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Substrate-Based Inhibitors of Peptidylglycine á-Amidating Monooxygenase (PAM) as Anti-Proliferative Drugs for Cancer

Geoffrey H. Chew

ABSTRACT

C-Terminal glycine-extended prohormones are enzymatically converted to á-amidated peptides, by peptidylglycine á-amidating monooxygenase (PAM). PAM is a bifunctional enzyme with two catalytic domains: peptidylglycine á-hydroxylation monooxygenase (PHM) and peptidylglycine peptidylglycineaminoglycolate lyase (PAL).

PAM has a significant role in the proliferation of androgen-independent prostate cancer. Thus, the inhibition of PAM could halt cancer growth. Hippurate and hippurate analogs were used as lead compounds for developing inhibitors for PAM. The hippurate analogs exhibiting the highest affinity to PAM (lowest inhibition constant) did inhibit the growth of human androgen-independent prostate cancer DU 145 cells.
Chapter One

Literature Review

Peptidylglycine \(\alpha\)-amidating monooxygenase

Approximately 50% of all mammalian hormones are amidated, and play important roles in biological systems. Many hormones, such as human growth hormone-releasing factor (GRF), are only active in the amidated form (Merkler 1994). C-Terminal glycine-extended prohormones are enzymatically converted to \(\alpha\)-amidated peptides, by peptidylglycine \(\alpha\)-amidating monooxygenase (PAM). PAM is the only known enzyme that performs this chemistry (Eipper 1988).

PAM enables the oxidative cleavage of inactive C-terminal glycine-extended prohormones to active \(\alpha\)-amidated peptides and glyoxylate. PAM is a bifunctional enzyme with two catalytic domains: peptidylglycine \(\alpha\)-hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHM) and peptidylamidoglycolate lyase (PAL) (Merkler, 1995). PHM catalyzes the \(\mathrm{O}_2\), copper-, and ascorbate-dependent insertion of oxygen from an \(\mathrm{O}_2\) molecule at the \(\mathrm{C}_\alpha\) of the terminal glycyl residue to form a carbinolamide while PAL catalyzes the zinc-dependent dealkylation of the carbinolamide to the \(\alpha\)-amidated peptide and glyoxylate (Jaron, 2002) (Figure 1).
Early work performed using bifunctional PAM defined essential characteristics of
the peptide amidation reaction. Incubation of D-Tyr-Val-[glycyl-\(^{15}\)N]-Gly with
bifunctional PAM yields D-Tyr-Val-[\(^{15}\)N]-NH\(_2\), which demonstrates the glycyl nitrogen
is retained in the final amide (Bradbury 1982). In a similar experiment, D-Tyr-Val-[\(^{14}\)C]-
Gly was used as a substrate. The labeled carbon atoms were incorporated only into
glyoxylate. Eipper et al. (1983) showed that molecular oxygen, copper, and an electron
donor were required for the PAM reaction to occur. Metal chelators completely
abolished PAM activity that only could be restored upon the addition of copper -- at a
slight excess over the residual chelator concentration. The turnover of each glycine-
extended peptide to the \(\alpha\)-amidated peptide requires the input of two electrons, which can
be donated from many different reductants. Ascorbic acid is the most efficient electron
donor, exhibiting the highest \((V_{\text{MAX}}/K_{M})_{\text{app}}\) at constant peptide substrate concentration,
and is likely the reductant utilized by PAM in vivo (Merkler 1992). After the discovery
that PAM is bifunctional and that the C-terminal \(\alpha\)-hydroxyglycine extended peptides are
intermediates in the amidation reaction, it was established that O\(_2\)-, copper, and ascorbate
were only required for the PHM reaction. Figure 2 shows the 3D structure of PHM with
a bound substrate. Note the presence of two PHM-bound copper atoms, one on either
side of the binding pocket.
EPR studies performed by Freeman et al. (1993) demonstrated that PHM-bound Cu (II) redox cycles from Cu (II) to Cu (I) back to Cu (II) during catalysis. All of the copper ions before the addition of ascorbate are spin active. After the addition of ascorbate, 95% is no longer spin active, which indicated that the Cu (II) is reduced to Cu (I). After reaction with the substrate, Cu (I) reverts back to Cu (II).

PHM and dopamine â-monoxygenase (DBM) exhibit a number of catalytic similarities. Both enzymes insert an oxygen atom from O₂ into an inactivated C-H bond, have a copper stoichiometry of two copper atoms per active site, and oxidize two ascorbate molecules to semihydroascorbate per enzyme turnover. In addition to catalytic similarities, the two enzymes have similar protein sequence (Figure 3) and expression levels.
Considerably less is known about the PAL reaction. Model studies indicate that carbinolamide dealkylation is base-catalyzed (Bundgaard 1991). These data suggest that an enzymatic base abstracts the hydroxyl proton to facilitate conversion to the amide and glyoxylate. Another possibility is that a zinc-based hydroxyl is the enzymatic base; thus, accounting for the zinc dependence of the PAL reaction (Bell 1997).

Using a set of glycine-extended peptides of the form N-dansyl-(Gly)$_4$-X-Gly, Tamburini et al. (1990) demonstrated that PAM could produce peptides terminating all 20 amino acid amides. The penultimate amino acid does have an effect on the kinetic constants for α-amidation. The $V_{\text{MAX}}/K_M$ value obtained for N-dansyl-(Gly)$_4$-Phe-Gly was 1140-fold higher than that obtained for N-dansyl-(Gly)$_4$-Glu-Gly. The glycine-extended peptides with a sulfur-containing or hydrophobic amino acid in the penultimate
position exhibited the highest $V_{\text{MAX}}/K_{\text{M}}$ values (Table 1). This result was critical in our development of novel sulfur-containing hippurate analogs as PAM inhibitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>$K_{\text{M}}$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{MAX}}$ (nmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>Relative $V_{\text{MAX}}/K_{\text{M}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>$K_{\text{M}}$ (mM)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{MAX}}$ (nmol/min/mg)</th>
<th>Relative $V_{\text{MAX}}/K_{\text{M}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1- Kinetic parameter for N-dansyl-(Gly)$_4$-X-Gly amidation

Replacement of the C-terminal glycine with any other D- or L-amino acid, with the exception of D-alanine, did not support PAM catalysis. D-Alanine-extended peptides are relatively poor PAM substrates, exhibiting $V_{\text{MAX}}/K_{\text{M}}$ values that are ~0.1% of that obtained for the corresponding glycine-extended peptides. Based on this finding, hippurate and hippurate analogs were used as a lead compounds for developing inhibitors for PAM.

The role of PAM in prostate cancer

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in males today. The probability that a man will contract prostate cancer in his lifetime is reaching 100% (Parker 1996). There are two basic types of prostate cancer: androgen-dependent and androgen-independent (Bonkhoff 1993). Androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells have
many androgen receptors. When deprived of androgen, these cells die. Androgen-independent cells do not have these receptors and do not rely on androgen to proliferate (Rocchi 2001). A common androgen-dependent cell line is LnCaP, and common androgen-independent cell lines are PC-3 and DU 145. Androgen-dependent forms of cancer can be effectively treated by therapeutic hormone deprivation (Kyprianou 1990), but in most cases where androgen-dependent prostate cancer has been suppressed, it remerges as an androgen-independent form. The pathway for this change is not known, but it is thought that PAM has a role in the proliferation of the newly formed androgen-independent cancer. In androgen-independent strains of prostate cancer, there is a 3-fold greater amount of PAM mRNA. There are also elevated PAM protein levels (Graph 1).

Graph 2- Effect of AM on cell growth of DU 145 prostate cancer cells.
The specific activity of the PAM found in the androgen-independent cell lines is also higher.

Adrenomedullin (AM), a growth-stimulating á-amidating peptide, is produced by androgen-independent prostate tumors (Rocchi 2001). AM is only active in its amidated form. Graph 2 shows the growth rates of DU-145 cells that have been treated with AM. There is a significant increase in cell growth when AM is added. AM does not affect androgen-dependent cells. It is thought that the amount of AM that can be used in these cell lines are at their maximum, thus adding more peptide does not affect these cells (Rocchi 2001).

\[
N\text{-}(4\text{-hydroxyphenyl})\text{ retinamide (4-HPR) is a known inhibitor of DU-145}
\]

![Figure 4 - Structure of 4-HPR.](image)

It is thought that 4-HPR undergoes an oxidative pathway that generates reactive oxygen species. These oxygen species are extremely dangerous to cells, since they interrupt pathways by inactivating enzymes. The aminophenol ring and long alkyl chain are key functional groups for drug-cell contact (Takahashi 2002). Aminophenols such as \(p\)-methylaninophenol (\(p\)-MAP), 4-aminophenol (4-AP), and \(p\)-aminoacetophenol (\(p\)-AAP) have also been shown to stop cell growth. Graph 3 shows the effect of 4-HPR and several aminophenols on the growth of DU 145 cells.
Graph 3- Effects of 4-HPR on DU 145 prostate cancer cell growth. Cells were grown with compound for 72 hours before counting. The clear squares represent control cells, the clear triangles represent 4-HPR, the solid squares represent $p$-MAP, the solid circles represent 4-AP, and the solid diamonds represent $p$-AAP.

Conclusion

Around 50% of all mammalian hormones have the C-terminal $\alpha$-amidated structure that PAM produces. PAM plays a critical role in the emergence of androgen-independent forms of prostate cancer. The experiments in the following chapters use substrate-based inhibitors targeted for PAM. The kinetic constants were experimentally detected and the most potent compounds were tested on prostate cancer cells to determine if they would halt DU-145 cell proliferation.
Chapter Two

Substrate-Based Inhibitors for PAM

INTRODUCTION

Inhibitors are substances that lower the rate of catalysis of an enzyme. Inhibiting enzymes are one of the most common ways that organisms regulate their biological processes. The binding specificity of a substance towards an enzyme can be determined by studying the inhibition (Segel 1976). In many types of cancer, there are mutated enzymes that cause the spread of the disease, thus inhibiting these enzymes is key to halting cancer proliferation.

Enzyme Inhibition

Three types of inhibition have been described that account for the reversible binding of one inhibitor molecule, I, to one enzyme molecule, E (Figure 5). For competitive inhibition, the inhibitor and substrate, S, compete exclusively for E yielding either the catalytically competent ES complex or the catalytically incompetent EI complex (Figure 5a). Non-competitive inhibition involves the binding of I to E and ES to producing both an EI binary complex and an ESI ternary complex. The affinity of I to E and ES is described by two dissociation constants, \( K_{is} \) and \( K_{ii} \), respectively (Figure 5b). If \( K_{is} \) equals \( K_{ii} \), the inhibition is usually referred to as non-competitive or pure non-competitive inhibition. If \( K_{is} \) does not equal \( K_{ii} \), the inhibition is referred to as mixed-
type inhibition. Uncompetitive inhibition is the binding of I only to the ES complex to
generate the inactive ESI complex (Figure 5c). Segal (1976) details other inhibition
types, usually involving the reversible binding of more than one inhibitor molecule per
enzyme molecules. Such modes of inhibition are infrequently encountered.

Figure 5- Diagram of a) competitive inhibition, b) non-competitive inhibition, and c)  
uncompetitive inhibition

Irreversible inhibitors are well known in the enzyme literature and, most often,
involves the generation of an inactive enzyme species after the initial formation of the EI  
complex (Figure 6). The mechanism outlined in Figure 6 can account for mechanism-
based, suicide substrates, the chemical modification of active site resides, and slow-
binding inhibitors ($k_{\text{inact}} \ll k_p$).

Figure 6- Diagram of irreversible inhibition. For slow-binding inhibition, S and I compete for E  
(similar to competitive inhibition) and $k_{\text{inact}} \ll k_p$. 
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**N-Benzoylglycine (Hippuric Acid) as Lead Compound**

Tamburini et al. (1990) demonstrated that PAM preferred peptide substrates with hydrophobic or sulfur-containing amino acids at the penultimate position. Hippurate is an ideal candidate as an ideal lead compound for the development of small molecules inhibitors of PAM for the following reasons: (a) hippurate is a known PAM substrate, (b) hippurate contains a hydrophobic N-benzoyl group at a position analogous to the penultimate amino acid in C-terminal glycine extended peptides, (c) numerous ring-substituted hippurates and hippurate analogs are commercially available, (d) two sulfur containing hippurate analogs were commercially available, and (e) targeted analogs of hippurate that are not available commercially (with and without sulfur) are relatively straightforward to synthesize.

Given these considerations, we have prepared and evaluated a library of substituted hippurates and hippurate analogs as substrates and inhibitors. The inhibitors with the highest affinity for PAM (lowest values of $K_{is}$ and $K_{ii}$) were then tested for anti-proliferative behavior vs. prostate cancer cells in culture (Chapter 3).

**Methods to Assay for PAM Activity**

PAM converts C-terminal glycine-extended peptides to á-amidated peptides and glyoxylate in a reaction that consumes $O_2$ and reductant (Figure 1). Thus, PAM activity can be measured by assaying for (a) the consumption of $O_2$, (b) the consumption of reductant, (c) the production of glyoxylate, and (d) the production of the á-amidated peptide. These different assay methods have advantages and disadvantages and all have been appropriately applied in the study of PAM. The two assay methods employed to
generate the data contained within this thesis were to measure PAM-dependent conversion of N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly to N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-NH$_2$ by HPLC and to measure the PAM-dependent consumption of O$_2$ in the presence of an oxidizable substrate.

The PAM-dependent conversion of N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly to N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-NH$_2$ has been extensively used in the Merkler laboratory (Merkler 1999). This assay is sensitive, requiring relatively small amounts of enzyme and N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly, and can be carried in volumes $<<1.0$ ml, particularly important if the small amounts of inhibitor are available$. However, this method is laborious, provides only a discontinuous measure of [product] formed per unit time, and requires the availability of an HPLC equipped with an autosampler, and an inline flow-through fluorescence detector. The development of new HPLC separation methods and syntheses of substrate and product standards are necessary to extend this assay method to other N-dansylated (or otherwise fluorescently-labeled) PAM substrates.

The PAM-dependent consumption of O$_2$ has also been extensively employed in the Merkler laboratory (Merkler 1999). This method requires only an O$_2$ electrode, provides a continuous measure of [substrate] consumed per unit time, and is generally useful for any oxidizable substrate. Unfortunately, this assay is less sensitive than assaying for N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-NH$_2$ production and requires considerable more PAM and substrate. Furthermore, this system has an inherent background rate of O$_2$ consumption

$^*$Non-fluorescent substrates that compete with N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly for the PAM active site behave as competitive inhibitors (Spector 1981). The $K_i$ values obtained using non-fluorescent substrates equal the $K_M$. The PAM-dependent conversion of N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly to N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-NH$_2$ is useful to determine $K_M$ values for non-fluorescent substrates of limited availability or those that exhibit low $V_{MAX}$ values.
(from the O<sub>2</sub> electrode and the presence of reductant and Cu(II) ions in the assay buffer) that renders this method difficult to use with substrates of with low V<sub>MAX</sub> values.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials

MES buffer, N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly, hippuric acid and S-(thiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid were from Sigma, sodium chloride, ethanol, Triton X-100, HEPES buffer, acetonitrile, sodium acetate, sodium hydroxide, and trifluoroacetic acid were from Fisher Scientific, sodium ascorbic acid was from Research Organics, copper nitrate and isopropanol were from Acros, and catalase was from Worthington Biochemical Corporation. N-Acetylglycine was from T.C.I. Oxygen monitor and electrodes were from Yellow Spring Instrument. Signal amplifier was from Oriel and assembled by Dr. R. Larsen (University of South Florida). N-Phenylhydantoic acid was from Maybridge. (D,L)-Thiorphan was from BACHEM. 4-Ethylhippuric acid, N-(phenylthiopropionyl)glycine, 4-propionylhippuric acid, N-(6-phenylhexanoyl)glycine, N-(8-phenyloctanoyl)glycine, S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid, S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid ethyl ester, S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid, S-(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl)thioglycolic acid, S-(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid, N-glycolic acid phenyl urethane, lauroyl-sulfanyl-acetic acid and S-(3-phenylthiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid were from Dr. T. C. Owen (University of South Florida). (Phenylthio)acetic acid and (2-nitrophenylthio)acetic acid were from Dr. J. Vederas (University of Alberta). N-(Phenylthioacetyl)alanine and 4-cyano-4-methyl-4-
thiobenzoyl-sulfanyl-butyric acid were from Dr. A. Lowe (University of Southern Mississippi). HyperChem 7.5 was from Hypercube Inc.

*High-performance liquid chromatography*

N-Dansyl-Try-Val-Gly and N-dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ were separated using a Hewlett Packard 1100 Series liquid chromatograph equipped with a quaternary solvent delivery system, vacuum degasser, temperature controlled column compartment, and an auto injector. A Keystone Scientific Operations Thermo Hypersil reverse-phase C$_{18}$ column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particles, 120 pore) fitted with a Phenomenex C$_{18}$ Security Guard column was used for the separation. A Gilson Model 121 flurometer and a Hewlett Packard 3392A integrator monitored separations. Hewlett Packard ChemStation controlled the 1100 Series liquid chromatograph.

*Bifunctional peptidylglycine α-amidating monooxygenase*

Chinese hamster ovary cells that secrete recombinant type A rat medullary thyroid carcinoma PAM into the culture media (Bertelsen, 1990) were grown in a Cellco Cellmax-100 hollow fiber bioreactor (Matthews, 1994). The bifunctional enzyme was purified as described by Miller et al. (1992) except that the final gel filtration step (Superdex-200) was done using 50 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 100 mM NaCl. The amidation of N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly to N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-NH$_2$ (Jones 1988) and UV detection at 280 nm were used throughout the enzyme purification to screen column fractions.
**HPLC separation of dansylated compounds**

N-Dansyl-Try-Val-Gly and N-dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ were separated on a reverse-phase C$_{18}$ column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particles, 120 pore). The mobile phase for the separation consisted of 100 mM sodium acetate, 55% deionized water and 45% acetonitrile. The mobile phase was delivered at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The injection volume was 10 µl. The retention times of the N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly and Dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ were 1.3 and 2.35 minutes.

**Determination of initial rates of N-dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ production as fixed N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly concentration as a function of inhibitor concentration (Dixon analysis)**

Reactions at 37°C were initiated by the addition of PAM (0.05-0.09 µg) into 500 µl of 100 mM MES/NaOH pH 6.0, 30 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) ethanol, 0.001% (v/v) Triton X-100, 10 µg/ml bovine catalase, 1.0 µM Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$, 5.0 mM sodium ascorbate, inhibitors (1.0-2000 µM) and 8 µM N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly. Aliquots of 50 µl were taken at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 minutes. The reaction aliquot was quenched with 10 µl of 6% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid in a HPLC microvial to terminate the PAM reaction. The aliquots were assayed for N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly and dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ on a reverse-phase C$_{18}$ column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particles, 120 pore). The mobile phase for the separation consisted of 100 mM sodium acetate, 55% deionized water and 45% acetonitrile.
Determination of $K_M$ and $V_{MAX}$ values for N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly as a function of inhibitor concentration

Reactions at 37°C were initiated by the addition of PAM (0.02–0.03μg) into 500 μl of 100 mM MES/NaOH pH 6.0, 30 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) ethanol, 0.001% (v/v) Triton X-100, 10 μg/ml bovine catalase, 1.0 μM Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$, 5.0 mM sodium ascorbate, N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly (1.25-12.5 μM), and inhibitor (20-800 μM). Aliquots of 50 μl were taken at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 minutes. The reaction aliquot was quenched with 10 μl of 6% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid in a HPLC microvial to terminate the PAM reaction. The aliquots were assayed for N-dansyl-Try-Val-Gly and N-dansyl-Try-Val-NH$_2$ on a reverse-phase C$_{18}$ column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particles, 120 pore). The mobile phase for the separation consisted of 100 mM sodium acetate, 55% deionized water and 45% acetonitrile.

Determination of initial rates by oxygen consumption

In order to determine kinetic constants ($K_M$ and $V_{MAX}$), reactions at 37°C were initiated by the addition of PAM (0.03-0.06 μg) into 2700 μl of 100 mM MES/NaOH pH 6.0, 30 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) ethanol, 0.001% (v/v) Triton X-100, 10 μg/ml bovine catalase, 1.0 μM Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$, 5.0 mM sodium ascorbate, and substrate (3.0-2500 μM). $V_{MAX}$ values were normalized to controls ran at 11 mM N-acetylglycine. Rates were monitored, recorded, and analyzed in Microsoft Excel.

In order to determine the $K_i$ values (Dixon analysis), reactions at 37°C were initiated by the addition of PAM (0.03-0.06 μg) into 2700 μl of 100 mM MES/NaOH pH
6.0, 30 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) ethanol, 0.001 % (v/v) Triton X-100, 10 µg/ml bovine catalase, 1.0 µM Cu (NO₃)₂, 5.0 mM sodium ascorbate, 9 mM N-acetylglycine, and inhibitor (1.0-200 µM). Rates were monitored, recorded, and analyzed in Microsoft Excel.

**Copper Chelating Experiment**

Reactions at 37 C were initiated by the addition of 0.05 µg PAM into 500 µl of 100 mM MES/NaOH pH 6.0, 30 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) ethanol, 0.001 % (v/v) Triton X-100, 10 µg/ml bovine catalase, 1.0 µM Cu (NO₃)₂ and 5.0 mM sodium ascorbate. Three dansyl Tyr-Val-Gly concentrations of 4 µM, 10 µM, and 20 µM were tested. S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid was tested at 12 µM and EDTA was tested at 30 µM. Both compounds were tested at the three different N-dansyl Tyr-Val-Gly concentrations. Aliquots of 50 µl were taken at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 minutes. The reaction aliquot was quenched with 10 µl of 6 % (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid in a HPLC microvial to terminate the PAM reaction. The aliquots were assayed for N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly and N-dansyl-Try-Val-NH₂ on a reverse-phase C₁₈ column (100 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm particles, 120 ř pore). The mobile phase for the separation consisted of 100 mM sodium acetate, 55% deionized water and 45% acetonitrile.

**Molecular Modeling**

HyperChem 7.5 was used for performing molecular modeling. Substrates and inhibitors were calculated to their lowest energy states using Amber 99. The compounds were then inserted into the active site of PHM in both the phenyl ring in (substrate-like)
and the phenyl ring out confirmations. The lowest energy conformation was determined by the method of Polak-Ribiere. The energies were compared to the energy of the unbound reduced enzyme to determine the stability of the enzyme-inhibitor complex.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PAM substrates and inhibitors

Results from this study (Table 2) suggest that compounds with sulfanyl type groups, such as S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid and S-(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid, appear to bind more tightly than compounds with hydrogen or oxygen. (Phenylthio)acetic acid does not have a sulfanyl group and has a much higher K_i. Substrates with oxygen have a much higher K_M than those with sulfanyls. This trend can be seen when comparing the K_M of N-(phenylthiopropionyl)glycine to N-(6-phenylhexanoyl)glycine (Table 3). Since sulfur has a higher electron density than oxygen, it is possible that this atom interacts with the two coppers in the active site. In addition, since redox chemistry is necessary for the reaction to proceed and altering the coordinating sphere of copper ions could dramatically affect the reduction potentials, the sulfur atoms could interfere with electron transfer within the enzyme.

Another class of compounds that exhibit tight binding contains other functional groups on the benzene ring. For example, methyl groups in the para position exhibit tight binding. S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid has this moiety and has the low K_i of 3.5 nM. 4-Ethylhippuric acid and 4-propionylhippuric acid are two substrates with
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>$K_{i,\text{app}}$ (µM)</th>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>$K_{i,\text{app}}$ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-(Thiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>39 ± 5.2</td>
<td>N-(Phenylthioacetyl)alanine</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.39</td>
<td>4-Cyano-4-methyl-4-thiobenzoyl-sulfanyl-butyric acid</td>
<td>20 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid ethyl ester</td>
<td>110 ± 12</td>
<td>S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-(Phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>11 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-(3-Phenylthiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>N-Glycolic Acid phenyl urethane</td>
<td>54 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D,L)-Thiorphan</td>
<td>22 ± 2.6</td>
<td>(Phenylthio)acetic acid</td>
<td>380 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2-Nitrophenylthio)acetic acid</td>
<td>29 ± 3.4</td>
<td>S-(Thiolauroyl)thioglycolate</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Summary of inhibitors examined and corresponding inhibition constants. Inhibition constants were calculated by Dixon analysis.
## Table 3 - Summary of substrates examined and corresponding kinetic constants.
*Kinetic constants were calculated using Michaelis-Menton calculations.*
extended hydrocarbon chains in the para position. Interestingly this did not seem to affect the binding affinity. In addition, functional groups at the ortho position dramatically increase the binding affinity. (2-Nitrophenylthio)acetic acid has a 12-fold tighter binding affinity to (phenylthio)acetic acid.

The length of the hydrocarbon chain also affects the binding affinity of the inhibitors. S-(Thiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid, S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid, and S-(3-phenylthiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid have the same structure with the exception of the hydrocarbon chain before the sulfanyl group. The trend in binding affinity shows that in this group of compounds, the ideal length for inhibition is two carbon groups prior to the sulfanyl. The substrates N-(6-phenylhexanoyl)glycine and N-(8-phenyloctanoyl)glycine show that PAM prefers a lengthy hydrocarbon chain since their $K_M$ are 13-fold lower than hippurate.

![Figure 7- Different energies that can be predicted by molecular modeling.](image)

Figure 7- Different energies that can be predicted by molecular modeling.
Molecular modeling was performed to understand the relationship between $K_i$ and binding energies of the compounds to better understand the structure activity of the PAM. There are three types of energies to consider when determining the total free energy of a compound in the active site of PHM (Figure 7). The first of these energies is the docking energy. This is the energy for the compound to enter the active site. The second is the channel energy, which is the energy of the compound to move through the active site channel to get to the binding site. The last is the pocket energy once inside the binding pocket (Figure 8a). The program used in this study, Hyperchem 7.5, is capable of calculating the channel enthalpies and the pocket enthalpies, which can be used to calculate the channel and pocket energies (Figure 8b). A more sophisticated software program is required to calculate the docking enthalpies and actually proposing a specific path that the compound travels from entering the channel to binding into the pocket of the enzyme. For the preliminary studies only the pocket enthalpies were calculated for known substrates and inhibitors of PAM.

The results from the molecular modeling can be seen in Table 4. The enthalpy of the reduced form of PHM without a substrate or inhibitor in the binding pocket was calculated. The enthalpies of the substrates and inhibitors were subtracted from the unbound enthalpy to give the pocket enthalpy. The stability of a compound in the

\[
\text{a.) } \Delta G = \Delta G_{\text{docking}} + \Delta G_{\text{channel}} + \Delta G_{\text{pocket}}
\]

\[
\text{b.) } \Delta G_{\text{pocket}} = \Delta H_{\text{pocket}} - T\Delta S_{\text{pocket}}
\]

Figure 8- a.) The formula to calculate the total free energy for the complete docking of a compound into the active site of PHM. b.) The formula for calculating the free energy for the pocket energy. Hyper Chem 7.5 calculates the pocket enthalpy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Bond Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Bond Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="S-(Thiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-10.88</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="N-(Phenylthioacetyl)alanine" /></td>
<td>-16.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid</td>
<td>-20.92</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="4-Cyano-4-methyl-4-thiobenzoyl-sulfanyl-butyric acid" /></td>
<td>-18.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid ethyl ester" /></td>
<td>-17.91</td>
<td>*<a href="image5">S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid</a></td>
<td>-16.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image6" alt="S-(Phenythioacetyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-15.11</td>
<td>*<a href="image7">S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)thioglycolic acid</a></td>
<td>-15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image8" alt="S-(3-Phenylthiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-21.51</td>
<td>*<a href="image9">N-Glycolic Acid phenyl urethane</a></td>
<td>-10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image10" alt="*(D.L)-Thiorphan" /></td>
<td>-38.37</td>
<td>*(Phenylthio)acetic acid</td>
<td>-9.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image11" alt="*(2-Nitrophenylthio)acetic acid" /></td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>* S-(Thiolauroyl)thioglycolate</td>
<td>-19.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 - Summary of pocket enthalpies. The * represents compounds that bind substrate-like.
binding site increases as calculated pocket enthalpy decreases. The substrates and inhibitors were calculated inside the binding site of PHM in two conformations. The phenyl group was placed going into the pocket, which is substrate-like, and placed coming out of the pocket. The inhibitors showed stability in both conformations,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Bond Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Bond Energy (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="S-(Thiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-10.88 (39)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hippuric acid" /></td>
<td>-11.00 (1300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-20.92 (3.5)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="4-Methylhippuric acid" /></td>
<td>-13.27 (1800)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="S-(Phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-15.11 (7.9)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="N-(Phenylacetyl)glycine" /></td>
<td>-14.95 (150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="S-(3-Phenylthiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid" /></td>
<td>-21.51 (9.4)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="N-(Hydrocinnamoyl)glycine" /></td>
<td>-11.96 (920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="N-Glycolic Acid phenyl urethane" /></td>
<td>-10.81 (54)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="N-Phenyldantoin acid" /></td>
<td>-12.14 (350)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 - Comparison of inhibitor and substrate pocket enthalpies and binding constants. The number in ( ) represents either $K_i$ for inhibitors or $K_M$ for substrates in iM.
depending on what substituents were on the phenyl ring. All of the substrates showed the preference of the phenyl ring going into the binding pocket.

There is a correlation between pocket enthalpies and the binding constants. As a general trend, if the $K_i$ of an inhibitor is less than 10 $\mu$M, the enthalpy predicted will be $<-15$. The active site contains two copper atoms, $\text{Cu}_H$ and $\text{Cu}_M$, which cycle through $\text{Cu}^{II}$ and $\text{Cu}^I$ redox states during catalysis. The two coppers are non-equivalent, $\text{Cu}_M$ being the oxygen-binding site and $\text{Cu}_H$ an electron-donor site. All of the compounds gravitated toward $\text{Cu}_M$. This would suggest that there is an important interaction going on with this copper site.

The enthalpies of some substrates and their corresponding inhibitors can be seen in Table 5. The pocket enthalpies of the substrates seem to coincide with their $K_M$’s, with the exception of 4-methyl hippuric acid. It shows more stability than hippuric acid inside the binding site even though it has a higher $K_M$. This does follow the trend of the inhibitors in which the hippuric acid derivative is a much worse inhibitor than the 4-methyl hippuric acid derivative. When the carbonyl is changed to a sulfanyl the compound becomes more stable in the binding pocket.

There are a few compounds where the pocket enthalpies and the binding constants do not seem to correlate. (Phenylthioacetic) acid has a high binding constant, but its pocket enthalpy does not seem to be that much less than other compounds with lower binding constants. This compound may have a low docking enthalpy, so it can get into the channel, but may not bind to the substrate site well. This would effectively block substrates from binding to the enzyme. Based on the pocket enthalpy from 4-cyano-4-methyl-4-thiobenzoyl-sulfanyl-butyric acid, it would be expected that it would be a tight
binding inhibitor, but it does not appear to be. This compound may not bind well to the active site (high docking enthalpy), but if it were able to access the substrate site, the enzyme-inhibitor complex would have a relatively low $K_{dissociation}$. The same thing could be happening with thiorphan. Another possible reason for the differences in pocket enthalpies and binding constants may be that the compounds are binding to a different site on the enzyme other than the active site. In any case this shows that there is a high probability that there is more than one way a compound binds into the active site of PHM.

When the substrates were tested using the dansyl assay and the oxygen consumption assay, the binding constants were found to be relatively close except for two compounds. 4-Ethylhippuric acid and 4-propionylhippuric acid have roughly a 4-fold difference (Table 4) in $K_M$. This may be due to an unforeseen PAL inhibition or possible substrate inhibition. This may also indicate that the $K_M$ determined by oxygen consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$K_{i,app}$ Dansyl Assay ($\mu$M)</th>
<th>$K_{M,app}$ Oxygen consumption ($\mu$M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-Ethylhippuric Acid</td>
<td>$370 \pm 37$</td>
<td>$1200 \pm 170$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Propionylhippuric Acid</td>
<td>$350 \pm 38$</td>
<td>$1200 \pm 160$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6- This is a comparison of $K_M$ value from the oxygen consumption assay and the $K_i$ value from the dansyl assay that show significant differences.
consumption is greater than the true $K_d$.

**Copper chelating experiment**

An experiment was performed to determine if the sulfur-containing inhibitors inhibit PAM by competing with substrate for the active site or if they simply depleted copper from the enzyme through chelation. If the inhibitors were depleting the enzyme of copper, the resulting apo-enzyme would be catalytically inactive and could not generate product from substrate at any concentration. On the other hand, if the compounds inhibited the enzyme, increasing the substrate concentration would out compete the inhibitor and product formation would increase as the substrate concentration increased. As seen in Graph 4, the inhibitor, S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid, showed an increase of product formation, as the substrate concentration was increased. To ensure that this result was accurate, the amount of inhibitor used was 3 times the $K_i$. There was no product formation when EDTA was added to the reaction.

**Determination of $K_M$ and $V_{MAX}$ values for N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly as a function of inhibitor concentration**

The results from a full inhibition study of S-(3-phenyl thiopropionyl) thioglycolic acid (Graph 5) indicated mixed inhibition, which was contradictory to previous models. The $K_{i\alpha}$ calculated was $25 \pm 10 \text{ iM}$ and the $K_{i\beta}$ was calculated to be $0.31 \pm 0.18 \text{ iM}$. The
Graph 4- Plot of product formation vs. substrate concentration in 4, 10, and 20 μM of EDTA and S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid ($K_i = 3.5$ μM).

$K_i$ found in this experiment was similar to the $K_i$ calculated in previous experiments.

The theory was that the inhibitors were competitive. To verify the finding of mixed-type inhibition, a known competitive substrate was tested in the dansyl assay. The results from the experiments with N-octanoyl glycine (Graph 6) showed competitive inhibition with the N-dansyl-Tyr-Val-Gly with a $K_i$ of 550 ± 64 μM, which is similar to the $K_M$ measured by O$_2$ consumption (200 ± 10 μM).
Graph 5- Full kinetic study of S-(3-phenyl thiopropionyl) thioglycolic acid.

Conclusion

The kinetic constants of several substrates and inhibitors of PAM were determined. Several inhibitors were shown to have inhibition constants in the low micromolar range and one, S-(thiolauroyl)thioglycolate exhibited a submicromolar inhibition constant of 0.54 ± 0.0045\textmu{}M. Through molecular modeling, a preliminary trend in the $K_i$ values was found. Inhibitors with a $K_i$ less then 10 \textmu{}M show a pocket enthalpy of less then –15.0 kJ/mol. Proposed molecules can be placed in the binding site of PHM to predict the $K_i$. The modeling also shows evidence of more then one binding mode. Some of the inhibitors follow the binding pattern of substrate, and other prefer to be oriented in the reverse position. The full kinetic study of S-(3-phenyl thiopropionyl)
thioglycolic acid supports the idea that some of these inhibitors exhibit more than one

Graph 6- Full kinetic study of N-octanoyl glycine

binding mode to PAM. S-(3-phenyl thiopropionyl)thioglycolic acid is one of the inhibitors that shows a preference for the reverse conformation. Further modeling should be performed on additional compounds to learn more about the structure-activity relationship between binding compounds and PHM. Determination of channel enthalpies and docking enthalpies will also help show the pathway of binding. The inhibitors with the lowest inhibition constant were tested for cancer growth inhibition.
Chapter Three
Prostate Cancer Testing

INTRODUCTION

*DU 145 Anti-Proliferation Assays*

PAM is suspected to play an important role in androgen-independent prostate cancer proliferation. Two androgen-independent prostate cancer cell lines often used in cancer research are the DU 145 and PC-3 cells. The experiments discussed in this chapter utilize DU 145 androgen-independent cells to probe the effects of PAM inhibitors on cell growth.

Trypan blue stains only dead cells and thus, is used to assay for cell viability. This assay finds wide utilization in many types of cancer research (Lee2001). Another assay used to assay cell viability is dependent upon the production of NADH, a metabolic product of living cells. NADH production drives the reduction of a tetrazolium (MTT, being frequently employed) to an intensely colored formazan (Rocchi 2001).

S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid and S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid were chosen for this study based on low inhibition constants and stability. S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)thioglycolic acid and S-(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid exhibit lower inhibition constants, but cyclize at pH values < 10.
S-(N-Phenylthiocarbamoyl)thioglycolic acid has a t_{1/2} of 30 minutes and S-(N-phenylthiocarbamoyl)-3-mercaptopropionic acid has a t_{1/2} of 8 hours at pH less than 10.

*N*- (4-Hydroxyphenyl) retinamide (4-HPR) was used as a control. This is a known growth inhibitor of DU 145 cells. The aminophenol ring and the long alkyl chain are two important structures on this compound that are key for drug-cell contact (Takahashi 2002). The target enzyme that 4-HPR inhibits is not known.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

**Materials**

0.05% Trypsin/ 0.53 mM EDTA w/o Ca, Mg, and NaHCO_3, minimum essential medium Eagle (EMEM), and phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were from Cellgro. Trypan blue (0.4% units) was from Life Technologies. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was obtained from Atlanta Biologicals. Hank’s Balanced Salt (HBS) was obtained from ICN Biomedicals. DU 145 prostate cancer cell line was obtained from ATCC. Sodium pyruvate and sodium bicarbonate were obtained from Fisher. Cell culture was carried out in a Nuaire flow hood. Samples were observed under a Nilon TMS phase-contrast microscope.

**Cells and cell culture**

The DU 145 prostate cancer cells were grown in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM) with Earle’s Salts (CaCl_2, KCl, MgSO_4, and Na_2HPO_4) and 2 mM glutamine. The growth media also contained, 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM
nonessential amino acids (contains all 20 amino acids), 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 10% FBS, 10,000 U/ml penicillin, and 10,000 ìg/ml of streptomycin (Papsidero 1981).

**Anti-proliferation assay of S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid**

DU 145 cells were grown to 80% confluency in media as described. The cells were then trypsonized, counted, and diluted to give 50,000 cells per well. The cells were allowed to attach to the bottom of the wells for 24 hours. The media was replaced and S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid was added to the media in concentrations of 0.1 mM, 0.5 mM, and 1 mM. S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic ethyl ester was tested at a concentration of 0.1 mM as a comparison. Cells were then counted at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. For each time point, the media was removed the cells and the cells were washed twice with PBS. Trypsin/EDTA was added to detach cells. Media (2 ml) was added to each well, mixed, and removed. A sample from each well was taken out and Trypan blue and HBS were added. The viable and non-viable cells were counted on a hemacytometer. Viable cells appeared clear and non-viable cells appeared blue (Lee 2001).

**Anti-proliferation assay of S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid**

DU 145 cells were grown to 80% confluency as described. Cells were trypsonized, counted, and diluted to give 50,000 cells per well. The cells were allowed to attach to the bottom of the wells for 24 hours. The media was replaced and S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid was added to the media in concentrations of 0.5 mM, 1 mM, and 2 mM. 4-HPR was tested at a concentration of 0.01 mM as a positive control. Cells were then counted at 8, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. For each time point, the media
was removed the cells and the cells were washed twice with PBS. Trypsin/EDTA was added to detached cells. Media (2 ml) was added to each well, mixed, and removed. A sample from each well was taken out and Trypan blue and HBS were added. The viable and non-viable cells were counted on a hemacytometer. Viable cells appeared clear and non-viable cells appeared blue (Lee 2001).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Anti-proliferation assay of S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid

The results of the anti-proliferative assay with S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid can be seen in Graph 7. S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid does inhibit the growth of androgen-independent prostate cancer, but only at relatively high concentrations (1.0mM). There is only a significant decrease of growth at a concentration of 1 mM for S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid. This concentration is likely to be too high to be of any practical use as an anti-cancer drug. To counter this problem, the ethyl ester of S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid was tested. It was thought that the ethyl ester would make the compound more hydrophobic and would facilitate transport across the cell membrane into the cell. Once inside the cell, an esterase would hydrolyze off the ethyl ester leaving S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl) thioglycolic acid. As the results show, the ethyl ester was no more effective then the free acid as an anti-proliferative agent. Since the $K_i$ of the ethyl ester is ~30-fold higher, these data suggest that the ethyl ester is more effective in crossing the cell membrane since the relative potency as an anti-proliferative is approximately as the same as the free acid.
Graph 7: Anti-proliferative assay of 4-methylthiobenzoylthioglycolic acid on DU 145 prostate cancer cells. The ethyl ester of this compound was also tested for comparison. Cells were grown to 50,000 cells per well and then treated or not treated with various concentrations of 4-methylthiobenzoyl thioglycolic acid or its ethyl ester. Growth was measured at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours.

**Anti-proliferation assay of S-(Phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid**

Graph 8 shows the results of the anti-proliferative assay with S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid. There is a significant inhibition of growth with this compound at concentrations 1.0 mM. Again, the concentrations exhibiting anti-proliferative activity preclude its use as an anti-proliferative in humans. In this experiment, a known growth inhibitor of DU 145 cells was tested as a
Graph 8 - Anti-proliferative assay of S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid on DU 145 prostate cancer cells. 4-HPR was tested as a positive control. Cells were grown to 50,000 cells per well and then treated or not treated with various concentrations of S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid or 4-HPR. Growth was measured at 8, 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours.

positive control. 4-HPR completely inhibits cell growth in 48 hours at a concentration of 10 μM, consistent with previously published results (Graph 8).

S-(4-Methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid and S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid were also tested since they showed the lowest inhibition constant against PAM. Since 4-HPR completely stopped the growth of the DU 145 cells and S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl) thioglycolic acid and S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid did not, it does not seem necessary to test the less potent inhibitors.
Conclusion

The anti-proliferative assays on S-(4-methylthiobenzoyl)thioglycolic acid and S-(phenylthioacetyl)thioglycolic acid did show a significant inhibition of growth, but only at high concentrations. The high concentration needed to effectively treat androgen-independent prostate cancer would require massive dosages if used to treat patients. Perhaps a compound with a lower inhibition constant would be more effective against the cells. These data suggest that a PAM inhibitor could be useful as an anti-proliferative drug, but compounds $>10^3$-fold more potent must be developed for anti-PAM drugs to even be considered for clinical use.

The kinetic constants are known for many substrates and inhibitors of PAM. Though none of the compounds bind tight enough to PAM to completely stop the growth of androgen-independent prostate cancer, they did show that they exhibit anti-proliferative activity. This shows that there is a potential that PAM inhibitors of considerably higher potency (lower $K_i$ values) might have clinical relevance.

Since only the S-(thiolauroyl)thioglycolate bound at submicromolar concentrations, a new design should be developed. Instead of having substrate-like inhibitors, product-like or transition state analogs should be made. Preliminary data on some product-like inhibitors have showed potential in attaining this goal. More extensive kinetic studies on all of the inhibitors are required to fully understand the complexities of their interaction with PAM. Such data are necessary to completely exploit the specific interactions of this series of compounds with PAM to develop second-generation compounds that bind more tightly to PAM.
More intensive molecular modeling of the inhibitors into the active site should be
done. With the kinetic constants of these compounds and others previously done, a better
database can be constructed for future compound development. The database will help
predict which inhibitors will be most potent.

The next step in the anti-proliferative assays is to see if the compounds are
actually inhibiting PAM. To do this, the amount of a particular amidated peptide
hormone should be determined. If the compound is targeting PAM, there should be
significantly less amount of the α-amidated hormone in the treated cancer cells compared
to controls not exposed to the compound. The ethyl esters of current compounds need to
be tested for esterase activity to see if they are getting cleaved within the cell. If they are
not, then perhaps another functional group can be attached to the compounds to facilitate
the crossing of the cell membrane. For example a benzyl ester might yield more
promising results.
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