed opening network (box A in Fig. 6). In this scenario, the complete mixing of water is expected to occur within the phreatic zone, as observed in the studied test site.

Conversely, where the hydraulic head fluctuates in the deeper limestone bedrock, a more complex scenario is expected. From the top to the bottom, the sequence is made of (a) epikarst, (b) limestone with well-developed fissure network mainly due to stress release fracturing, (c) limestone with poorly-developed fissure network, (d) limestone with well-developed fissure network and relatively high effective porosity, due to mixing of water with different chemical composition, (e) deeper limestone bedrock with poorly developed fissure network (box B in Fig. 6). In this second scenario, the complete mixing of water might occur within the unsaturated zone.

At catchment scale, mixing processes are further influenced by discontinuous heterogeneities, due to fault zones, where physical characteristics of the carbonate bedrock can be locally influenced also by tectonics, with coexistence (at metric, decametric or hectometric scale) of fault core and damage zone (e.g. Mollema & Antonellini, 1999; Salvini et al., 1999; Billi et al., 2003; Billi, 2005; Agosta & Aydin, 2006; Billi et al., 2007; Balsamo et al., 2008; Storti & Balsamo, 2010). Fault cores can include single slip surfaces (Caine et al., 1991), highly indurated, cataclastic zones (Chester & Logan, 1986), brecciated and geochemically altered zones (Sibson, 1977), or unconsolidated clay-rich gouge zones (Anderson et al., 1983). The damage zone includes fault-
related subsidiary structures and can enhance fault zone permeability relative to the core and the undeformed protolith (e.g. Chester & Logan, 1986; Andersson et al., 1991; Goddard & Evans, 1995). Thus, mixing of water is expected to occur within these zones, due to coexistence of thinner and thicker openings in a complex architecture (box C in Fig. 6). The hypothesis that mixing processes can occur through fault zones is supported by comparison of electrical conductivity (EC) vertical profiles in groundwater within the protolith and close to fault zones, downgradient of the faults. In former studies (Petrella et al., 2009a, 2009b), non-permanent haloclines were observed within the protolith of the studied aquifer system, due to non-complete mixing between fresh-infiltration water and pre-event water in the shallower groundwater (in Fig. 7, examples of haloclines observed in the same well P1 used within this study are shown; no nearby faults are observed upgradient of P1; Fig. 1). On the contrary, a few tens of meters downgradient of a normal fault, the EC vertical profile is homogeneous down to the maximum investigated depth throughout the hydrologic year, despite recharge and layered heterogeneity of the carbonate bedrock (Fig. 8). We interpret this phenomenon as the result of mixing, through the fault zone, between lower and higher salinity groundwater layers flowing upgradient of the fault.

Summarising, mixing processes in the studied aquifer system are influenced by both the layered and the discontinuous heterogeneity. Thus, different scenarios coexist at catchment scale, and this complex setting must be taken into consideration when interpreting chemographs and isotopic signals of perennial, seasonal and temporary spring-waters.
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