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ABSTRACT

The recent momentum in energy research has simplified converting solar to electrical energy through photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells. There are numerous benefits to these PEC cells, such as the inexpensive fabrication of thin film, reduction in absorption loss (due to transparent electrolyte), and a substantial increase in the energy conversion efficiency. Alpha-hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) has received considerable attention as a photoanode for water-splitting applications in photoelectrochemical (PEC) devices. The alpha-hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) nanomaterial is attractive due to its bandgap of 2.1eV allowing it to absorb visible light. Other benefits of α-Fe₂O₃ include low cost, chemical stability and availability in nature, and excellent photoelectrochemical (PEC) properties to split water into hydrogen and oxygen. However, α-Fe₂O₃ suffers from low conductivity, slow surface kinetics, and low carrier diffusion that causes degradation of PEC device performance. The low carrier diffusion of α-hematite is related to higher resistivity, slow surface kinetics, low electron mobility, and higher electro-hole combinations. All the drawbacks of α-Fe₂O₃, such as low carrier mobility and electronic diffusion properties, can be enhanced by doping, which forms the nanocomposite and nanostructure films.

In this study, all nanomaterials were synthesized utilizing the sol-gel technique and investigated using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), X-ray Diffractometer (XRD), UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (UV-Vis), Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR), Raman techniques, Particle Analyzer, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV), and Chronoamperometry, respectively. The surface morphology is studied by SEM. X-Ray diffractometer (XRD) is used to identify the crystalline phase and to estimate the crystalline size. FTIR is used to identify the chemical bonds
as well as functional groups in the compound. A UV-Vis absorption spectral study may assist in understanding electronic structure of the optical band gap of the material. Cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry were used to estimate the diffusion coefficient and study electrochemical activities at the electrode/electrolyte interface.

In this investigation, the \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) was doped with various materials such as metal oxide (aluminum, Al), dichalcogenide (molybdenum disulfide, MoS\(_2\)), and co-catalyst (titanium dioxide, TiO\(_2\)). By doping or composite formation with different percentage ratios (0.5, 10, 20, 30) of aluminum (Al) containing \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), the mobility and carrier diffusion properties of \( \alpha \)-hematite (\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) can be enhanced. The new composite, Al-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), improved charge transport properties through strain introduction in the lattice structure, thus increasing light absorption. The increase of Al contents in \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) shows clustering due to the denser formation of the Al-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) particle. The presence of aluminum causes the change in structural and optical and morphological properties of Al-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) more than the properties of the \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) photocatalyst. There is a marked variation in the bandgap from 2.1 to 2.4 eV. The structure of the composite formation Al-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), due to a high percentage of Al, shows a rhombohedra structure. The photocurrent (35 \( \mu \)A/cm\(^2\)) clearly distinguishes the enhanced hydrogen production of the Al-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) based photocatalyst.

This work has been conducted with several percentages (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 5) of molybdenum disulfide (MoS\(_2\)) that has shown enhanced photocatalytic activity due to its bonding, chemical composition, and nanoparticle growth on the graphene films. The MoS\(_2\) material has a bandgap of 1.8 eV that works in visible light, responding as a photocatalyst. The photocurrent and electrode/electrolyte interface of MoS\(_2\)-\( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanocomposite films were investigated using electrochemical techniques. The MoS\(_2\) material could help to play a central role in charge transfer with its slow recombination of electron-hole pairs created due to photo-energy with the charge
transfer rate between surface and electrons. The bandgap of the MoS$_2$ doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite has been estimated to be vary from 1.94 to 2.17 eV. The nanocomposite MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ films confirmed to be rhombohedral structure with a lower band gap than Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The nanocomposite MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ films revealed a more enhanced photocurrent (180 $\mu$A/cm$^2$) than pristine $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and other transition metal doped Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructured films.

The p-n configuration has been used because MoS$_2$ can remove the holes from the n-type semiconductor by making a p-n configuration. The photoelectrochemical properties of the p-n configuration of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-type and ND-RRPHTh as the p-type deposited on both n-type silicon and FTO-coated glass plates. The p-n photoelectrochemical cell is stable and allows for eliminating the photo-corrosion process. Nanomaterial-based electrodes $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-MoS$_2$ and ND-RRPHTh have shown an improved hydrogen release compared to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructured films in PEC cells. By using p-n configuration, the chronoamperometry results showed that 1% MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite can be a suitable structure to obtain a higher photocurrent density. The photoelectrochemical properties of the p-n configuration of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type and ND-RRPHTh as p-type resulted 3-4 times higher (450 $\mu$A/cm$^2$) in current density and energy conversion efficiencies than parent electrode materials in an electrolyte of 1M of NaOH in PEC cells.

Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is known as one of the most explored electrode materials due to its physical and chemical stability in aqueous materials and its non-toxicity. TiO$_2$ has been investigated because of the low cost for the fabrication of photoelectrochemical stability. Incorporation of various percentages (2.5, 5, 16, 25, 50) of TiO$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ could achieve better efficiencies as the photoanode by enhancing the electron concentration and low combination rate.
Both materials ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$) can have a wide range of wavelength which could absorb light in both UV and visible spectrum ranges. TiO$_2$ doped with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film was shown as increasing contacting area with the electrolyte, reducing e-h recombination and shift light absorption along with visible region. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial has shown a more enhanced photocurrent (800 $\mu$A/cm$^2$) compared to metal doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photoelectrochemical devices.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem Description and Motivation

Today, worldwide, the search is on-going for alternative energy sources and inventive ways to generate renewable energy with much greater efficiency using free resources of the sun and water. Photomaterials have been studied in many experiments for splitting water into hydrogen and oxygen. $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ material is one of the most suitable photomaterials to be used as a photoelectrode in photoelectrochemical cells. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is an excellent photomaterial due to ease in synthesis, abundance in nature, low cost, and favorable bandgap ($\sim$2.1 eV) that can absorb visible light (42% of sun radiations). This renewable solar energy can be explored to produce powerful hydrogen energy by splitting water using photocatalytic material ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$).

The performance of alpha-hematite as photomaterial has been limited by low conductivity, the short electron-hole pair time (<10 ps), and hole diffusion length (2-4 nm), which cause high recombination rates of photo-generated carriers in the bulk. Hematite-based water splitting has lower efficiency than that of the theoretical (12.6 mA/cm$^2$) and has restricted PEC applications. Recent studies have identified that the doping process can enhance the morphology, structure, and shape of the materials. The electronic properties of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ can be improved by doping with different materials such as metal oxide (Al), dichalcogenide material (MoS$_2$), and co-catalyst material (TiO$_2$). Aluminum-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite has changed the bandgap to vary from 2.1 to 2.4 eV and there is a marked increase in the photocurrent. MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite has a new morphology that resembles a blooming flower due to the presence of MoS$_2$. The p-n configuration can improve the surface contact, which can produce more photocurrent. TiO$_2$ has
been used as a photocatalyst since 1970 and it is a photomaterial with bandgap 3.1 eV that can absorb ultraviolet radiation. TiO$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite enhances the photocurrent by absorbing both visible light and ultraviolet radiation.

The objectives of this dissertation are to improve/ enhance alpha-hematite material properties by:

- doping with transition metal materials such as aluminum (Al).
- doping with dichalcogenide materials such as molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$).
- using p-n configuration MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type and polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTh) - nanodiamond (ND) as p-type
- doping with a co-catalyst such as titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$)
- using p-n configuration TiO$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type and polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTh)-nanodiamond (ND) as p-type

### 1.2 Organization of The Dissertation

The outline of this dissertation can be summarized as follows.

Chapter 1 explains the problem and the motivation for this dissertation synthesis and characterization of alpha-hematite material for water-splitting applications. This chapter also provides the overall organization of the dissertation and objectives.

Chapter 2 is a literature review that discusses alpha-hematite material for water-splitting applications using photoelectrochemical cells. It also describes methods of hydrogen production and ways to produce hydrogen using photoelectrochemical cells (PEC). This Mechanism of Photoelectrochemical Cells (PEC) was described in detail about the free electrons that can be generated by exposing light on the material. This chapter also provides a brief overview of
applications of proposed materials such as sensing, photovoltaic cells, fuel cells, water purification, lithium batteries, and supercapacitors.

Chapter 3 describes how aluminum-\(\alpha\)-hematite thin films can enhance alpha-hematite for photoelectrochemical applications. This chapter introduces the importance of alpha-hematite for water-splitting applications and also how Al doping can increase the conductivity of alpha-hematite. This chapter also provides the synthesis process of the proposed materials by using sol-gel technique in detail. Fabricated nanomaterials were characterized to understand the physical properties by using different tools such as SEM, XRD, UV-Vis, FTIR as well as cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry to understand the electrochemical studies.

Chapter 4 explains how molybdenum disulfide \(\alpha\)-hematite- nanocomposite films can be enhanced for photoelectrochemical applications. This chapter introduces the importance of the two-dimensional material (MoS\(_2\)) and ways various amounts of MoS\(_2\) doped with \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) can affect the morphology and structure of the nanocomposite MoS\(_2\)-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). Raman Spectroscopy was used to understand physical properties of MoS\(_2\)-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). A particle analyzer was utilized to estimate the particle size in a liquid phase.

Chapter 5 describes how p-n configuration can improve photoelectrochemical cell properties by using \(\alpha\)-hematite-molybdenum disulfide as the n-electrode and polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTh) - nanodiamond (ND) as the p-electrode. It also discusses how p-n configuration prevents the leakage of solvents and eliminates the photo-corrosion process. The mechanism of photoelectrochemical cells explains the process for RRPHTH-ND as p-type and MoS\(_2\)-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) n-type in 1 M NaOH electrolyte. The morphology was identified for MoS\(_2\)-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) to be blooming flower-like using SEM.
Chapter 6 discusses how the p-n based photoelectrochemical device can enhance the water-splitting application by using alpha-hematite ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$)-titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) as the n-electrode and polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTh) - nanodiamond (ND) as the p-electrode. This chapter provides introduction on how TiO$_2$ is an effective co-catalyst material that can be doped with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ due to its capability to absorb both visible light and ultraviolet radiation. The sol-gel technique was used to synthesis $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$- TiO$_2$ nanomaterials. The film coating (n-type) was performed by spinning for TiO$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite. The other material, (p-type) RRPHTh-ND, was dipped in the solution to create the film.

Lastly, Chapter 7 summarizes the results of using alpha-hematite nanomaterials for water-splitting applications. This dissertation found that $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is a promising photomaterial for the splitting of water. The conclusion of this chapter discusses suggestion for future research related to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ material including how to integrate solar cells with photoelectrochemical cells.
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

With the Earth’s extreme weather and evidence of climatic change accumulating/increasing, global efforts have increased dramatically to secure energy sources that are as cheap as fossil fuels but without the unwanted by-products and toxic side effects. This chapter reviews the improvements of hydrogen production and the size of the material particles into the nanomaterial range resulting in capabilities of clean, renewable energy. Attempts have been made to understand the splitting of water into hydrogen and oxygen using alpha-hematite-based nanomaterial in photoelectrochemical based devices. As discussed in this chapter, several methods have been utilized for producing hydrogen it could be the ultimate fuel if produced economically. Hydrogen can be produced from H₂S, H₂O, metal hydrated etc. sources, and although the materials and techniques for hydrogen production have been discussed, the photoelectrochemical technique has been found to be advantageous over other techniques.

Freedom from fossil fuel sources and the pollution and toxins associated with them has become a reality thanks to the implementation of nanotechnology. Researchers are hopeful that clean, renewable, inexpensive and easily obtained energy can be produced using the two most plentiful substances/ materials on the planet: sunlight and water (as shown in Figure 1).
2.2 Methods of Hydrogen Production

Different methods have been employed to split H₂O and H₂S to produce hydrogen gas including thermo-chemical [1-5], plasma-chemical [6-8], thermal [9-11], electrochemical [12-14], and photochemical methods [15-21]. The photochemical method, however, has the greatest potential because it uses a renewable energy source such as solar energy. Figure 2 shows how sunlight is used to drive hydrogen production by separating hydrogen sulfide in a photocatalytic reactor using a process called photo-catalysis [22].

Equations are for the photo-catalysis process:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
\[ \text{H}_2\text{S} + \text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \text{S}^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Photocatalyst \( \rightarrow \) \( e^-_{\text{CB}} + h^+_{\text{VB}} \)

\[ 2 \text{S}^{2-} + 2 h^+_{\text{VB}} \rightarrow \text{S}_2^{2-} \]
\[ 2\text{H}^- + h^+_{\text{VB}} \rightarrow \text{S}_2^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \]
2H$^+ + 2 e^{-}_{CB} \rightarrow H_2$

The net reaction is simply:

$H_2S \rightarrow H_2 + \text{polysulfide}$

Figure 2.2 Schematic of hydrogen production using photocatalyst [22]

2.3 Hydrogen Production by Photoelectrochemical Cells (PEC)

The process of photolysis to produce hydrogen gas is made possible by the use of solar cells which are referred to as photoelectrochemical cells (PEC). The cells are irradiated with light around the visible region of the solar energy. Then, the cell converts the visible light photons from the solar energy into electricity for the semiconductor anode and cathode immersed in an electrolyte [23]. The photolysis equations of the photo anode reactions are as shown:

**Photoanode:** $H_2O_{(liquid)} + 2 \text{holes}^+ = 2H^+_{(aq)} + \frac{1}{2}O_2(g)$

**Cathode:** $2H^+_{(aq)} + 2e^- = H_2(g)$

In the PEC cells, the semiconductor photo-anode absorbs the light photons themselves in order to facilitate the chemical reactions that take place in the electrolyte being used. Hydrogen
gas is produced in the endothermic reaction, resulting in a net change in reactants. This process involved in the PEC only slightly differs from the normal electrolysis with the involvement of electrons and holes as charge carriers.

A slight modification of this process using photo-catalysis employs the use of photo-electrodes that are exposed to generate electrons and holes in the PEC. The photo-catalysts used as electrodes separate water directly to produce hydrogen and oxygen gas. Modifications of the photo-catalyst structure to produce nano-crystalline coatings in order to improve the yield of hydrogen gas has been explored by Alenzi et al. [24].

Combining two techniques, the use of solar photoelectrochemical cells (PEC) have each been considered in the production of hydrogen gas. These two techniques have been proven to improve the yield of hydrogen gas even while using cheap sources of electricity obtained by harnessing solar energy and using photoelectrodes in PEC. This has been explored and processed, and the outcome as presented is termed the “Integrated Solar-Nano Hydrogen System”[23]. This process is designed to boost the production of hydrogen gas using these two above named techniques in a single system. Solar energy is harnessed simultaneously by the use of solar cells and the heat collectors [23]. The PECs absorb light photons to be used directly as electricity to reduce water while the heat obtained from the heat collectors may be used to also generate electricity or to increase the temperature of the photoelectrochemical cells [23]. The overall energy cost is economically lower since the maximum benefit is derived from the solar energy used for the two techniques simultaneously in one system.

The direct use of the sun’s irradiation is made possible in the use of PEC that has photoelectrodes which are made from semiconductor materials. Likewise, the use of heat derived from the conversion of solar irradiation is also made possible with the use of semiconductor
materials. These two points stress the importance of semiconductor materials in the production of hydrogen gas from water splitting using cheap, green, and renewable solar energy. Modifications of the semiconductor’s structure in order to alter its electronic properties to improve the efficiency of the processes and the yield of hydrogen are considered. These modifications using metallic deposition on the surface of the semiconductor is meant to make the resulting nano-composite crystalline [23].

2.4 Mechanism of Photoelectrochemical Cells (PEC)

The process of electrolysis has been used in generating hydrogen gas with 99% purity using direct current to split water molecules into hydrogen gas and oxygen gas. The cathode and anode of the electrolyzer used is dependent on the electricity generated from the combustion of fossil fuels. The use of solar energy in the generation of hydrogen, however, is in two forms: thermolysis and photo-electrolysis.

Cathode half reaction: \(2H^+ \text{(aq)} + 2e^- = H_2 \text{(g)}\)

Anode half reaction: \(2H_2O \text{(liquid)} = O_2 \text{(g)} + 4H^+ \text{(aq)} + 4e^-\)

Balanced reaction: \(2H_2O \text{(liquid)} = 2H_2 \text{(g)} + O_2 \text{(g)}\)

The equations above show the cathodic reduction equation, the anodic oxidation equation and the overall equation of the process of electrolysis[23].

Thermolysis uses the energy from the sun to generate heat in materials that are used to generate the hydrogen gas. Before expounding upon the use of photo-electrolysis and thermolysis, an understanding of the use of solar cells and solar energy is necessary.

The sun is composed of extremely hot gases in the form of a sphere 109 times larger than the earth [23]. The estimated temperature of the sun’s surface is approximately 5,726K, which travels through the atmosphere before reaching the earth’s surface[23]. Travelling through the
atmosphere, solar radiation becomes reflected, scattered, and absorbed by water vapor, clouds, and other particles before reaching the surface of the earth. The average intensity of solar power upon reaching the earth is thus estimated to be 1353 W/m² [23].

Solar cells that generate electricity using solar power operate based on the photovoltaic (PV) effect. The PV effect describes a situation where a material generates electricity when light is shone upon it. The materials used in PV cells are semiconductor materials such as silicon and gallium arsenide. The generation of electricity is attained when the energy from the incident light becomes sufficient enough to excite electrons from the valence band of the molecules of the semiconductor material to the conduction band. Generation of electricity from PV cells is simple and does not contribute to environmental degradation.

As shown in Figure 3, the process of photoelectrochemical cells is entrenched in the transfer of an electron from the first side, which is the valence band (VB), to the second side, which is the conduction band (CB), when sufficient light is absorbed by the photomaterial. The electron jumps the bandgap into the conduction band when it becomes excited because of irradiation with light of sufficient wavelength and leaves a hole in the valence band. For the promotion of electrons from the valence band to the conduction band, the wavelength of the absorbed light must be less than or equal to the energy band gap of the semiconductor material. The promotion of an electron into the conduction band leaves a hole previously occupied by the electron in the valence band. Thus, an electron-hole pair is generated by the photo-catalysis process. The generated electron-hole pair can participate in one of many pathways. The pathways useful for splitting H₂S and H₂O to produce hydrogen gas are those involving the loss of electrons in the conduction band and loss of holes in the valence band due to electrochemical processes. These pathways are influenced by
controlling the semiconductor with surface modifications and by adding electrolytes and reactive redox (reduction - oxidation) species.

![Figure 2.3 Schematic of photoelectrochemical cells (PEC)](image)

**2.5 Photocatalytic Materials**

In a review, Preethi and Kanmani examined the efficiency of different nanomaterials in hydrogen gas production using photo-catalysis [25]. This review provides a broad overview of the different nanomaterials used for the production of hydrogen gas through the splitting of hydrogen sulfide gas and water molecules [25]. Hydrogen sulfide gas is detrimental to the environment because of its corrosive nature in the form of sulfide. The use of H₂S to produce hydrogen gas is therefore preferred to the splitting of H₂O. This is not only because it helps remove the environmentally harmful hydrogen sulfide gas but also because it is less costly and faster to produce. However, despite this preference and desirability for the use of H₂S in the production of hydrogen gas, the near future depletion of non-renewable energy sources such as coal and fossil fuels, which are the major sources of H₂S, will result in the non-availability of the gas for the production of hydrogen gas [25]. The implication of this is that the splitting of H₂O to produce...
hydrogen gas will compensate for the splitting of hydrogen sulfide gas. Different types of nanomaterials have been identified as useful in the production of hydrogen gas. These materials include metal oxide semiconductor materials such as TiO$_2$ and BaTi$_4$O$_9$ and metal sulfide semiconductor materials such as CdS and RuS$_2$ [25].

Figure 2.4 Band edge positions of semiconductor materials [26]

Figure 4 shows the conduction band edge and the valance band edge of semiconductor materials. One of the lowest bandgaps is 1.1 eV for silicon, which absorbs infrared radiation, while one of the highest bandgaps is 3.2 eV for TiO$_2$, absorbing the UV radiation. Sun light comes in different forms of radiation, with the three most common forms being UV radiation, visible light, and IR radiation. Alpha-hematite material has a low bandgap of 2.1 eV, which can absorb the visible light range containing 42% of the sun light radiation [26].

Most nanomaterials used as photo-catalysts to produce hydrogen gas have been identified as giving a higher yield in the production of hydrogen as compared to bulk materials [25]. Those that do not give a maximum production are still higher in their yield than bulk materials. The technique used to prepare the photo-catalyst plays an important role in the yield of the nanomaterial photo-catalyst. The technique affects the particle size of the catalyst and its crystallinity. The smaller the particle diameter of the catalyst, the more active the catalyst and consequently,
increases the yield of hydrogen production. Improvement in the efficiency of the photo-catalyst is also achieved by doping the nanomaterials with transition elements. The different photo-catalysts that can be used under irradiation by visible light from a lamp include CuFeO₂, CuLaO₁₂, FeGaO₃, and CuCr₂O₄/TiO₂. These are referred to as spinal photo-catalysts [25].

2.5.1 Cadmium Sulfide (CdS)

Another type of nanomaterial used for the liquid phase of photo-catalysis is cadmium sulfide and other materials related to it. The cadmium sulfide can be used alone or doped with TiO₂ or ZnS in the production of hydrogen gas from hydrogen sulfide dissolved in water or alkali solution. As noted previously, the method of production of the photo catalyst influences the activity of the catalyst. The cadmium sulfide, as a photo-catalyst, is fabricated through hydrothermal and sol-gel techniques to make it highly active for hydrogen gas production from water containing sulfide ions under irradiation with visible light. Cadmium sulfide-based photo-catalysts produce similar results even in a system using hydrogen sulfide dissolved in NaOH electrolyte. The semiconductor has the capability to absorb visible light but not in pure water because it undergoes anodic photodecomposition [25].

2.5.2 Zinc Oxide (ZnO)

Nano structured zinc oxide (ZnO) is also a very stable semiconductor material with photo-catalytic activity needed for photo-catalysis. Because of the high band gap, photo excitation of zinc oxide to produce the electron-hole pair needed for hydrogen gas production from hydrogen sulfide gas requires ultraviolet (UV) light [25]. The use of visible light is, however, made possible when the zinc oxide is doped with copper, which has a low band gap and good crystallinity [25].
2.6 Alpha-Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃)

2.6.1 Introduction and Properties

Alpha-Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) is the most common naturally approachable semiconducting photocatalyst with bandgap 2.1 eV. The α-Fe₂O₃ can absorb up to 40% of solar spectrum energy. The photoanode based on α-Fe₂O₃ has been used in photoelectrochemical application due to excellent photochemical stability. Moreover, α-Fe₂O₃ has been used for different applications such as sensors, catalyst, pigments, drug delivery, and photoelectrochemical cells [26].

2.6.2 Characterization

2.6.2.1 X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

The hematite nanoparticle has been identified to have rhombohedral structure. The α-Fe₂O₃ NPs shows the metallic iron consisting of the face-centered cubic (FCC) phase. The major peaks are shown in Figure 5 for the α-Fe₂O₃ NPs that have been assigned to be (0 1 2), (1 0 4), (1 1 0), (1 1 3), (0 2 4), (1 1 6), (0 1 8), (2 1 4) and (3 0 0) planes [27].

![X-ray diffraction pattern of α-Fe₂O₃](image)

Figure 2.5 X-ray diffraction pattern of α-Fe₂O₃ [27]
2.6.2.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR has been a profound tool to understand the chemical bounds of nanomaterials. Figure 6 shows a 3463 cm\(^{-1}\) band due to O-H stretching, with 1631 cm\(^{-1}\) due to C=C stretching present in the nanomaterials. However, the presence of bands at 580 and 474 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to Fe-O group found in the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) NP [28].

![FTIR spectra of \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)](image)

**Figure 2.6 FTIR spectra of \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) [28]**

2.6.2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM is a versatile tool to investigate the shape, size, and morphology of nanostructured materials. Figure 7(a) shows the flower-like shape structure while Figure 7(b) shows how the spindle-like nanoparticles form a micro-flower structure [28].
2.6.2.4 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) study is a versatile method to understand the size and shape of nanomaterials. The TEM has shown a resolution of 0.2 nm for synthesized hematite nanomaterial (Figure 8(a)). Figure 8(c) observes 2.4 nm in size of mean nanoparticle alpha-hematite material. In addition, TEM shows how the particles are well distributed [29].

Figure 2.7 SEM images of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [28]

Figure 2.8 TEM images of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [29]
2.7 Enhanced Water Splitting in α-Hematite

Water splitting using α-hematite has been improved through modification of the structure (shape and size) of the nanomaterials. The properties of α-hematite are largely affected if the structure is nanotube, nanowire, hollow tubular, or spherical structure. However, the self-assembled or array could easily affect the properties of the water splitting application. Doping using soft metal, transition metal, and dichalcogenide have been performed to enhance the physical properties of the nanomaterials. In addition, the composite, or co-catalyst formation with different transition metal oxide with α-hematite, has been attempted to improve the water splitting properties in photoelectrochemical cells [30].

2.7.1 Modification Structure

Different synthesis processes affect the shape and size of the nanomaterial. Lassoed et al. have shown that an increase of precursory (FeCl3) concentration leads to a size increase of the alpha-hematite nanomaterial [30]. They have shown that [Fe3+] with 0.05 M revealed the best crystallinity, size, and bandgap of 2.09 eV. Figures (a-d) show the spherical structure of α-hematite varying in size from 21 nm to 82 nm [30].

Figure 2.9 SEM images of various concentrations of α-Fe₂O₃ [30]
2.7.1.1 Nanotube (NTAs) and Nanorod (NRA) Structure

As shown in Figure 10, the nanotube of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and nanorod of SnO$_2$ can be synthesized using the hydrothermal process. The nanotubes synthesized for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ have been found to be ~50 nm in diameter with a wall thickness of ~10 nm. The imposition of various times affects the size of the nanorod and nanotube. The hydrothermal reaction of one hour has been found to result in a thin and dense nanoparticle layer. However, the reaction time of two hours showed the cuboid nanorods of SnO$_2$ with a width of approximately of 50 nm [31].

![Figure 2.10 Schematic of SnO$_2$ NRAs/α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ NTAs composite nanostructure [31]](image)

2.7.1.2 Nanowire Structure

The nanowire of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with 1-5 μm length can be synthesized by heating to approximately 700 °C in a time scale of seconds. Figure 11 shows the SEM pictures of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ grown through 100 nm/s. The NW can then be grown in a crystallographic direction. The sword-like shape nanowire growth is controlled through the diffusion process. Figure 12 shows the schematic of nanowire growth in ambient temperature [32].
2.7.2 Doping

Doping in general is the tailoring of physical and chemical properties of semiconductors for various applications. Ti, Al, Sn, Mn, Ti, Si, Ge, etc. transition metals have been doped with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ for water-splitting applications. Doping using various transition metals affects the morphology, structure, shape of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Moreover, the doping brings a change in lattice of hematite rather than a change on surfaces. The doping is generally organized for superlattice structures or to take a disordered, solid solution state. Clusters in the structure are also caused by
doping as well as changes in magnetic properties (electrochemical, electrical and electronic properties) of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ undoped bulk hematite as shown in Table 2.1. Additionally, the structure, morphology, and size of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dependent on the type of dopant. As shown in Figure 13, the germanium and silicon doping in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ observes nanosheets structures, whereas the tin doping reveals peanut-like nanoparticles of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [33].

Table 2.1: Different doping materials with alpha-hematite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doped Material</th>
<th>Synthesis</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Volt</th>
<th>Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [34]</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>Nanorod (650 nm)</td>
<td>1.5 V</td>
<td>1.16 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [34]</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>1.5 V</td>
<td>1.85 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoOOH/(Ti, C)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (CTCF)[35]</td>
<td>facile approach</td>
<td>Nanorod arrays</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5 and 6 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon-doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [36]</td>
<td>magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>Nanocubes</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>1.25 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr-doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [37]</td>
<td>solution growth</td>
<td>Nanorod arrays</td>
<td>3.5 and 6 times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-doped Fe$_2$O$_3$ [38]</td>
<td>doctor blade method</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>1.25 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [39]</td>
<td>hydrothermal</td>
<td>Nanocubes</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>IPCE of 25.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-doped ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) [40]</td>
<td>solution growth</td>
<td>Nanorods array</td>
<td>1.0 V</td>
<td>0.66 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$-doped ($\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$) [41]</td>
<td>doctor blade method</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>0.8 V</td>
<td>1.18 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zirconium-doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [42]</td>
<td>electrodeposition method</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>0.6 V</td>
<td>2.1 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-doped Fe$_2$O$_3$ [43]</td>
<td>Nanorods array</td>
<td>Nanorods array</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>1.42 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/CdS [44]</td>
<td>facile two-stage method</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>0.4 V</td>
<td>0.6 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$/BiVO$_4$ [45]</td>
<td>metal-organic deposition method</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>7.0±0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn-doped Fe$_2$O$_3$ [46]</td>
<td>hydrothermal</td>
<td>Nanocorals</td>
<td>1.23 V</td>
<td>1.86 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-doped Fe$_2$O$_3$ [47]</td>
<td>electrodeposition</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>0.4 V</td>
<td>1.43 mA/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO/Fe$_2$O$_3$ [48]</td>
<td>Core–Shell Nanowires</td>
<td>Nanotube arrays</td>
<td>0.6 V</td>
<td>~0.75 and ~0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [49]</td>
<td>Nanotube arrays</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>0.5 V</td>
<td>40.4 μA cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.13 Schematic for various doping materials (Ge, Si, Mn, Sn, Ti) in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [33]

2.8 Applications of $\alpha$-Hematite Photoconduction Material

2.8.1 Sensing

The alpha-hematite can be used for the sensing device and Ag can be doped to enhance the electronic properties of alpha-hematite. The results showed that Ag particles enhance the surface of the composite and offer much higher detection of CH$_3$SH than the bare alpha-hematite. The hematite containing 3% silver shows the sensing of CH$_3$SH in the range of 20–80 ppm at room temperature [50].

2.8.2 Photovoltaic Cells (PV)

Photovoltaic cells are one the most important applications globally, and countless materials have been tested to achieve high results. Alpha-hematite is a desirable material for PV because it is cheap, durable, and nontoxic and can absorb visible light from sun irradiation. The size of the material can also affect the absorption intensity; a 400-600 nm area has a higher absorption
intensity than 250-400 nm area. The size decrease from 48 to 5 nm brings changes in the intensity in addition to the shift in absorption band edge at wavelengths from 533 to 488 nm. The increase in magnetic tilt coupling brings the pair excitons, which causes local d-d transition and band tail types of charge-transfer. The band tail charge transfer produces photovoltaic responses due to delocalization [51]

### 2.8.3 Fuel Cell Production

Alpha-hematite is an abundant material that can be used in various applications. One application that can utilize alpha-hematite is the production of fuel cells using Microbial fuel cell (MFCs) devices. These devices can produce electricity using microorganisms as biocatalysts due to the electrochemical reactions. In this device, the self-assembly technique was used to synthesize alpha-hematite nanorod with chitosan (CS) based on layer-by-layer (LBL). This technique enhanced the modified (Fe$_2$O$_3$/CS)$_4$/ITO anode and produced high electricity of 320% compared to the unmodified anode and also other enhanced anodes [52].

### 2.8.4 Water Purification

Alpha-hematite is a semi-conducting material with a low band gap and is considered a photo material that can absorb visible light. The alpha-hematite can work for water purification, but it must be doped to enhance its properties. TiO$_2$ is also a photo-material that can be synthesized with alpha-hematite by using impregnation of Fe$^{3+}$ into meso-TiO$_2$ followed by calcination at 300 °C. XRD and TEM were used to investigate the morphology and structure [53].

### 2.8.5 Lithium Batteries

Lithium battery use is a broad subject, and many experiments have been performed to test various materials offering good results; alpha-hematite is one. As shown in Figure 29, the spindle-like porous $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was synthesized using the metal organic framework (MOF) template. The
spindle structure of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was investigated for Li storage capacity. The use of MOF enhanced the charge storage in Li-ion batteries due to a high surface area. Figure 22 (a& b) shows SEM images of the FeOx-C composite while Figure 29 (c and d) shows the particle length by using TEM varying from 0.8 μm to 0.4 μm.[54]

![SEM images of FeOx-C composite](image)

Figure 2.14 SEM images of FeOx-C composite [54]

2.8.6 Supercapacitor

The supercapacitor is one application that uses alpha-hematite after enhancing its properties. PANI can be synthesized with alpha-hematite to have new structure nanowire arrays. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-PANI core–shell nanowire arrays have been synthesized for use in supercapacitor electrodes as shown in Figure 23 (a). The new structure of alpha-hematite-PANI offers the electrode for supercapacitor application having fast ion and electron transfer, large surface area, and structure stability. As shown in Figure 23 (b), $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-PANI nanowire arrays showed the volumetric capacitance of 2.02 mF/cm$^3$ and energy density of 0.35 mWh/cm$^3$. The supercapacitor
from α-Fe2O3-PANI nanowire arrays showed high cycling stability with 95.77% retention of capacitance after 10 000 cycles.[55]

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 2.15** (a) Schematic of the structure of the supercapacitor (b) CVs of the α-Fe2O3-PANI and PANI electrodes [55]

### 2.8.7 Corrosion Resistance

Alpha-hematite is useful for corrosion resistance, but it must be enhanced by other materials to yield high results. One of the candidate materials that can enhance properties of alpha-hematite is PANI. Chemical oxidative polymerization of aniline was used to make PANI-α-Fe2O3 NCs. The synthesis of PANI-α-Fe2O3 NCs reduces the conductivity due to alpha-hematite that hinders PANI conductivity. Potentiodynamic polarization technique was utilized to test the coating (PANI-α-Fe2O3 NCs) for the corrosion of 316LN stainless steel in 3.5% NaCl. The test for PANI-α-Fe2O3 provides high results for the corrosion resistance [56].

### 2.9 Conclusion

In summary, it be clearly stated that the involvement of photomaterials in the study of hydrogen production for clean energy will be an important element for future research. Doping alpha-hematite with transition metals, dichalcogenide materials and co-catalyst materials can improve the physical and electronic properties. Several synthesis methods exist, but the sol-gel method is easy to work with because it is less expensive and faster than other methods. The use of
nanoparticles, nano-coatings, nano-films, and other nano-products in existing methods of hydrogen generation have effectively demonstrated the premise that increasing the surface area for reaction substantially increases the rate of production and therefore increases the total volume of hydrogen fuel produced per unit of time for any given process.
CHAPTER 3: ALUMINUM - α-HEMATITE THIN FILMS FOR PHOTOELECTROCHEMICAL APPLICATIONS

3.1 Introduction

Hydrogen has been considered clean energy because of its high energy density and ease in burning with oxygen to produce water [57]. Hydrogen production using photocatalytic materials under a photoelectrochemical technique is an appealing and cost-effective technique. Water splitting is an endothermic process which requires more than 1.23 V vs NHE (Eq. 1) [57].

\[ H_2O \rightarrow H_{2(gas)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2(gas)} \quad (E^0 \text{ redox potential} = 1.23 V \text{ vs NHE}) \quad \text{Eq. 1} \]

So, several photoanodes (WO\(_3\) [58], ZnO [59], TiO\(_2\) [60, 61], and α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) [62-65]) have been used in PEC-based water splitting to produce hydrogen. However, α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and metal ion doped α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) materials have been considered suitable photoelectrode materials [63, 66-69]. α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) is indeed an excellent photoelectrode material due to the ease in synthesis, abundance, low cost, and favorable band gap (~2.1 eV) for splitting water to hydrogen [47, 57, 70-72]. α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) has high resistivity, shows slow surface kinetics, and possesses low electron mobility and high electron-hole combination due to low carrier diffusion properties [73].

The intrinsic electronic properties of α-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) can be controlled by doping with different metal ions (Ti, Pt, Mo, Cr, Al, Zn etc.) [73-78]. The metal doped Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanorod array has shown nearly 5% higher solar-to-hydrogen conversation efficiency for photoelectrochemical water
splitting than the undoped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [79]. The smaller sized metal ions (boron and aluminum) in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ have shown improved charge transport properties through strain introduction in the lattice structure, thus increasing the light absorption[80].

Under this manuscript, different percentages of Al ions were used in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ to enhance the hole/electron transport properties, homogenous structure, and stability of the nanomaterial. The low to high percentage of aluminum from 0.5% to 30% in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure was introduced to understand the physical properties of Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and their photoelectrochemical properties under light. The concentration of aluminum ions varied from 0.5%, 10%, 20% and 30% of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The nanomaterials were characterized using X-ray diffraction, SEM, FTIR and UV-vis techniques, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and impedance studies were conducted to understand the electrochemical behavior of electrode/electrolyte interface under the influence of light. The chronoamperometry studies on Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ were performed to understand the properties of splitting water into hydrogen.

3.2 Experimental Details

3.2.1 Materials

FeCl$_3$, AlCl$_3$, NaOH, NH$_4$OH, and fluorine tin oxide (FTO) coated glass with resistance of $\sim$10 $\Omega$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. A centrifuge was used to clean the synthesized nanomaterial from the resulting solution.

3.2.2 Experimental Procedure

The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials were synthesized via sol-gel technique. Eq.2 reveals the synthesis of Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial using this technique. Initially, various concentrations of FeCl$_3$ and AlCl$_3$ were prepared. Table 1 shows the amount and ratio of chemicals used in the synthesis of Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. NaOH solution of 1M was added to the mixture.
solution of FeCl₃ and AlCl₃ in a round bottom flask and stirred with a magnet. Later, a condenser was connected to the round bottom flask, the solution temperature was raised to 90-100 ºC, and the reaction continued for 24 hours. The reaction was terminated after 24 hours, and the solution was cooled at room temperature. The synthesized material was separated using a centrifuge and continuous cleaning with water. The Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterials were initially left drying at room temperature and then dried at various temperatures (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 ºC). In each case, the temperature was maintained in a furnace for one hour. The materials were brought to room temperature and collected in a tight bottle.

\[
\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{AlCl}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{NaOH (heat 110 oC)/sonication/dry/heat}} \text{Al} - \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \quad \text{Eq. 2}
\]

Table 3.1: Amount of chemical used for synthesis of Al-α-Fe₂O₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>0.5% AlCl₃ w.r.t FeCl₃</th>
<th>5% AlCl₃ w.r.t FeCl₃</th>
<th>10% AlCl₃ w.r.t to FeCl₃</th>
<th>20% AlCl₃ w.r.t to FeCl₃</th>
<th>30% AlCl₃ w.r.t to FeCl₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeCl₃</td>
<td>0.68 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCl₃</td>
<td>0.0266g</td>
<td>0.266g</td>
<td>0.532g</td>
<td>1.064g</td>
<td>1.596g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>4.8g</td>
<td>4.8g</td>
<td>4.8g</td>
<td>4.8g</td>
<td>4.8g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α-hematite nanomaterial with different percentage of aluminum (Al) ions

![Figure 3.1 Synthesized α-hematite (α-Fe₂O₃) and Al-α-Fe₂O₃ materials](image)
3.2.3 Film Formation of Substrate

The Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanomaterial paste was prepared by mixing acetic acid. Initially, 500 mg of each Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (0.5\%, 10\%, 20\%, 30\%) nanomaterial was ground and mixed with 10 ml acetic acid and left for 10 hours. Later, the thin film was applied to quartz, silicon, and fluorine tin oxide (FTO) by the solution cast technique. The coated films were cured at different temperatures of 300, 400 and 500 °C with an interval of one hour. The films were then cooled to room temperature before any measurements were taken.

3.3 Physical Properties Studies

3.3.1 UV-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)

Figure 2 shows UV-visible spectra of Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) film on quartz substrates. Table 2 shows the UV-vis peaks at nm for various percentages of Al in Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanomaterial. Its UV-vis absorption band is located between 580-680 nm, depending on the aluminum present in the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) structure. The characteristic broad absorption band at 639 nm and sharp band at 560 nm for \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) are observed in Figure 2. (2.2 eV) nm result from the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The band at 580 nm, which is related to 2.2 eV, is indicative of the band gap of the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). This 680 nm band is due to the 3d-3d excitation of Fe\(^{+3}\) in \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). The presence of Al reveals a change in band gap from 2.1 eV to 2.4 eV, which is consistent with the results obtained by Shine et al. [69]

Table 3.2: UV-vis peaks at nm for various percentages of Al in Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanomaterial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Al in Al-(\alpha)-Fe(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>UV-vis peaks in nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30% Al</td>
<td>407, 498 broad, 620 broad, 777, 802, 920, 995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Al</td>
<td>546, 573, 624 broad, 721, 735, 748 ,815 broad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% Al</td>
<td>403, 503 broad, 594 broad, 674 broad, 734, 786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Al</td>
<td>381, 409 broad, 486 broad, 595 broad, 709 broad, 850 broad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Figure 3 shows SEM images of Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterial film as a function of various percentages of Al. The images show the uniform distribution of Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles. The images of Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterials for the varying Al doping is increased from 0.5% to 30%. This reveals that the size of the particles changes by increasing the aluminum in the α-Fe₂O₃ structure. 30% Al is not able to change the polycrystalline structure of α-Fe₂O₃, which does not interact at molecular level. The increase of Al ions shows clustering and/or compact formation of Al-α-Fe₂O₃. The clustering in the structure shows an increase in Al content in the structure of Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterial films.
Figure 3.3 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of Al doped $\alpha$-hematite. The percentage of doping of Al in Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is shown.

3.3.3 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

Figure 4 depicts the x-ray diffraction study of various Al doped Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial films, and Table 3 shows X-ray diffraction 2$\Theta$ in degrees for various percentages of Al in Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. It is clear from the X-ray diffraction pattern that the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ has a polycrystalline structure. The Al ion incorporation in the structure does not cause lattice distortion in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ film. The structure, due to Al ions, forms a rhombohedral crystalline structure [69]. The small and wider X-ray diffraction peaks are a result of cluster-point defects in the structure of Al-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial films.
Table 3.3: X-ray diffraction for various percentages of Al in Al-α-Fe2O3 nanomaterial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Al in Al-α-Fe2O3</th>
<th>X-ray diffraction 2θ in degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>31.05, 33.07, 35.61, 45.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>24.11, 31.5, 33.09, 35.61, 45.41, 49.37, 53.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>31.67, 33.05, 35.57, 45.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>24.13, 31.69, 33.15, 35.61, 45.45, 49.43, 54.13, 62.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.4 X-ray diffraction pattern of Al-α-Fe2O3

3.3.4 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

FTIR spectra of α-Fe2O3 is shown in Figure 5. Curve 1 this figure shows FTIR peaks of α-Fe2O3 at 3414, 3029, 2934, 2843, 1637, 1497, 1446, 902, 748, 697, 553 and 474 cm⁻¹. The α-Fe2O3 material is related to hydroxyl OH groups at 3414 cm⁻¹ due to ν (OH) stretching, while 1627 cm⁻¹ is due to δ(OH) vibration. The band at 553 cm⁻¹ is due to Fe-O vibration mode in Fe2O3, and the
bands at 697 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 474 cm\textsuperscript{-1} result from lattice defects in Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} [81, 82]. Curve 2 shows FTIR peaks of Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at 3400, 3100, 3088, 2925, 2846, 1869, 1809, 1744, 1637, 1602, 1493, 1451, 1387, 1181, 1092, 1065, 841, 758, 702, 544 and 479 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The presence of doping decreases the FTIR band at 697 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. However, there is a marked shift of the band from 479 to 460 cm\textsuperscript{-1} due to Al ions. The infrared shift and the appearance of a band at 670 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is related to Al atom replacement of Fe in Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} films [82, 83].

Figure 3.5 FTIR spectra of Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. Each curve shows the presence of aluminum with Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} given as: curve 1 = \(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Curve 2 = 0.5\% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Curve 3 = 20\% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Curve 4 = 30\% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

3.4 Electrochemical Studies

3.4.1 Cyclic Voltammetry

The set-up of electrochemical measurements has been adopted similar to our earlier publications on hybrid films [84, 85]. Figure 6 shows cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies of 20\% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} in 1M NaOH in a three-electrode configuration of an electrochemical setup, with platinum (Pt) as a reference and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode. The figure indicates an increase in
electrochemical properties as a function of Al content (Table 4). While Al ions induce the electrochemical properties, the CV indicates that films are highly conductive for a voltage of approximately 1.5 V; however, it is due to the oxidation of water.

Figure 3.6 Cyclic voltammetry of Al-α-Fe₂O₃ in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode

Figure 7 shows CV of 20% Al-α-Fe₂O₃ in 1M NaOH in a three-electrode setup with Pt as the reference and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The figure clearly shows the reverse properties of the Al-α-Fe₂O₃ material in the 1 M NaOH based electrolyte. It is clear from the figure two oxidation and two reduction peaks exist. The diffusion coefficient has been calculated for using a peak current for a reversible cyclic voltammetry, which is given by Randles-Sevcik (Eq. 3),

\[ I_P = (2.69 \times 10^5)n^{3/2}ACD^{1/2}ν^{1/2} \]  

Eq. 3

where \( n \) = number of electrons, \( A \) = electrode area (cm²), \( C \) = concentration (mol/cm³), \( D \) = diffusion coefficient (cm²/s) and \( ν \) = potential scan rate (V/s).
Figure 3.7 Cyclic voltammetry of 20% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode.

Figure 8 shows the variation of current (A) vs scan rate (V/sec\(^{1/2}\)) for 20% Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) in 1M NaOH in a three-electrode setup with platinum counter and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode. The diffusion coefficient has been estimated to be 0.03 x 10\(^{-14}\) cm\(^2\)/sec.

Figure 3.8 Cyclic voltammetry of Al-\(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) with and without light in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode.
Figure 9 shows the CV of Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with and without light in 1M NaOH in a three-electrode setup with platinum as a reference and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. The voltammogram is reference and Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$/on ITO or steel is the working electrode. The CV studies were made with and without light. The figure clearly shows photocurrent effects with a marked increase in the current due to a photocatalytic effect on Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$.

![Graph showing CV of Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with and without light.]

Figure 3.9 Variation of current (A) vs scan rate (V/sec)$^{1/2}$ for 20% Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode

### 3.4.2 Schematic of Hydrogen Production

The onset for the splitting of water is shown to be in the range of 1.3 to 2 volts [86]. The effect is also checked using chronoamperometry with an applied potential of 1.23V to 1.7 V between anode and cathode with reference to Ag/AgCl. The photocurrent can be clearly distinguishable with and without light between 1.23 to 2 volts.

The process of water oxidation and hydrogen production has been studied [57, 87] and Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ will have a similar production of hydrogen in water as shown in Eq. 4 and 5.

\[
\text{Photoanode: } 4\text{OH}^- + 4h^+ \rightarrow 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{Eq.4}
\]

\[
\text{Counter cathode: } 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- \rightarrow 4\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{Eq.5}
\]
The Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is used for hydrogen evolution in 1 M NaOH-based electrolyte. The cathode side shows the production of hydrogen, and an attempt was made to include the band diagram of the photocatalytic cells and hydrogen production based on earlier studies only for Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [57, 87]. The effect of the band gap has a profound influence on the production of hydrogen as shown in the schematic in Figure 10.

Figure 3.10 Schematic of hydrogen production using Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photocatalyst in 1 M NaOH

3.5 Conclusion

The synthesized Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ observes the bandgap between 2.1 eV to 2.4 eV. The increase of Al contents in α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ shows clustering due to the denser formation of the Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particle. The structure of aluminum doping as well as composite formation due to a high percentage of Al shows a rhombohedra structure. The small, wider peaks introduced in Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are a result of a cluster-point defect. However, there is a marked shift of the band at 479 cm$^{-1}$ due to the presence of aluminum in α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The photocurrent can be clearly distinguishable with and without light between 1.23 and 2 volts. We are at present researching the photoelectrochemical properties of MoS$_2$ composite with α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials to further enhance the photocurrent in the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$
photocatalyst. The Al-α-Fe₂O₃ nanostructured thin film provides easy production of hydrogen using the PEC water-splitting process, which could reveal a possible renewable energy application in future.
CHAPTER 4: MOLYBDENUM DISULFIDE α-HEMATITE-NANOCOMPOSITE FILMS FOR PHOTOELECTROCHEMICAL APPLICATIONS²

4.1 Introduction

Photoelectrochemical cells (PEC) produce hydrogen through the splitting of water using renewable sources such as the sun and water [57, 68, 88]. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells have been used to transform solar energy to hydrogen gas by splitting water into hydrogen and oxygen, hence offering clean and renewable energy [89]. Moreover, photoelectrochemical cells (PEC) have attracted attention since the first application of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) by Honda and Fujishima in 1972 [90]. Nevertheless, the large bandgap of TiO₂ (3.1–3.3 eV) inhibits visible light absorption which confines the efficiency of solar-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency to 2.2% [90]. So, it is necessary to use material that has a small band gap and easy to harvest energy using sunlight (visible light 53%) [91]. Iron oxide, bismuth vanadate, tungsten oxide, and tantalum nitride are examples of low band gap semiconducting materials [90]. α-Fe₂O₃ is one of the most attractive photo-anode materials with an efficiency of 16% to convert solar-to-hydrogen energy [70, 90, 92-98]. The α-Fe₂O₃ has been used for photoelectrochemical applications due to its optical properties, low bandgap (2.1–2.2 eV), low cost, nontoxicity, high chemical stability, and abundance in nature [90]. However, α-Fe₂O₃ also has several drawbacks such as shorter hole diffusion length, low conductivity, shorter life time of photoexcitation, and deprived reaction kinetics of oxygen

evolution in photoelectrochemical applications [26]. The doping with several metallic ions such as zinc [99], titanium [100, 101], molybdenum [102], aluminum [74], platinum [47], silicon [103-105], graphene [106, 107], and cadmium sulfide [108] has shown improved PEC performance. The zinc and aluminum doped α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ has shown enhanced photoelectrochemical properties compared to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures [109-111].

Recently, two-dimensional (2D) dichalcogenide material, molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$), with a bandgap of 1.8 eV, has been used as n- and p-types structures for photoelectrochemical studies [90]. The MoS$_2$ shows stimulating photocatalytic activity due to its bonding, chemical composition, doping, and nanoparticle growth on various film matrices, and has been used for hydrogen production in nanocluster structures [88, 112-115]. Additionally, MoS$_2$ produces various applications in photocatalysts, phototransistors, and sensor applications [90]. It is understood that MoS$_2$ could play a central role in charge transfer with slow recombination of electron-hole pairs due to photo-energy with the charge transfer rate between surface and electrons [116].

Under this work, MoS$_2$ particles were used to promote electron transport properties of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial using doping and homogenous structure formation as MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials. The doping of MoS$_2$ particles varied by 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, 2% and 5% in α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The X-ray diffraction, SEM, FTIR, Raman spectroscopy, particle analyzer, and UV-vis techniques were used to characterize various percentages of MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials. The electrochemical and photoelectrochemical studies were performed on MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanostructures by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and impedance measurements for water-splitting applications.
4.2 Experimental Details

4.2.1 Materials

The materials iron chloride (FeCl$_3$), aluminum chloride (AlCl$_3$), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), MoS$_2$, and ammonium hydroxide (NH$_4$OH) were procured from Sigma-Aldrich. The $\sim$10 $\Omega$ resistance of fluorine tin oxide (FTO) coated glass was purchased also from Sigma-Aldrich. The synthesized MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials were cleaned using centrifuged containers.

4.2.2 Experimental Procedure

The sol-gel technique was used to synthesize both \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and various compositions of MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials. Equation 1 shows the synthesis process of MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials. Table 1 observes the types and amounts of chemicals used in the synthesis of MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Different concentrations of FeCl$_3$ with MoS$_2$ were prepared in 500 ml round bottom flasks. The solution was stirred for one hour after the addition of the NaOH solution. The chemical reaction continued at 90-100 °C after the round bottom flask was connected to a condenser. The reaction was held for 24 hours and then allowed to cool at room temperature. The centrifuge was used to separate the synthesized nanomaterial with the use of sufficient water. Initially, the material was left to dry at room temperature for one day. The synthesized materials containing different ratios of \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ to MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ were obtained. Figure 1 shows the photographs of the MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ materials synthesized using various percentage of MoS$_2$ to \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The immediate doping of 0.1% MoS$_2$ changes the color of \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$, whereas the dark red color can be visualized with the increase of MoS$_2$ percentage in \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The each synthesized MoS$_2$-\(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial was dried for one hour at various temperatures (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 °C). The materials were allowed then cooled at room temperature to obtain the dry powder. The nanomaterials were characterized using various physical techniques, and electrodes on conducting
substrates were prepared to study the electrochemical and photochemical properties of MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$.

$$FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O + MoS_2 \xrightarrow{NaOH (heat \ 110 \degree C)/soninaion/dry/heat} MoS_2 - Fe_2O_3 \text{ Eq. 1}$$

Table 4.1: Amount of chemical used for synthesis of MoS$_2$-composite α-hematite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>0.1% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>0.2% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>0.5% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>1% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>2% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
<th>5% MoS$_2$ w.r.to FeCl$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeCl$_3$</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
<td>6.8 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS$_2$</td>
<td>0.013 g</td>
<td>0.026 g</td>
<td>0.065 g</td>
<td>0.1296 g</td>
<td>0.2592 g</td>
<td>0.648 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>{19}$H$</em>{42}$BrN</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
<td>0.5 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.1 Synthesized α-hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) and MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ composite materials
4.2.3 Film Formation of Substrate

The thin film of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was fabricated on various FTO coated glass plates and silicon substrates using acetic acid. Initially, the acetic acid was used to prepare a homogenous paste of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. 500 mg of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, 2%, and 5%) was ground and 10 ml acetic acid was applied and left for 10 hours. Later, a homogenous colloidal solution containing MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in acetic acid was used to create films on quartz, silicon, and fluorine tin oxide (FTO) coated glass plates. The films were annealed at various temperatures (100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 °C) for one hour. The XRD, SEM, cyclic voltammetry, and UV-vis characterizations were performed in room temperature-cooled samples of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ films.

4.3 Physical Properties Studies

4.3.1 UV-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)

Figure 2 observes the UV-vis spectra of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoS$_2$ and $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-MoS$_2$-prepared at a different ratio of MoS$_2$ to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. An UV-Vis Spectrometer Jasco V-530 was used to measure the absorption spectra on various samples deposited on glass plates. Figure 2(a) shows the UV–vis absorption at approximately 550 nm for the pristine $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, similar to that shown in literature. Figure 2(b) shows the characteristic absorption bands 388, 453, 618 and 679 nm for the MoS$_2$ nanomaterial film on glass plates. Figure 2(c-f) shows the UV-vis absorption spectra for MoS$_2$ doped in different percentages (0.1, 0, 2, 1 and 5%) with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. Figure 2(c) shows the absorption bands at 282, 454, 463 nm. Figure 2(d) shows the absorption bands at 446 and 565 nm. Distinct peaks can be seen at 382, 461 and 570 nm. Figure 2(e) displays the UV-vis band at 382, 456 and 559nm whereas Figure 2(f) shows the absorption band at 382, 459 and 572 nm. There is a blue shift with an increase of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [117]. However, the band observed for 0.1%
MoS$_2$ doping is shifted at 572 nm in 5% MoS$_2$ doping in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. Such results are consistent with the results shown of transition composite metal ions [118]. The UV-vis spectra of the composite hematite have been estimated to be 2.17 eV for the band at 572 nm.

Figure 4.2 UV-vis absorption spectra of MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-hematite nanocomposite

4.3.2 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The crystalline structure of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was investigated using Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), model PANalytical X’Pert Pro MRD system, with Cu K$\alpha$ radiation (wavelength = 1.5442 Å) operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. Figure 3 shows X-ray diffraction curves for several percentages of MoS$_2$ (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, 2%, and 5%) to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$; $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O has a polycrystalline structure as revealed from the XRD pattern. The diffraction common peaks in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite at different percentages of MoS$_2$ displays bands at 31.2°, 33.2°, 37.5°, 40.9°, 49.5°, 54.1°, 62.2°, and 64.2°. The diffraction angle peak has been indexed at (012), (104), (110), (113), (024), (116), (214), and (300) for crystal planes of hexagonal iron oxide [8]. It
is clear from sharp and strong diffraction peaks that $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is well crystallized in the synthesis process for all percentages of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [119]. The peak at 54.1° is due to the presence of MoS$_2$ in the MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-structure.

![X-ray diffraction pattern of MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-hematite nanocomposite](image)

4.3.3 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

Perkin Elmer spectrum was utilized to study FTIR spectroscopy of various samples of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite. The MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite was mixed with KBr, the pellets were made using the hydraulic press, and the samples were measured using the transmission mode from 400 to 4000 cm$^{-1}$. FTIR spectra of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ shows the change of percentage of MoS$_2$ doping with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with curve 1 to 5%, curve 2 to 0.2%, curve 3 to 2%, curve 4 to 1%, curve 5 to 0.5%, and curve 6 to 0.1% of MoS2 in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as shown in Figure 4. The infrared bands of each MoS$_2$ doping to $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are shown in Table 2. The infrared band at 3414 cm$^{-1}$ is due to hydroxyl (OH) groups in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The band at 1642 cm$^{-1}$ results from $\nu$ (OH) stretching. The Fe-O vibration band in Fe$_2$O$_3$ is because of 562 cm$^{-1}$. The band at 620-654 and 474-512 are
related to the lattice defects in Fe$_2$O$_3$ [81, 82]. The infrared band at 474-512 cm$^{-1}$ is due to stretching vibration depicting the presence of MoS$_2$ in the MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure. The doping of 0.1% to 5% of MoS$_2$ shifts the infrared band from 512 cm$^{-1}$ to 474 cm$^{-1}$. The band at 474 cm$^{-1}$ is the band observed for exfoliated MoS$_2$ nanosheets revealing the maximum doping in MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure [120].

![Figure 4.4 FTIR spectra of MoS$_2$ with α-hematite nanocomposite](image)

Table 4.2: Infrared bands of each MoS$_2$ doping to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MoS$_2$</th>
<th>Wavenumber (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>474, 562, 620, 1136, 1193, 1472, 1642, 2858, 2924, 3436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>484, 562, 620, 1136, 1193, 1472, 1642, 2858, 2924, 3436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>474, 570, 640, 1006, 1134, 1388, 1470, 1670, 2854, 2924, 3436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>458, 554, 644, 802, 898, 1042, 1386, 1468, 1634, 2856, 2922, 3438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>512, 522, 654, 802, 1114, 1396, 1434, 1666, 2836, 2952, 3448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of various MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ samples were measured using FE-SEM, S-800, and Hitachi. Figure 5 shows SEM images of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials which consisted of various percentages from 0.1 to 5% MoS$_2$ to Fe$_2$O$_3$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. SEM images reveal that the morphology of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ resembles blooming flower-like nanoparticles. The blooming flower-like morphology is a result of doping MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ [121]. The images reveal that the size of the particle changes with the increase of MoS$_2$ doping from 0.1% to 5% in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. In addition, it is difficult to differentiate simple $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles from MoS$_2$ nanosheets; this shows a strong interface formation between Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial [122].

![SEM images of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials](image)

Figure 4.5 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-hematite nanocomposite. The percentage of MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-hematite nanocomposite is shown in Figure 6.
4.3.5 Raman Spectroscopy

The Raman spectrum has been studied to understand the rapid and nondestructive surface description of vibrational characteristic bonds of MoS$_2$ to Fe$_2$O$_3$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. Figure 6 shows the Raman spectra of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film excited by a 532 nm laser [123]. The Raman shift at 532 cm$^{-1}$ resonates with the electronic transition in ring structures for aromatic clustering processes in sp$^2$ dominated particles. The shifts at 374 and 417 cm$^{-1}$ are due to in-plane vibrational (E$_{2g1}$) and the out-of-plane vibrational (A$_{1g}$) modes. The enhanced MoS$_2$ is indicative of energy difference between Raman shifts due to MoS$_2$ content in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial.

Figure 4.6 Raman spectra of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film sample and ITO substrate with various percentages of MoS$_2$ as shown in a and b
4.3.6 Particle Analyzer

The Zetasizer Nano particle analyzer range model was used to measure the average particle size of various MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ samples. Initially, the MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial was dispersed in water and ultra-sonicated to produce an aggregated free colloidal sample. Figure 7 shows the particle size of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as a function of MoS$_2$ doped in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The average particle size in the liquid sample ranges from 459 nm (0.1%) to 825 nm for (5%) the dopant of MoS$_2$ respectively. Although these particles are small, there are few particles which are larger than five microns. The larger particles that can be detected through SEM measurement are a result of aggregation. The average particle size is important for the fabrication of the electrodes from the particles. This information of nanomaterial dispersion of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ can be exploited for electrode fabrication or other applications.
4.4 Electrochemical Studies

4.4.1 Cyclic Voltammetry

The electrochemical measurements on various MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes were measured from an electrochemical workstation (Volta lab). The electrochemical setup was adopted similar to our earlier studies on hybrid films [84, 85]. Figure 8 shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) of 1% MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ on FTO coated glass plates as a working electrode with platinum (Pt) as a reference and Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode in a three electrode-based electrochemical cell in 1M NaOH. The continuous increase of CV current was observed with an increase in function of scan rate. The presence of MoS$_2$ ions induces the electrochemical properties and 1.3V can be seen as the oxidation potential of water, which is less than the aluminum doped from our previous studies [30].
Figure 4.8 Cyclic voltammetry of 1% MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite without light in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode.

The CV is shown in Figure 9 with application of light simulated for solar radiation. However, with the scan rate of 100 mV/sec, a maximum photocurrent was absorbed for MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film. The diffusion coefficient was calculated by using peak current for a reversible cyclic voltammetry, given by the Randles-Sevcik equation (Eq. 2)[124].

$$I_p = (2.69 \times 10^5)n^{3/2}ACD^{1/2}v^{1/2}$$

where:

$n$ = number of electrons

$A$ = electrode area (cm$^2$)

$C$ = concentration (mole/cm$^3$)

$D$ = diffusion coefficient (cm$^2$/s)

$v$ = potential scan rate (V/s)

$I_p$=current.

The diffusion coefficient has been calculated at $0.24 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$/s.
Figure 4.9 Cyclic voltammetry of 1% MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite with light in 1M NaOH in three electrodes with platinum as reference and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode.

4.4.2 Chronoamperometry

Figure 10 (a & b) shows the chronoamperometry study of two electrode cells consisting of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film as working electrolyte and steel as the counter in various concentrations (0.01 0.1, 1M) of NaOH-based electrolytes. The potential from -1000 mV to 1500 mV was applied, and the chronoamperometry photocurrent was studied. Figure 9 (a & b) shows the chronoamperometry photocurrent plot with $t^{-1/2}$ for oxidation and reduction processes for MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film. The rise of photocurrent showed a linear relationship with $t^{1/2}$ due to the excitation of light. The current transient was different from the excitation of light. The diffusion-controlled photocurrent is calculated using the Cottrell equation in Equation 3 [125-127].

$$i = \left[ nFAD^{1/2}C \right] / \left[ \pi t^{1/2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 3}
where:

\( n \) = the electron participating in the reaction

\( F \) = the faraday constant

\( A \) = the area of the electrode

\( i \) = the transient current

\( D \) = the diffusion coefficient

\( C \) = the concentration of the electrolyte

The \( D \) has been estimated to be \( 1.057 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec} \).

Figure 4.10 Chronoamperometry photocurrent plot with \( t(s)^{-1/2} \) for oxidation and reduction processes for MoS\(_2\)-\( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) film

4.4.3 Half-Sweep Potential

Figure 11 shows the half sweep potential with and without light for both aluminum-doped\( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and MoS\(_2\)-\( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\). Our previous study on aluminum doping has shown the photocurrent to be 35 \( \mu \)A whereas the same type of electrode for MoS\(_2\)-\( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) showed the current
to be 180 μA. A schottky-type current voltage is experienced for both aluminum-doped as well as MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based electrodes in photoelectrochemical cells.

![Graph showing current density vs potential with and without light for Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film with and without light exposure](image)

**Figure 4.11** Half-sweep potential with and without light for Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film with and without light exposure

### 4.4.4 Schematic of MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ Reaction Process

A schematic was drawn to understand the effect of MoS$_2$ with α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Hydrogen production using MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photocatalysts in electrolytes containing 1 M NaOH is shown in a schematic in Figure 12. The band gap of MoS$_2$ varies from 1.8-1.9 eV, whereas the band gap of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is 2.1 eV. The bandgap of MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was estimated in range of 1.94 to 2.40 eV based on UV-vis measurements, which is well within the region of visible light. MoS$_2$ doping also increased the conductivity of the samples. The schematic in Figure 12 shows that the photogenerated electrons from the conduction band of MoS$_2$ are transferred to the conduction band (CB) of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ whereas holes from α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ are transferred to the valence band (VB) of MoS$_2$. This enhances the photocatalytic activity of the MoS$_2$ composite with α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial-based electrodes.
Figure 4.12 Schematic of hydrogen production using MoS$_2$-composite $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photocatalyst in 1 M NaOH

4.5 Conclusion

The synthesized MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ observed the shift in the band gap to 2.17 eV with MoS$_2$ doping. There is a marked change in the band due to MoS$_2$ doping in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. The increase of MoS$_2$ dominated the structure as marked from SEM measurements. The structure of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ forms a rhombohedra structure. The photocurrent is clearly distinguishable with and without light irradiation to electrodes based on MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The enhanced photocurrent is observed with MoS$_2$ doping in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial thin film has the potential to produce hydrogen using a PEC water-splitting process that could have renewable energy applications. Our future work is based on the use of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type in p-n photoelectrochemical studies for efficient water-splitting applications.
CHAPTER 5: P-N PHOTOELECTROCHEMICAL CELL PROPERTIES USING $\alpha$-HEMATITE -MOLYBDENUM DISULFIDE AS N-ELECTRODE AND POLYHEXYLTHIOPHENE (RRPHTH) - NANODIAMOND (ND) AS P-ELECTRODE

5.1 Introduction

State of the art research has shown that tuning the band gap of photocatalytic material makes it more effective in photoelectrochemical cells for water splitting applications [57, 68, 88-91]. There are several semiconducting materials (example: Fe$_2$O$_3$, BiVO$_4$, WO$_3$ and Ta$_3$N$_5$) used in PEC cells with a lower band gap [90]. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ material as an anode has been used in the photoelectrochemical cells resulting in a water-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency of 16% of solar radiations [90, 128-131]. Also, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ has a low bandgap (2.1–2.2 eV), low cost, high chemical stability, and nontoxic and abundant material for photoelectrochemical cells [69, 90, 102, 103, 132-136]. However, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ has several drawbacks including shorter hole diffusion length, low conductivity, shorter lifetime of photoexcitation, and deprived reaction kinetics of oxygen evolution heralding better photo-conversion efficiency [26, 57, 137-139]. The performance of water splitting and photo-conversion has been enhanced by metallic doping such as Ti [100, 101], Mo [102], Al [74, 140], and Pt [47] and Si [103, 104]. Our earlier studies on Zn and Al doping in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ showed enhanced photoelectrochemical properties [141]. MoS$_2$ is one of the most studied materials in recent years due to its classification as a 2D- dichalcogenide material having a band

---

3 This chapter has been communicated as “p-n photoelectrochemical cell properties using $\alpha$-hematite -molybdenum disulfide as n-electrode and polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTH)-nanodiamond (ND) as p-electrode” in Electrochimica Acta, Elsevier Journal, April 2018
gap of 1.8-1.9 eV [90]. The MoS$_2$ has shown interesting photocatalytic activity because of its bonding, chemical composition, doping, and nanoparticle growth on countless matrix films [88, 105, 108, 142]. MoS$_2$ has applications in photocatalytic devices [11, 88], phototransistors [143-146] and sensors [90, 147-149]. Moreover, MoS$_2$ plays an important role as charge transfer with slow recombination of electron-hole pairs created due to photo-energy, and this relates to the charge transfer rate between surface and electrons generated in photo-conversion [116]. MoS$_2$ has also been used as a p-type conductor in photoelectrochemical hydrogen production in PEC cells.

Our earlier experiment using MoS$_2$ particles has promoted the electron transport properties of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial by doping and homogenous structure. The doping of MoS$_2$ particles varied from 0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, 2% and 5% in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials were characterized using X-ray diffraction, SEM, FTIR, Raman spectroscopy, particle analysis and UV-vis spectroscopy, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and impedance measurements were utilized to understand the electrochemical electrode and electrolyte interface and photoelectrochemical properties of MoS$_2$$-\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Nano-hybrid RRPHTh with various dopants (TiO$_2$, ZnO, and nanodiamond) has been used for photoelectrochemical applications in our group [150-152]. Ram et al. have used RRPHTh-nanodiamond (ND) electrodes to provide high-sufficiency photoelectrochemical conversions superior to TiO$_2$-RRPHTh and ZnO-RRPHTh nanohybrid film [153]. The use of MoS$_2$$-\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-electrode and RRPHTh-ND as the p-electrode in liquid-based photoelectrochemical cells has been studied in PEC cells. MoS$_2$$-\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the counter electrode and RRPHTh-ND as the working electrode has been used to study photoelectrochemical cells. Cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry studies have been performed with visible light, radiation simulated for solar
radiation, as well as with 60 W lamps, to understand the photoelectrochemical properties of PEC cells.

5.2 Experimental Details

5.2.1 Materials

The materials iron chloride (FeCl₃), aluminum chloride (AlCl₃), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), MoS₂, poly(3-Hexylthiophene), and ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The fluorine tin oxide (FTO) coated glass, with resistance of ~10 Ω, was also procured from Sigma-Aldrich. The centrifuged containers were purchased to clean the synthesized nanomaterials from the solution.

5.2.2 Synthesis of Nanomaterials

The \( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ and MoS₂-\( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ were synthesized using the sol-gel technique. Various concentrations of FeCl₃ with AlCl₃ were prepared in 500 ml round bottom flasks. Table 1 shows the amount of chemicals used for the synthesis of MoS₂-\( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃. Later, NaOH was added to the resulting solution and stirred with a magnet. A condenser was connected to the round bottom flask containing the chemicals then placed in a heater to maintain 90-100 °C for the chemical reaction. The reaction was terminated after 24 hours, and the solution was cooled at room temperature. The synthesized material was separated using a centrifuge and continuous cleaning with water. The synthesized materials MoS₂-\( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ were initially left drying at room temperature. The MoS₂-\( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ was then dried at various temperatures (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 °C). In each case, the temperature was maintained in a furnace for one hour. The materials were then brought to room temperature and collected in a tight bottle for photoelectrochemical cells and various physical characterization studies.
5.2.3 Film Formation of Substrate

The MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was prepared at different concentrations by mixing it with acetic acid to obtain a homogenous solution to cast on various substrates. 500 mg of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.5%, 1%, 2% and 5%) was ground into a powder and then mixed into 10 ml acetic acid in a small container and left for 10 hours. Later, the solutions were used to make films on quartz, silicon and fluorine tin oxide (FTO). The films were cured at different temperatures (300, 400 and 500 °C) for one hour. The films were then cooled to room temperature and used for XRD, SEM, cyclic voltammetry and UV-vis measurements.

5.2.4 RRPHTH-ND/NaOH/MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-Based Photoelectrochemical Cells

The conducting polymer solution was made by dissolving 50 mg of RRPHTH in 50 ml of chloroform. Later, 50 mg of ND was added to the solution and kept suspended by stirring for 24 hours, similar to the work of Ram et al. [151]. The RRPHTH-ND film was fabricated using spin coating, as well as by casting the solution on silicon and ITO coated glass substrates. The photoelectrochemical cell was constructed using silicon, as well as ITO coated RRPHTH-ND as a working electrode and MoS$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as a counter electrode. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) as well as the chronoamperometry measurements were made using 0.1 M and 1M NaOH concentration. A schematic is drawn in Figure 1 to understand the effect of MoS$_2$ with $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Figure 1 shows the schematic of hydrogen production using the MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ photocatalyst in 1 M NaOH based electrolyte in a PEC cell.
Figure 5.1 Schematic of hydrogen production using MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite as n-type and RRPHTh-ND as p-type photocatalyst in 1 M NaOH

5.3 Physical Properties Studies

5.3.1 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The structure and surface properties of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and RRPHTh-ND films on silicon substrates were investigated through a Field Emission Hitachi S800 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) with an EDS attachment that worked at 25kV. Figure 2(a) shows the SEM image of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial consisting of well-dispersed spheres with particle sizes of 100-300 nm. The particle sizes increased in MoS$_2$- α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as shown in Figure 2(b). The films consisting of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ have uniform and dense spheres of particles. The ND hybrid with RRPHTh conducting polymer has particle sizes varying from 100 nm to 500 nm. The average size of nanoparticles of ND was kept at approximately 20 nm. The RRPHTh provides a nearly uniform covering over the ND particles forming the nano-hybrid structure.
Figure 5.2 Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of (a) α-Fe₂O₃, (b) α-Fe₂O₃+0.1%MoS₂, and (c) RRPHTh-ND.

5.3.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The infrared bands at 467 and 523 cm⁻¹ are related to Fe-O stretching and bending vibration mode for α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterial as shown in Figure 3(a). Figure 3(b) shows the FTIR spectra of α-Fe₂O₃ + 0.1% MoS₂. It shows IR bands at 1388 and 1407 cm⁻¹ which are related to the stretching vibration as well as in-plane bending vibration of O-H of α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterial [154]. Moreover, the IR bands at 544 and 1630 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the OH⁻ group which is in-plane bending vibration and γas Mo-S vibration due to the presence of MoS₂ [154]. However, the bands at 638, 802, and 892 are generated because of out-of-plane bending vibration and γas Mo-O vibrations, which are related to the OH⁻ group. In addition, Fe-O presence shows stretching vibration in α-Fe₂O₃ + 0.1% MoS₂ [154]. Figure 3(c) shows FTIR spectra of RRPHTh-ND; various bands are also presented in Table 1. The bands at 1739 cm⁻¹ are the characteristic bands of nanodiamond,
while the presence of 1687, 1129 and 630 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the presence of a functional group in the nanodiamond [151]. The RRPHTH characteristic peaks (413, 475, 514, 758, 800, 852, 1000, 1058, 1092, 1260, 1300, 1390, 1446, 1497, 1635, 1687, and 1820) are shown in Figure 3(c), which can be well compared with the work of Ram et al. [151].

Table 5.1: Infrared bands of each \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), 0.1\% MoS\(_2\), and RRPHTH-ND.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Infrared bands in cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Fe(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>467, 523, 578, 796, 830, 872, 990, 1046, 1076, 1376, 1551, 1625, 1736, 1763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% MoS(_2)</td>
<td>512, 522, 654, 802, 1114, 1396, 1434, 1666, 2836, 2952, 3448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRPHTH-ND</td>
<td>413, 475, 514, 630, 758, 800, 852, 1000, 1058, 1092, 1129, 1260, 1300, 1390, 1446, 1497, 1635, 1687, 1739, 1820, 2089, 3415, 3955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.3 FTIR spectra of (a) \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), (b) \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)+0.1\% MoS\(_2\), and (c) RRPHTH-ND.

5.3.3 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The model PAN-alytical X’Pert Pro MRD system, operated at 40 kV and 40 mA, was used to measure X-ray diffraction having CuK\(\alpha\) radiation of wavelength = 1.5442 Å. Figure 4(a) shows
an XRD image of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial reveals a polycrystalline structure and coincides with the values as earlier investigated by Hussein et al. [155]. Table 2 shows the summary of diffraction angle 2theta angles [155]. Figure 4(b) shows the sharp diffraction angle of XRD spectra of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ + 0.1% MoS$_2$. The sharp diffraction angle peaks at 31.69 (012), 36.62 (110), 45.46 (024), 53.23 (116), 58.93 (214) result from the crystallinity of Fe$_2$O$_3$ as well as the presence of doping of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ + 0.1% MoS$_2$ nanomaterial [26]. However, the band at 53.23 is related to MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial.

Table 5.2: X-ray diffraction peaks of each $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$+0.1%MoS$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>30.41, 32.11, 33.87, 39.83, 44.68, 45.54, 47.76, 63.89, 66.16, 72.96, 76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$+0.1%MoS$_2$</td>
<td>31.69, 36.62, 45.46, 53.23,58.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.4 X-ray diffraction pattern of (a) $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and (b) $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$+0.1%MoS$_2$.

5.3.4 UV-Visible Spectroscope (UV-Vis)

An UV-Vis spectrometer Jasco V-530 was utilized to determine the absorption peaks of various nanomaterials such as $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$+0.1% MoS$_2$, and RRPHTh-ND. Figure 5(a) shows the UV-vis absorption spectra of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film on ITO coated glass plates. The absorption
band at 550 nm was depicted as similar to the previous study by Hussein et al [140]. The characteristic absorption bands at 373, 382, 406, 442, 475, 612 nm of α-Fe₂O₃-0.1% MoS₂ were observed in Figure 4(b). Figure 4(c) reveals the characteristics bands at 412, 475, 503,588, 695, 834 nm for ND-RRPHTH based film similar to the previous work by Ram et al. [155]. The band gap of MoS₂ varies from 1.8 to 1.9 eV, whereas the bandgap of α-Fe₂O₃ is 2.1 eV. So, we have estimated the bandgap of MoS₂-α-Fe₂O₃ in the range of 1.94 to 2.4 eV, which fits well in the region of visible light. MoS₂ doping increases the conductivity of the samples. The schematic in Figure 10 shows photogenerated electrons from the conduction band (CB) of MoS₂ that is transferred to CB of hematite, whereas holes from hematite are transferred to valance band (VB) of MoS₂. This doping enhances the photocatalytic activity of the MoS₂ composite with α-Fe₂O₃.

Table 5.3: UV–vis absorption peaks of each α-Fe₂O₃, α-Fe₂O₃+0.1%MoS₂, and RRPHTh-ND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>α-Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>α-Fe₂O₃+0.1%MoS₂</th>
<th>RRPHTh-ND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>286, 346, 371, 470, 580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%MoS₂</td>
<td>373, 382, 406, 442, 475, 612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRPHTh-ND</td>
<td>412, 475, 503,588, 695, 834</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.5 UV-vis absorption spectra of (a) α-Fe₂O₃, (b) α-Fe₂O₃+0.1%MoS₂, and (c) RRPHTh-ND.
5.4 Electrochemical Studies

5.4.1 Schematic of P-N Configuration-Based Reaction Process

The MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-electrode and RRPHTH-ND as the p-electrode in a liquid electrolyte (1M NaOH, HCl etc.) was studied in photoelectrochemical cells. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) and the chronoamperometry were analyzed extensively on the p-n configuration-based photoelectrochemical cell with and without light. Figure 6 shows the water splitting application in RRPHTH-ND as the p-type and MoS$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-type in 1M NaOH water-based electrolyte photoelectrochemical cell under a photoexcitation and applied electrical potential. The NaOH was used as an electrolyte in the photoelectrochemical cell. The cyclic voltammetry as well as the chronoamperometry measurements were made using 0.1 M and 1M concentrations of NaOH-based electrolytes.

![Schematic of water-splitting application in p-type RRPHTH-ND and n-type MoS$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in water-based electrolyte photoelectrochemical cell under photoexcitation and under potential](image)

Figure 5.6 Schematic of water-splitting application in p-type RRPHTH-ND and n-type MoS$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in water-based electrolyte photoelectrochemical cell under photoexcitation and under potential
Attempts were made to understand the water splitting using the work function and band gap of the material. The MoS$_2$ doped $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in water has a band gap varying from 2.17 to 1.94 eV. The hydrogen gas was formed at the electrode of RRPHTH-ND whereas oxygen was liberated at the MoS$_2$- $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ based electrode.

5.4.2 Cyclic Voltammetry

Figure 7 shows the cyclic voltammetry curves with and without light for MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and RRPHTh-ND based electrodes in 0.1M NaOH solution. The CV curves show nearly twice the value of photocurrent than without light. However, at light under 2V, exposition of the photocurrent is shown, which is a 30 times greater varying current for n type-based electrode containing 1% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in p-type RRPHTh-ND containing 1M NaOH electrolyte.

![Cyclic Voltammetry Curves](image)

Figure 5.7 Cyclic voltammetry study of p-type RRPHTH-ND and n-type MoS$_2$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in NaOH 1M-based electrolyte in photoelectrochemical cell with and without light
5.4.3 Chronoamperometry

Figure 8 below shows the chronoamperometry curves of MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and RRPHTh-ND in 0.1 M NaOH solution. A light bulb of 60 W was exposed and the immediate current in the device increased significantly for 0.1% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-type and RRPHTh-ND as the p-type electrodes in a cell containing 0.1M NaOH electrolytes. The photocurrent is observed with the cell’s exposure to light. However, the transient current is immediately observed due to the combination of electron and hole-pair, and the photocurrent decreases 0.1% in the MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as the n-type and RRPHTh-ND as the p-type electrode-based electrodes in photoelectrochemical cells.

Figure 5.8 Current-transient study of p-type RRPHTH-ND and n-type 0.1% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-based electrodes in 1M NaOH-based electrolyte in photoelectrochemical cell with and without light

Figure 9 shows chronoamperometry results of 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 5% of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ MoS$_2$ as n-type electrode and RRPHTh-ND as p-type electrode in a cell containing 0.1M NaOH
electrolyte. The current density was found to be highest for 1\% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type electrode with RRPHTH-ND as p-type electrode in a cell containing 0.1M NaOH electrolyte. There is a current transient, but it becomes a stable photocurrent after 2-3 seconds, whereas there is continual decrease of photocurrent in 0.1 and 0.2 \% of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite material. However, 5\% of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite material does not reveal a higher photocurrent due to aggregation of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial.

Figure 5.9 Current-transient study of photoelectrochemical cell containing RRPHTH-ND as p-type electrode and 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 5\% of MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type electrode in 1M NaOH-based electrolyte with light switch on and off at applied potential of 1500 mV.

Figure 10 shows chronoamperometry results of 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 5\% of MoS$_2$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ MoS$_2$ as n-type electrode and RRPHTH-ND as p-type electrode in a cell containing 0.1M NaOH electrolyte at a potential of 2000 mV. The current density has been found to be highest for 0.1 and 1\% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ based n-type based electrode. There is a larger current transient for 0.1\% MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite material. However, stable photocurrent after 2-3 seconds is also
observed for 1% of MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite nanomaterial film. The chronoamperometry results reveal that 1% MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite is a suitable structure to obtain higher photocurrent density.

Figure 5.10 Current-transient study of photoelectrochemical cell containing RRPHTh-ND as p-type electrode and 0.1, 0.2, 1, and 5% of MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as n-type electrode in 1M NaOH- based electrolyte

5.5 Conclusion

From this chapter, it is clear that the water-splitting process is renewable and clean in generating hydrogen. To measure the photoelectrochemical properties of this process, the MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ electrodes were synthesized. The films, consisting of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as well as MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, have a uniform and dense sphere of particles. The 1% MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ film showed the most stable photocurrent. From the XRD figure, the band at 53.23 is related to MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial. The photoelectrochemical photocurrent was found to be dependent on the applied potential from 0 to 2V in an electrolyte of varying molar concentration of NaOH. The
chronoamperometry results showed that 1% MoS\textsubscript{2} in MoS\textsubscript{2}-\textalphaFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanocomposite can be a suitable structure for obtaining a higher photocurrent density. The p-n configuration is a stable photoelectrochemical cell and allows for eliminating the photo corrosion process. Also, this p-n configuration prevents the leakage of solvent and has low absorption of light due to the thin layer of electrolytes. It is a renewable and affordable process to produce clean energy in the form of hydrogen. PEC with 1% MoS\textsubscript{2}-\textalphaFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanocomposite has the potential to revolutionize full-cell technology.
CHAPTER 6: P-N BASED PHOTOELECTROCHEMICAL DEVICE FOR WATER-SPLITTING APPLICATION OF ALPHA-HEMATITE (α-Fe₂O₃)-TITANIUM DIOXIDE (TiO₂) AS N-ELECTRODE & POLYHEXYLTHIOPHENE (RRPHTH) - NANODIAMOND (ND) AS P-ELECTRODE

6.1 Introduction

Great attention has been paid to the production of hydrogen as an alternative energy by the photoelectrochemical (PEC) water-splitting process [156, 157]. The transition metal oxide semiconductors have been used as a photocatalyst for water PEC-based splitting applications [91, 158-160]. Among materials, α-Fe₂O₃ is one of the more extensively explored materials and has advantages for production of hydrogen in the PEC process including chemical suitability for electrolytes (PH > 3), relatively narrow bandgap (2.0 - 2.2eV), abundance, and inexpensive cost [161-163]. Due to the short electron-hole pair time (<10 ps) and hole diffusion length (2-4 nm), which cause a high recombination rate of photo-generated carriers in the bulk. Hematite-based water splitting has lower efficiency than that of the theoretical (12.6 mA/cm²) and has restricted PEC applications [68, 164]. In addition, the oxidation reduction seems to delay on the surface of hematite-based water splitting due to the kinetics of the interfacial extraction of the hole, and might be accompanied by an increased charge recombination and the decline of efficiency [164, 165].

---

4 This chapter has been published “Hussein Alrobei, H.Y.L., Ashok Kumar, and Manoj K. Ram, p-n Based Photoelectrochemical Device for Water Splitting Application Alpha-Hematite (α-Fe₂O₃)-Titanium Dioxide (TiO₂) as N-Electrode & Polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTH) – Nanodiamond (ND) as P-Electrode. MRS Advances, 2017.” and copyright permission in appendix A.3
To improve photoelectrochemical properties, TiO$_2$ has been investigated because of the low cost for the fabrication of photoelectrochemical stability [166, 167]. However, TiO$_2$ also has significant limitations. Firstly, excitation is generated in response to UV regions. Secondly, the PEC device, has been fabricated with TiO$_2$, which often has a short diffusion length of excitation [161, 168, 169]. To solve the above limitations, some suggestions are made for reducing band gap that allow the PEC device to absorb the visible region and create a large contact area with the electrolyte, allowing the PEC device to split electron-hole pairs [161, 168, 170]. In gathering advantages of both metal oxide materials, TiO$_2$ with Fe$_2$O$_3$ film was shown to increase contact area with electrolytes, reducing e-h recombination and shifting light absorption along with visible region [164, 171, 172].

However, the properties of alpha-hematite photoanode were changed when doped with various concentrations of TiO$_2$. Under this work, the sol-gel technique was used to synthesis $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials with different concentrations (50%, 25%, 16%, 5% and 2.5% of TiO$_2$) and were dried at 300 °C and 500°C, respectively. The physical properties of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials were investigated by using SEM, XRD, UV-Vis, FTIR and Raman measurements. The photoelectrochemical properties were also examined by using the chronoamperometry technique.

6.2 Experimental Details

6.2.1 Materials

Iron chloride (FeCl$_3$), titanium (IV)-isopropoxide solution (TTIP), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were used to synthesize the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials. Potassium bromide (KBr, Aldrich), which was purchased from the Sigma-Aldrich company, was used for making FTIR sample pellets. The synthesized materials were mixed with acrylic acid to fabricate the film. To
measure electrochemical properties, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ materials were coated on indium tin oxide (ITO) glass, which operated as working electrode. Polyhexylthiophene (RRPHTh)-nanodiamond (ND) materials were coated on silicon wafers which worked as a counter electrode.

6.2.2 Synthesis of Nanomaterial

The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials were synthesized by sol-gel. Equation 1 shows the chemical reaction equation. The synthesized α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials were obtained by changing various concentrations of reactant materials, which were FeCl$_3$ and TTIP. To obtain different concentrations of TiO$_2$ (50%, 25%, 16%, 5% and 2.5%), the amount of FeCl$_3$ and TTIP were put into the round bottom flask with DI water (450 ml), respectively. To dissolve the above materials, the resulting solution was stirred with a magnetic stir bar, and the flask was put on the hotplate at 60 °C for one hour. Afterwards, the NaOH solution (50mL) was added to the resulting solution and connected to a reflux condenser. Reaction temperature was maintained at 90 °C for the chemical reaction, and reaction time was maintained for 24 hours. Filter paper was utilized to separate the solution and to extract α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial from residues. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was washed using DI water twice to remove the impurities. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was dried at 300 °C and 500 °C for two hours each. Then, dried α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was further grounded using a ball-milling machine. TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was fabricated with 0.05M of TTIP, and subsequently dried at 500 °C for three hours using similar conditions of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial synthesis process.

\[4FeCl_3 + Ti\{OCH(CH_3)\}_4 + 5NaOH \xrightarrow{\text{at } 90^\circ C \text{ for } 24 \text{ hours} \text{ & drying}} 2(2TiO_2 - Fe_2O_3) + \alpha \text{ (eq1)}\]

6.2.3 Film Formation of Substrate

The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial and KBr powder were mixed and applied via hydraulic press for obtaining the pellets. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ pellets were prepared for comparative
analysis. To fabricate film-type specimens, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was mixed with a small amount of acetic acid for obtaining the colloidal materials. The colloidal α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ materials were used to coat ITO glasses (2cm ×2.5cm) and were cured at 100 °C for one hour. The counter electrode was fabricated by immersing pieces of Si-wafer in RRPHTh-ND colloidal solution [112].

6.3 Physical Properties Studies

The X-ray diffraction (XRD), SEM, and Raman spectroscopy were performed using powder from the samples. For electrochemical properties, a Potentiostat/Galvanostat instrument (Radiometer Analytical, Volta lab 40 PGZ301) was utilized. To measure cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry properties, the working electrode (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$/ITO) and the counter electrode (RRPHTh-ND/Si) were immersed in NaOH (0.1M) solution as electrolytes and connected to the equipment using clips.

6.3.1 UV-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)

The UV-Vis characterizations of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial film on glass substrates were measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Jasco- V-670 absorption spectrometer). Figure 1 and Figure 2 show UV-Vis spectra of TiO$_2$, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ that were prepared at various concentrations of TiO$_2$ to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and dried at 300 °C and 500°C, respectively. The absorption spectra were obtained from the UV-Vis spectroscopy by measuring the sample holder that contained the powdered sample dissolved in DI water. Table 1 and 2 list the absorption peaks of all samples. For comparison, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial exhibits absorption of approximately 300 nm in the UV region and broad weak absorption at 400-600 nm in the visible region. For the TiO$_2$ nanomaterials, two absorption bands in the UV regions at 237 nm and 327nm are observed. In addition, there are also few very weak absorption bands at 428 nm and 488nm in the visible region (Figure 1 and 2). Figure 1 (b-f) shows the UV-Vis absorption bands for five different
concentrations of TiO$_2$ (2.5%, 5%, 16%, 25% and 50%) to α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials that were dried at 300 °C. Figure 2(b-f) shows the absorption band for α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials dried at 500 °C with the same conditions. From Figure 1 and Figure 2, drying temperature appears to have insignificant influence on absorption bands of the material. For high concentrations of TiO$_2$ (16, 25, and 50%) samples, the absorption bands are similar to that of the TiO$_2$ sample. Thus, absorption bands exhibit the characteristics of TiO$_2$ nanomaterial. For the low concentrations of TiO$_2$ (5 and 2.5%) samples, the absorption bands appear for the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials, which are different spectra than the Fe$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ samples. The absorption bands at 238, 270, and 320 nm begin to appear in the UV region. In addition, strong absorptions appear near 428 and 540 nm in the visible region. Thus, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial with a small quantity of TiO$_2$ seems to be red-shifted due to the TiO$_2$ nanomaterials showing improvement in absorption in the range of 400-600 nm [173, 174].

Table 6.1: Peaks of each α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial dried at 300°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wavelength (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>237, 327, 428, 488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-(50%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>236, 397, 438, 524, 607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-(25%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>238, 321, 386, 532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-(16%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>236, 453, 561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-(5%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>279, 325, 428, 534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-(2.5%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>216, 236, 264, 329, 432, 537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>299, 364, 488, 537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6.2: Peaks of each α-Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ nanomaterial dried at 500°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wavelength (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>237, 327, 428, 488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(50%)TiO₂</td>
<td>235, 334, 338, 526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(25%)TiO₂</td>
<td>236, 404, 432, 522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(16%)TiO₂</td>
<td>236, 335, 456, 560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(5%)TiO₂</td>
<td>230, 271, 329, 426, 544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(2.5%)TiO₂</td>
<td>218, 239, 268, 322, 428, 544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>299, 364, 488, 537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.1 UV-vis absorption spectra of α-Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ nanomaterials dried at 300°C
6.3.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR measurement of nanomaterials was made using KBr pellets in transmission mode using the FTIR spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer spectrum one). The data was obtained after averaging 16 scans for each sample recorded from 400 to 2000 cm\(^{-1}\). Figure 3 shows the \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiO\(_2\), and \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TiO\(_2\) nanomaterials with various concentrations of TiO\(_2\) (50, 25, 16, 5 and 2.5%) at 500 °C of drying. The samples were measured using transmission mode from 400 to 2000 cm\(^{-1}\). The infrared bands of all samples are shown in Table 3. The weak band near 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) is relevant to the H-O-H bending vibration mode, since moisture on the surface of the sample is
The TiO₂ samples show broad bands in range of 400 to 700 cm⁻¹, which are ascribed to the stretching vibration of Ti-O-Ti and Ti-O bridge bond [175, 176]. On the other hand, the α-Fe₂O₃ sample exhibits the broad band at 580 cm⁻¹, which is ascribed to the Fe-O(metal-oxygen) stretching-mode [177]. For the α-Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ nanomaterial, infrared bands at 460 and 560 cm⁻¹ become stronger as the concentration of TiO₂ is lowered. Both infrared bands at 460 and 560 cm⁻¹ appear to correspond to the α-Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ nanomaterial lattice.

Table 6.3: Infrared bands of each α-Fe₂O₃-TiO₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wavelength (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>583, 651, 713, 767, 898, 1452, 1624, 1751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(50%)TiO₂</td>
<td>442, 483, 588, 720, 950, 1354, 1451, 1541, 1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(25%)TiO₂</td>
<td>473, 582, 859, 942, 1070, 1346, 1452, 1542, 1617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(16%)TiO₂</td>
<td>469, 554, 856, 932, 1066, 1346, 1449, 1622, 1726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(5%)TiO₂</td>
<td>454, 540, 932, 1064, 1184, 1341, 1521, 1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃-(2.5%)TiO₂</td>
<td>456, 542, 928, 1064, 1170, 1346, 1527, 1629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>454, 501, 561, 582, 825, 1041, 1373, 1621, 1757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.3 Raman Spectroscopy

Figure 4 shows the Raman spectra of the Fe$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterials with different concentrations of TiO$_2$ (50, 5, and 2.5%) with heat treatment at 500 °C. Table 4 indicates the Raman peak of all samples. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ sample exhibits peaks of 225, 295, 409 cm$^{-1}$, which are assigned to hematite. Namely, the lines at 225 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the A$_{1g}$ vibration mode and 296 and 409 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the E$_g$ vibration mode [178, 179]. The TiO$_2$ samples show 205, 275, 434, 633 and 823 cm$^{-1}$. The TiO$_2$ sample includes both anatase and rutile phases: Raman shifts near 205 and 633 cm$^{-1}$ for anatase phase, and nearby 434 and 823 cm$^{-1}$ for rutile [180-182].
Table 6.4: Peaks of each $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{TiO}_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wavelength (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>205, 275, 434, 633, 823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-(50%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>215, 278, 375, 717, 978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-(5%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>232, 288, 406, 628, 664, 819, 975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-(2.5%)TiO$_2$</td>
<td>225, 243, 299, 406, 614, 664, 819, 975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>225, 295, 409, 712, 824, 970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.4 Raman spectra of $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{TiO}_2$ nanomaterials
6.3.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The SEM pictures of nanomaterials were measured using a Field Emission Hitachi S800 Scanning Electron Microscope. Figure 5 shows the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{TiO}_2$ and $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{TiO}_2$ with different concentrations of $\text{TiO}_2$ (50, 5 and 2.5%) samples. Figure 5 (a-b) shows SEM images of synthesized $\text{TiO}_2$ and $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanomaterial samples respectively, with an average diameter of particles at less than 10 $\mu$m. On the other hand, Figure 5 (c-e) shows SEM images of $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{TiO}_2$ with different concentrations of $\text{TiO}_2$ (50, 5 and 2.5%) nanomaterials. Figure 5 (d-f) shows the urchin-like nanostructure consisting of nanowires e, thus the average particle size is over 10 $\mu$m. The urchin-like nanostructure includes more surface area, which may improve the effective interface of $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and improve performance of the water-splitting application [172, 179, 183].

![SEM images](image)

Figure 6.5 SEM images of (a) $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (b) $\text{TiO}_2$ (c) $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ + 50%$\text{TiO}_2$ (d) $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ + 5%$\text{TiO}_2$ (e) $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ + 2.5%$\text{TiO}_2$ dried at 500 $^\circ$C
6.4 Electrochemical Studies

6.4.1 Chronoamperometry

The electrochemical studies on various $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial films were conducted using Potentiostat/galvanostate of model “PGZ 301 Dynamic EIS voltammetry” from Volta lab. The chronoamperometry curve was measured using two electrodes consisting of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial (50% of TiO$_2$ dried at 500 °C) film as the working electrode and RRPHTh-ND as the counter electrode. 1M of NaOH solution was utilized as the electrolyte. The electrochemical photo response was measured by switching the lamp on and off (60W). Figure 6 shows the chronoamperometry photocurrent curve with time (seconds) for oxidation-reduction reaction of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ film. When charge potential was at 1000 mV, current density was 120µm/cm$^2$ of the maximum and 90µm/cm$^2$ of average as shown in Figure 6 (a); when charge potential was at 2000 mV, current density was 800 µm/cm$^2$ of the maximum and 300 µm/cm$^2$ of average as shown in Figure 6 (b). The increase of potential shows the larger current density due to the oxidation of water.

![Figure 6.6 Photocurrent response for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial sample with 50% of TiO$_2$, heat treatment at 500 °C. (a) working electrode applied with 1000 mV of charge potential, (b) applied with 2000 mV of charge potential](image-url)
6.5 Conclusion

The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial was synthesized with various percentages of TiO$_2$. The $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial can absorb the visible region. The low concentration of TiO$_2$ (5% and 2.5%) nanomaterial may be concerned with changing the structure of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials based on SEM images. The urchin-nanostructure improves the effective interface of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and also enhances the performance of the water-splitting application. The TiO$_2$ sample in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial shows the presence of both phases such as anatase and rutile. In addition, production of photocurrent seems to improve with illumination of the electrode based on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial. TiO$_2$ nanomaterial contributes to improving the performance of a PEC device based on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial electrode by shifting the absorbing region of light and by expanding the contact area with electrolytes on the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ surface. The increase of potential shows the larger current density due to oxidation of water using $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial film.
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION

The most efficient free resources that can generate sustainable energy are sunlight and water. The processes being developed using solar energy as the power source to energize the splitting of water to produce hydrogen gas are very promising in their potential for completely replacing fossil fuels as the world’s primary source of energy. Photomaterials have been tested successfully to be used in photoelectrochemical cells for water-splitting applications. Alpha-hematite is a promising photomaterial with a low bandgap of 2.1 eV and it can absorb visible light. Doping alpha-hematite material with aluminum, molybdenum disulfide, and titanium dioxide showed more changes in the structure, morphology, and particle size compared to pristine alpha-hematite.

Alpha-hematite material properties are enhanced by doping with aluminum in various percentages (0.5, 10, 20, 30), and the bandgap was varied from 2.1 to 2.4 eV. Also, the charge transport properties were improved through the strain introduction in the lattice structure, thus increasing the light absorption. The increase of Al contents in α-Fe₂O₃ shows clustering due to the denser formation of the Al-α-Fe₂O₃ particle. The 20% Al doped α-Fe₂O₃ has blue shift that absorbs visible light and contains a marked increase in the photocurrent (35 µA/cm²).

The MoS₂-α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite has changed the morphology of α-Fe₂O₃ to resemble blooming flowers. The MoS₂ could play a central role in charge transfer with slow recombination of electron-hole pairs created due to photo-energy with a charge transfer rate between surface and electrons. The chronoamperometry was used to estimate the photocurrent; the enhanced
photocurrent is observed with MoS$_2$ doping in MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterial (180 µA/cm$^2$) and is more effective than the Al-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite.

MoS$_2$ can easily extract the holes from an n-type semiconductor by fabricating a p-n configuration. Alpha-hematite material has been enhanced by using the p-n configuration and provides high efficiency photoelectrochemical conversions. The p-n photoelectrochemical cell is stable and allows for eliminating the photo corrosion process. The chronoamperometry results showed that 1% MoS$_2$ in MoS$_2$-α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocomposite can be a suitable structure to obtain a higher photocurrent density (450 µA/cm$^2$).

The TiO$_2$ with p-n configuration contributes to improving the performance of the PEC device based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial as the n-electrode and RRPHTh-ND as the p-electrode by shifting the absorption region of light and by expanding the contact area with electrolytes on the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ surface. The increasing potential shows the larger current density (800 µA/cm$^2$) due to oxidation of water using α-Fe$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ nanomaterial film.

### 7.1 Future Work

- Exploration of more dichalcogenide materials that consider to be highly semiconducting materials, such as WS$_2$, MoTe$_2$, and MoSe$_2$ for doping and composite to alpha- hematite for photoelectrochemical applications.
- Integration of photovoltaic cells with photoelectrochemical properties of alpha–hematite materials to obtain a free solar current.
- Exploration of p-n configuration-based photochemical properties by using a nanodiamond-conducting polymer that easily obtains p-type semiconductor materials such as polymethythiophene, polyethylenedioxythiophene, and polycarbazole.
- MoO$_2$ synthesis through hydrothermal technique is equal to or better than TiO$_2$ photocatalytic cells, as proven by the recent work from our group.
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