Petrology and geochemistry of the Muntele Mare granitoid (Northern Apuseni Mountains), Romania
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ABSTRACT. The paper brings new data on the petrography and geochemistry of the Muntele Mare Granitoid (MMG), from its northernmost outcropping area (Mănăstireni-Bedeci area, Gilău Massif, Apuseni Mountains), where MMG is are actively quarried for quartz and feldspar that are used in the ceramics industry. The MMG mined in the Bedeci quarry has a pegmatitic hypidiomorphous–inequigranular fabric, and a low melanocratic index (ca. 7%). It consists of quartz, plagioclase (two generations: acidic andesine-basic oligoclase (34-35% An), and albite (9-11% An), orthoclase ± perthite in various substitution stages by microcline (intermediary), as well as microcline maximum, muscovite and biotite. Accessories include: apatite, zircon, magnetite, rutile and titanite. Garnets occur in contact areas, and local concentrations of apatite and tourmaline are found in areas affected by metasomatic processes. Micas underwent micronization, iron leaching and hydration. Three textural varieties are identified: equigranular, microgranular, and gneissic granite. Subsequent alkaline metasomatism, cataclastic deformation, and silicification transformed these varieties into a pegmatitic granite. The contact of the MMG with the overlying Someș lithogroup is marked by a 0.5–1.0m thick biotite hornfels. Away from the contact, the hornfels gradually turns into granitic gneiss affected by metasomatic processes. The major element distribution in the Bedeci Valley granite is characteristic to a peraluminous, subalkaline, medium-potassic granite, affected by dominantly sodic alkaline metasomatism, and Al enrichment. Geochemical processing of the analytical data (major elements; 296 samples) suggests that the granite has been formed in a collision environment, which contrasts with the post-collision environment inferred by other authors. We state that this contradiction is to be explained by chemistry changes caused by metasomatism and silification processes.
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INTRODUCTION

The 330–295 Ma old Upper Carboniferous–Lower Permian Muntele Mare Granitoid (MMG) is the largest intrusive body in the Apuseni Mountains (Paniă, 1998; Balintoni et al., in prep.). Its emplacement mechanism has been the subject of some debate in the Romanian geological literature. It was initially described as a batholith by Dimitrescu (1966), but later authors (Borcoș et al., 1968; Ianovici et al., 1976), and Dimitrescu (1988), agreed on its phacolithic shape. Dimitrescu (1994) considers the MMG to be hosted into a SW dipping anticlinal fold of the Someș lithogroup, thus contradicting the structural model of Hărtopanu et al. (1982) of a synclinal megafold in the area.

The Precambrian mesometamorphic rocks of the Someș lithogroup in which the MMG intruded constitute the autochthonous Bihor Unit of the Apuseni Mountains. They underwent three metamorphic events (Hărtopanu et al., 1982; Strusievcicz and Strusievcicz, 1985; Dimitrescu, 1988). The first two events, M1 (kyanite and staurolite), and M2 (sillimanite±andalusite) are prograde, whereas M3 is retrograde, (chlorite+actinote+sericite) and has a limited extent, along certain alignments. During the M2 event, both the Someș and the overlying Gărda lithogroup were affected by extensive migmatic processes, and the Arieș granitoids were emplaced (Anton, 1999; Balintoni et al., in prep.). The emplacement of migmatic and pegmatite bodies in the mega-anticline fold area (Trif et al., 1966; Mărza, 1980), even if of a different origin, can be temporally correlated with the Muntele Mare granitoid (Balintoni et al., in prep.).

Based on petrographic criteria, several rock types have been grouped under the generic “Muntele Mare granite“ name: equigranular granites (typically located in the central part of the massif), microgranular granites, porphyry granites, gneissic granites, cataclastic granites, and pegmatitic granites (Stoicovici and Trif, 1961; Hanomolo and Hanomolo, 1962).

In the study area the MMG is covered by rocks of the Someș lithogroup, in which it intruded. In places where these rocks have been eroded, the MMG comes in direct contact with Paleogene sedimentary rocks (Fig. 1). In these areas the MMG is sometimes exposed only in valley beds. This is the case of Călăta Valley in the Western part of the studied area, as well as of the upper course of Căpuș Valley (Bedeci Valley) in the E. At Bedeci, the MMG is quarried for quartz and feldspar that are used as raw materials in the ceramics industry.

SAMPLES AND METHODS

In the Bedeci area the MMG crops out on both sides of the valley. Several open-pit mines are used as sources for granite (quartz and feldspar) in Bedeci, and for quartz in
The quarry blocks in the Bedeci quarry are number-coded. This study is based on samples collected from blocks 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 16/2, 17, 40, 49; one additional sample was collected from the Racilor Valley. Petrographic investigations have been carried out on 23 granite and metamorphic rock samples, collected as follows: six from block 16, four from block 6, one from block 15, two from block 14, two from block 4, two from the access road (downstream of the quarry) and six from upstream the quarry.

Fig. 1. Geologic map of the Bedeci area (based on the geological map of S.C. Transgex S.A. Cluj Napoca, modif.): 1. Paleogene; 2. Someş lithogroup (Precambrian); 3. Muntele Mare Granitoid (330-295 m.y.); 4. Fault; 5. Quarry blocks; 6. Sample location.

PETROGRAPHIC-MICROSCOPIC STUDIES

Petrographic-microscopic studies were performed at “Babes-Bolyai” University in Cluj using a Nikon SMZ645 binocular, a Nikon Eclipse E200 Pol transmission polarising microscope, and a Jena universal stage. Chemical data were obtained at the Chemical Laboratory of the S.C. Cominex Nemetalifere S.A., Căpuş through wet chemical analysis, flamephotometry, and X-ray fluorescence (Venus 100 unit) at Cesarom Bucharest. Structural data (triclinicity of microcline) was calculated from XRD measurements performed on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (40kV and 40mA, Cu anticathode; \( \lambda = 1.540600 \) Å; scanned interval: 4-64° 2θ).

MINERALOGY AND PETROGRAPHY

The mineralogy and petrography of both the granite and the contact metamorphic rocks are discussed below.

The Granite

Several macroscopic textural varieties (equigranular, microgranular, gneissic and cataclastic) were identified in the study area. The areas in which rocks underwent a cataclastic metamorphism, always display a quartz vein system. Under microscope, the granite is characterised by a hypidiomorphic inequigranular (pegmatitic) structure, with massive to cataclastic or schistose fabric (Plate I, Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4).

The main minerals are quartz, K- and Ca-Na-feldspars, muscovite and iron-depleted biotite, whereas typical accessories include microlites of apatite, rare zircon crystals, magnetite, rutile, titanite and garnets. In rare cases, apatite appears as well developed crystals, associated with tourmaline. These are inferred to derive from metasomatic processes at the expense of feldspars. Secondary minerals have been formed through alteration of feldspars (kaolinite...
and illite), and micas (illite, chlorite, Fe and Ti oxides). Limonite is common along fissures in the rock.

**Quartz**

Two quartz generations have been identified. First-generation quartz is anhedral and has faint undulatory extinction, and sometimes-obvious Boehm planes. Second-generation quartz fills a network of veins in granites that underwent cataclastic metamorphism. The latter quartz crystals are usually interlocked (a dynamic effect pointing to injections of fluids under pressure), and lack undulatory extinction or oriented inclusions (Boehm planes).

**K-feldspar**

The optical characteristics of K-feldspars vary from sample to sample, or even within the same sample, suggesting several feldspar formation stages. Some of the optical and structural characteristics were probably induced by the mechanical strain associated with the cataclastic metamorphism. Three K-feldspar varieties were separated: slightly modified (triclinic), sometimes perthitic orthoclase; triclinized orthoclase (intermediate microcline); maximum microcline with or without polychromatically twinned albite separations. Using the measured Ng’(010) optic angle, only two generations of K-feldspar could be separated: orthoclase I and orthoclase II (i.e. intermediate microcline) in Table 1. The intermediary microcline was defined based on its structural parameters (triclinicity) calculated from XRD patterns. Feldspars from 8 industrial granite samples (enriched with feldspars by specific processing methods, i.e., removal of quartz, micas and mafic minerals) have also been investigated. Two ranges (0.48–0.56, and 0.64–0.72) were obtained for the triclinicity values, both being typical for intermediary microcline (Maris, 2005). Within the slightly kaolinized orthoclase crystals that have been investigated we have found muscovite lamellae and rare albite inclusions.

**Plagioclase**

Plagioclase also belongs to two generations: twinned crystals with cloudy surfaces and frequent marginal overgrowths represent the first one, with the crystal cores corresponding to acidic andesine, whereas the overgrowths consist of basic oligoclase. The second generation plagioclase (albitic) occurs as anhedral, untwinned crystals formed at the expense of orthoclase through sodic metasomatism (Table 1).

**Micas**

Muscovite is lamellar to tabular, with the fringes often altered to a sericite-illite microlamellar aggregate. Biotite is almost completely iron-depleted, with strings of iron and titanium oxides lining the cleavage planes. Only rare chloritised biotite lamellae display weak pleochroism.

Among the accessory minerals, apatite is the most common; zircon is subordinate, while tourmaline (small, highly pleochroic crystals), garnet, magnetite, rutile and titanite are rare. In some samples, carbonates (calcite and siderite) are associated with late, hydrothermal quartz.

The percentages of minerals have a wide range in the investigated granite samples (Table 2). As a whole, the granite has a low melanocratic index (about 7%).

The MMG from Bedeci area underwent intense alkaline metasomatism, cataclastic and silification processes. The alkaline metasomatism was dominantly sodic – with formation of albite, and only subordinately potassic, resulting in microcline neoformation. Micas were also significantly affected by transformation processes, i.e., iron depletion (biotite) and sericitization. Upstream from the quarry, the observed metasomatic processes are less intense, the rock turning to gneissic, muscovitic granite.

**Contact phenomena**

The thermal contact area of the granite can be observed in the upper part of the quarry – blocks 16 and 6, where it produced true hornfelses (sample 6), and local feldspatisation (samples 5 and 11).

The influence of contact-related hydrothermal fluids can also be noticed further away from the contact, where the biotite from the garnet micaschists underwent iron leaching and chloritization (sample 14).

**Biotite Hornfelses**

A brownish black biotite hornfels, about 0.5 – 1 mm thick, occurs at the contact with the granite. The rock consists mainly of quartz, biotite and muscovite, along with secondary clay minerals, oxides (magnetite and ilmenite), goethite in sphaerulitic aggregates, and subordinate apatite, zircon, tourmaline, rutile, and titanite. Biotite is strongly pleochroic. Quartz forms anhedral crystal aggregates. Clay minerals are formed at the expense of anhedral orthoclase crystals (Pl. I, Fig. 5).

**Granitic gneiss**

Granitic gneisses are present further away from the contact area. The rock is granol epidoblastic and has schistose texture, with cataclastic zones (Pl. I, Fig. 6). The paleosome consists of biotite-muscovite-garnet gneiss; the biotite is iron-depleted, with iron and titanium oxides lining cleavage planes and crystal surfaces. The neosome contains (sometimes perthitic) orthoclase, albite with fine muscovite lamellae, and interlocking quartz crystals. The ‘augen’ consists of orthoclase with frequent muscovite lamellae, apatite, polychromatically twinned plagioclase and quartz microlites. Overgrowths on feldspar crystals are common. The rock also contains apatite, tourmaline, magnetite and ilmenite. The paleosome plagioclase is basic oligoclase – acidic andesine, whereas the one in the neosome is albite (Table 1).

**MAJOR ELEMENT GEOCHEMISTRY**

The geochemical study of the MMG in the Bedeci area aims at:

1. Documenting the alkaline metasomatism and silification processes that affected the northern extremity of the granitoid by comparing its chemistry with that of samples from the central, unaffected area (Table 3).

2. Presenting a geochemical characterization of the granitoid based on a large number (296) of technological samples. The new chemical data hereby presented statistically reflects the average chemical composition of the granitoid cropping out in the Bedeci – Mănăstireni perimeter (Table 4).
Table 1. Feldspar composition and optical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>PEGMATITIC GRANITE</th>
<th>GNEISSIC GRANITE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orthoclase I</td>
<td>Orthoclase II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GNEISSIC GRANITE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An %</td>
<td>(+)60-68</td>
<td>(+)62-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2V</td>
<td>3-4°</td>
<td>8-19°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase I</td>
<td>34-35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(+)82-86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase II</td>
<td>8-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(+)77-82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mineral composition of the pegmatitic granite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>Average (%)</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>28 - 52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.02 - 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase</td>
<td>14 - 42</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.06 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar</td>
<td>10 - 40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.05 - 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica (muscovite, Fe-depleted biotite, illite)</td>
<td>2-9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.05 - 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apatite</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and titanium oxides</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.005 - 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other minerals*</td>
<td>0 - 1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Chemical composition of samples from the northern extremity (Bedeci-Manastireni area) compared to the central part of the Muntele Mare granitoid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/block</th>
<th>Oxide (wt%)</th>
<th>Granitoids from Bedeci-Manastireni area</th>
<th>Central part of the Muntele Mare Granitoid – reference data **</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>65.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/16</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>19.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>73.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/6</td>
<td>77.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/4</td>
<td>74.81</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/14</td>
<td>72.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>75.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>75.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>14.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. = not determined
* total Fe;
** 1a: Granite, Devii Valley (Dimitrescu, 1966); 2a: Gneissic granite, Vadului Valley, and 3a: granite, Dumitreasa Mountain (Boroč and Boroč, 1962); 4a: Granite, Răciţăului Valley, and 5a: porphyroid granite, Someşul Rece Valley (Hanomolo and Hanomolo, 1962).

Table 4. Chemical composition of the MMG from Bedeci grouped by quarry blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarring block (no. of samples)</th>
<th>SiO2</th>
<th>Al2O3</th>
<th>Fe2O3*</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>K2O</th>
<th>Na2O</th>
<th>P2O5</th>
<th>L.O.I.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 (24)</td>
<td>65.31</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (34)</td>
<td>71.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (32)</td>
<td>73.14</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (4)</td>
<td>74.81</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (6)</td>
<td>72.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>75.47</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>75.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>75.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>75.38</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. = not determined
* total Fe;
** 1a: Granite, Devii Valley (Dimitrescu, 1966); 2a: Gneissic granite, Vadului Valley, and 3a: granite, Dumitreasa Mountain (Boroč and Boroč, 1962); 4a: Granite, Răciţăului Valley, and 5a: porphyroid granite, Someşul Rece Valley (Hanomolo and Hanomolo, 1962).
Geochemical evidence for alkaline metasomatism and silicification

The geochemical study is based on samples collected from several locations in the Bedeci (samples 3, 4, 5, 7, 12, 30), and Mănăstireni (samples 23, 27) quarries, as well as from the area situated between the two quarries (sample 31). Our study shows that the rocks from the Bedeci quarry were affected by both alkaline metasomatism and silicification, whereas those in the Mănăstireni quarry mainly underwent silicification. The chemical composition of the analyzed samples is presented in Table 3, along with that of 5 samples from the central part of the MMG taken from the literature (three granites, one gneissic granite and a porphyroid granite).

Binary distribution diagrams are used in order to reveal the chemical changes in the granitoid.

The diagrams of SiO₂ vs. Al₂O₃, Na₂O show a reverse correlation for both oxide pairs (Figs. 2 and 3). It can also be noticed that the samples are plotting along two distinct alignments: one corresponding to higher values for Na and Al oxides (the samples from Bedeci-Mănăstireni area, and the porphyroid granite, all affected by intense Na metasomatism), and one corresponding to lower values (samples from the central part of the MMG, not affected by metasomatism).

The SiO₂-K₂O diagram (Fig. 4) shows no obvious correlation trends between the samples from the two areas. Only two samples, i.e., sample 27 (silicified) and sample 30 (K metasomatism) plot in eccentric positions. Sample 30 shows peculiar low Na and high K contents, as a proof of potassic metasomatism (neoformation of microcline). Potassium content is not a discriminating factor for the samples under study, no matter of their degree of Na metasomatism; this is an additional proof for the Na metasomatic processes that affected the rocks.

Geochemical characterization of the MMG from the Bedeci area

The geochemical characterization of the MMG from Bedeci is based on the average chemical composition of the quarry blocks. Table 4 includes these average values, along with the minimum-maximum values for each oxide.

The geotectonic diagrams of MMG from Bedeci area – Figs. 5 and 6 – reveal similar plotting of the samples into a restricted area, based on their homogeneous chemistry.

The A/NK versus A/CNK diagram clearly illustrates the peraluminous nature of all analysed samples (Fig. 5).

In the diagram of Batchelor and Bowden (1985) the same samples cluster in the syn-collision field, while two samples
from the central area of the MMG (samples 1a and 2a in Table 3) plot closer to the post-collision field (Fig. 6). In order to decide if the MMG formation was a syncollision or a post-collision one, we should have supplemental data on the percentage of trace elements. Still, our main goal in this paper was to reveal the metasomatic process that induced chemical transformation in the MMG.

Nevertheless, we intend to investigate these aspects in our future work.

The above-mentioned rock varieties underwent alkaline, predominantly sodic, metasomatism and cataclastic metamorphism, followed by silicification. These processes led to textural transitions of the granite towards pegmatite. Sodic metasomatism leads to the formation of albite (9–11 % An), whereas the formation of microcline maximum is consequent to potassic metasomatism. The metasomatic, cataclastic and silicification processes also produced mica micronization and iron depletion of biotite.

The present paper mentions and documents the sodic metasomatism of the MMG in the investigated area for the first time. When compared to samples from the central area of the MMG, the samples from the study area clearly reflect enrichment in Na, Al, and Si, as a result of alkaline metasomatism and silicification.

Contact phenomena led to biotite enrichment in the rocks close to the granite (biotite hornfels), and, in conjunction with the partial removal of quartz and feldspar, contributed to the local increase of the proportion of melanocratic minerals. Granitic gneisses are formed by feldspar enrichment of garnet micaschists next to the biotite-rich area.

The influence of contact-derived fluids is also noticeable further away from the contact zone, where biotite in the micaschists was altered to chlorite.

When plotted in the geotectonic discrimination diagram of Batchelor and Bowden (1985), our samples suggest the granite to be formed in a syn-collision environment. This finding is in contrast with the post-collision environment previously considered for the bulk of the MMG (Balintoni et al., in prep.), but this contradiction could be explained by the changes of the original chemistry induced by alkaline metasomatism and silicification processes. Still, we question if metasomatic processes as those described here could not be characteristic for granites emplaced in a syn-collision environment?
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**CONCLUSIONS**

The MMG from the Bedeci-Mănăstireni area is composed of two generations of quartz, two generations of plagioclase (acidic andesine - basic oligoclase, and albite), orthoclase ± perthite, intermediary microcline (triciplicity: 0.48–0.56, and 0.64–0.72, respectively), microcline maximum, muscovite, and biotite. Apatite, zircon, tourmaline, garnet, magnetite, rutile and titanite are accessory and post-magmatic minerals.

The analysed rocks have a low melanocratic index (about 7 %) and a peraluminous, subalkaline, and medium-potassic character. The structure is subhedral inequigranular, with three identifiable varieties: “normal” inequigranular granite, microgranular granite and gneissic granite.

---

**Fig. 5.** Plot of investigated granites in Maniar and Piccoli’s (1989) discrimination diagram. The coordinates represent ratios (A/CNK and respectively A/NK) between molar values of $A=Al_2O_3$; $C=CaO$; $N=Na_2O$; $K=K_2O$.

**Fig. 6.** Plot of investigated samples in Batchelor and Bowden’s (1985) geotectonic discrimination diagram. $R_1=4Si-11(Na+K)-2(Fe+Ti)$; $R_2=6Ca+2Mg+Al$. 


Plate I

Fig. 1. Cataclastic granite with injections of late quartz. Quartz (Q), feldspars: plagioclases (first generation) (P), albite (second generation) (Ab), and muscovite (M). Sample 12, block 4. N+

Fig. 2. Cataclastic pegmatitic granite. Albite (Ab), quartz (Q), and muscovite (M). Sample 8, block 6. N+

Fig. 3. Cataclastic pegmatitic granite. Orthoclase: perthitic exsolutions (O1), perthitic substitutions (O2), and diacrases filled with quartz (Q). Sample 1, block 16. N+

Fig. 4. Granitic gneiss. Quartz (Q), plagioclase feldspars (P), orthoclase (O), muscovite and biotite (M). Sample 9, block 15. N+

Fig. 5. Biotite hornfels. Quartz (Q), biotite (B), and apatite (A). Sample 6, block 16. N+

Fig. 6. Granitic gneiss. Quartz (Q) - albite (Ab) neosome in biotite (B) – muscovite (M) micaschist with plagioclase (P), and almandine. Sample 5, block 16. N+