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Summary

1. Associations among parasites affect many aspects of host-parasite dynamics, but a lack of analytical tools has limited investigations of parasite correlations in observational data that are often nested across spatial and biological scales.

2. Here we illustrate how hierarchical, multiresponse modeling can characterize parasite associations by allowing for hierarchical structuring, offering estimates of uncertainty, and incorporating correlational model structures. After introducing the general approach, we apply this framework to investigate coinfections among four amphibian parasites (the trematodes *Ribeiroia ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* spp., the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, and ranaviruses) and among >2000 individual hosts, 90 study sites, and five amphibian host species.

3. Ninety-two percent of sites and 80% of hosts supported two or more pathogen species. Our results revealed strong correlations between parasite pairs that varied by scale (from among hosts to among sites) and classification (microparasite versus macroparasite), but were broadly consistent across taxonomically diverse host species. At the host-scale, infection by the trematode *R. ondatrae* correlated positively with the microparasites, *B. dendrobatidis* and ranavirus, which were themselves positively associated. However, infection by a second trematode (*Echinostoma* spp.) correlated negatively with *B. dendrobatidis* and ranavirus, both at the host- and site-level scales, highlighting the importance of differential relationships between micro- and macroparasites.

4. Given the extensive number of coinfecting symbiont combinations inherent to natural systems, particularly across multiple host species, multiresponse modeling of cross-sectional field data offers a valuable tool to identify a tractable number of hypothesized interactions for experimental testing while accounting for uncertainty and potential sources of co-exposure. For amphibians specifically, the high frequency of co-occurrence and coinfection among these pathogens – each of which is known to impair host fitness or survival – highlights the urgency of understanding parasite associations for conservation and disease management.
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Tweetable Abstract (120 character limit)

Because hosts are infected with multiple parasite species, we use multi-response models to understand diseases of amphibians.

Introduction

Many, if not all, organisms become infected by multiple parasite species during their lives (Petney and Andrews 1998). Concurrent infections can alter fitness consequences for the host either additively or through interactions between parasites (e.g., Cox 2001). Parasite interactions – which can be positive or negative – occur through a variety of mechanisms, including indirect interactions mediated by the host immune system as well as direct pathways, such as competition for host resources (Graham 2002; Mideo 2009). Within-host interactions have recently been implicated as influential in a number of human and wildlife diseases, including HIV and malaria in humans (Korenromp et al. 2005), bovine tuberculosis in African buffalo (Ezenwa and Jolles 2015), colony collapse disorder in honeybees (Ratnieks and Carreck 2010), and emerging infections in coral reefs (Bromenshenk et al. 2010). Coinfection-mediated changes in infection or pathology within hosts can also affect the resulting transmission rates between hosts or among populations (Ezenwa and Jolles 2015; Hellard et al. 2015; Johnson et al. 2015). Given the potential consequences of coinfection for pathology, mortality, and transmission, understanding the strength and extent of parasite associations in natural populations has become a major goal for disease ecologists (Lello et al. 2004; Jolles et al. 2008; Telfer et al. 2010; Tompkins et al. 2011; Hellard et al. 2015).

Many factors influence parasite exposure and susceptibility both among host individuals (e.g., age, sex, diet, genetics) and across host populations or species (e.g., environmental, spatial, or epidemiological factors) (Wilson et al. 2002; Hawley and Altizer 2011; Hellard et al. 2015). In some cases, parasite correlations observed at one scale (e.g., infections within individual hosts) maybe absent or reversed at other scales (e.g., average infection load among sites), emphasizing the importance of explicitly considering scale (the inappropriate application of correlations at one scale to another scale is sometimes referred to as the ‘ecological fallacy’) (Joseph et al. 2016). Thus, even in the absence of interspecific parasite interactions, spatial or temporal non-independence of the factors influencing infection can generate correlations in parasite occurrence or abundance. For instance, while infections with two plant viruses, Barley- and Cereal Yellow
Dwarf Virus (B/CYDV), often correlate positively within Oregon meadows (Seabloom et al. 2010), whether such patterns reflect parasite interaction (e.g., facilitation) or instead stem from shared vectors or other environmental drivers is challenging to determine from surveys alone. This obstacle is particularly relevant to cross-sectional studies in multisymbiotic and multihost systems, where the number of potential parasite associations is large and not easily tested experimentally. Thus, rigorous analytical methods that can help to characterize covariation in parasite abundance across different scales (e.g., among individuals, populations, communities, regions) and among multiple host species are becoming increasingly important (Hellard et al. 2015). Effective tools to identify pathogen correlations from cross-sectional field data – while characterizing sources of uncertainty and scale-specific covariates that can drive variation in parasite exposure – are essential for understanding the degree to which particular hosts (e.g., superspreaders), species (e.g., reservoirs) or geographic locations (e.g., hotspots) are disproportionately influential to the transmission of multiple parasites concurrently (e.g., Paull et al. 2012; Streicker et al. 2014).

To address these challenges, we advance hierarchical, multiresponse models as a flexible analytical framework for investigating multiscale parasite associations in natural populations (Cressie et al. 2009; Hadfield 2010; Downs and Dochtermann 2014). This approach offers three core advantages over standard regression-type approaches: (1) direct modeling of associations and correlations, (2) incorporation of multiscale covariation (e.g., individuals, sites, and host species) within the same statistical models, and (3) estimation of uncertainty while accounting for multiscale covariation. Although multiresponse approaches build on commonly used methods for analyzing non-normal data, such as generalized linear mixed models (Schielzeth and Nakagawa 2013), they account for uncertainty in all included variables (rather than simply the ‘response’ variable) and emphasize correlational structures (rather than imposing a covariate-response approach). To illustrate and apply the outlined multiresponse model framework, we examined multiscale (individual host, host community, host species) associations in four important amphibian parasites – the trematodes *Ribeiroia ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* spp., the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, and the viral pathogen ranavirus – across >2000 individual hosts in >90 wetland communities (Fig. 1). These parasites have been linked to declines or severe pathologies in many amphibian species and populations (Green et al. 2002; Johnson et al. 2002; Johnson and McKenzie 2009; Vredenburg et al. 2010), but little is known
about the importance of concomitant infections in natural host communities. After describing
how hierarchical, multiresponse models can be applied to study associations between parasites
species generally, we apply it to the amphibian-parasite system as a case study to: (1) estimate
correlations in parasite abundance at the individual, site, and host-species levels, (2) determine
how the strengths and directions of associations varied by scale, and (3) illustrate how inclusion
of environmental or individual-level covariates can provide insight into the factors driving
observed associations. By helping to identify potential correlations among parasites and across
scales, this approach is intended to be complementary to experimental investigations and
predictive modelling, which collectively can offer insights into the importance or frequency of
parasite associations within host communities.

Methods

Study system: We sampled 93 wetlands within a ~10,000 m² area covering three counties in
central California (Fig. 1). Sampled wetlands are grouped within neighboring regional parks or
reserves, creating multiple clusters (i.e. metacommunities) of sites within the larger study area
(Hoverman et al. 2012). Although up to seven species of amphibians can be found in the study
area, five species comprise the majority of non-threatened amphibian hosts (Johnson et al. 2013):
Pseudacris regilla (Pacific chorus frog), Anaxyrus boreas (western toad), Lithobates
catesbeianus (American bullfrog), Taricha torosa (California newt), and Taricha granulosa
(rough-skinned newt). These amphibians are infected by trematode parasites such as Ribeiroia
ondatrae and Echinostoma spp., which enter amphibians through the skin (R. ondatrae) or cloaca
(Echinostoma) and encyst in the hind-limb region and kidneys, respectively. Ribeiroia ondatrae
causes severe malformations and both parasites can reduce survival to metamorphosis in many
host species (Johnson and McKenzie 2009; Johnson et al. 2012). Ranaviruses are intracellular
viral pathogens transmitted between amphibians through direct contact with infected animals,
necrophagy, or exposure to contaminated water or substrates (Gray et al. 2009), in some cases
causing a severe external and internal pathologies (Densmore and Green 2007; Miller et al.
2011). Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis is a water-borne fungal pathogen with both extra- and
intracellular stages (Densmore and Green 2007). Its emergence has been linked to massive die-
offs and severe population declines across multiple continents (Rohr and Raffel 2010; Kilpatrick
et al. 2010; Vredenburg et al. 2010). Based on experimental infections, host species vary in their
susceptibility to – and pathology resulting from – all four parasites (Blaustein et al. 2005; Johnson and Buller 2011; Johnson et al. 2012; Vredenburg et al. 2010; Hoverman et al. 2011).

**Data collection:** We sampled 2,156 amphibian hosts during the summer of 2013 (859 P. regilla, 275 A. boreas, 172 L. catesbeianus, 681 T. torosa, 169 T. granulosa). Within sites, sample sizes for most species reached at least 10 individuals when present (maximum 30 individuals). Upon capture, hosts were swabbed for *B. dendrobatidis* using a standardized protocol (Briggs et al. 2010) and then examined for *R. ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* infection. Pieces of liver and kidney tissue were removed during necropsy, frozen, and screened for ranavirus. *Ribeiroia ondatrae* is discernible from other larval trematodes by the presence of esophageal diverticula, while *Echinostoma* spp. were identified through characteristic collar spines (Johnson and McKenzie 2009). We use “*Echinostoma*” here inclusively to refer to echinostomes (e.g., *E. trivolvis, E. revolutum, Echinoparyphrium* spp.) because their morphological similarity often precludes species-level identification (Johnson and McKenzie 2009). The total number of metacercariae per host was used as our measure of parasite abundance. Snout-vent lengths (SVL) of each host were mean-centered and scaled to unit variance within host species prior to inclusion in analyses.

*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* DNA was extracted and quantified using standardized protocols (Boyle et al. 2004; Hyatt et al. 2007) and swabs were run in triplicate with an internal positive control (IPC) to test for DNA inhibition. Samples were declared ‘positive’ only if they amplified at least twice across runs. Total *B. dendrobatidis* zoospore-equivalents (ZE) per swab were averaged across swabs and rounded to the nearest whole number. Ranaviral DNA was extracted from liver and kidney tissue samples and infection was determined using standard quantitative PCR protocols (Forson and Storfer 2006). Viral load (i.e. viral copies ng^{-1} of DNA) was estimated based on a known standard curve. We used a synthetic double-stranded DNA standard by synthesizing a 250bp fragment of the major capsid protein (MCP) gene (gBlocks Gene Fragments; Integrated DNA Technologies), which is conserved among ranaviruses. Viral equivalents (VE) were rounded to the nearest whole number.

**Data analysis:** Multireponse models offer an opportunity to determine whether parasite abundances are correlated among sampled units, such as individuals, sites, and host species. These models differ from generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) in two key ways: (1) two (or more) response variables are modeled simultaneously, and (2) correlations between response
variables at each level of the model can be estimated directly through correlated random effects. As we show below, these correlation parameters are included directly in the models, allowing for the simultaneous and joint estimation of correlations at each level alongside other model parameters. Here we fit one model for each pair of parasites in our data set (six total models), with each model containing four levels of random effects: individuals (i.e., amphibian hosts), sites (i.e., ponds), host species, and metacommunities (i.e., collections of ponds within a contiguous geographic area, Fig. 1). Specifically, we modeled the observed parasite counts $y_{i,j,l}$ for individual hosts $i = 1, \ldots, I$ at site $j = 1, \ldots, J$ within the metacommunity $l = 1, \ldots, L$. Each individual host also was a member of a host species $k = 1, \ldots, K$, which could be present at any site. These models took the following general form:

$$
\begin{align*}
    y_A &\sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_A) \\
    y_B &\sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_B)
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts $A$ and $B$ indicate identical parameters associated with the two parasites. The response variables $y$ are parasite counts, which are distributed as Poisson random variables with rate parameter (and expected value) $\lambda$. Overdispersed-Poisson distributions were chosen for computational tractability within the MCMCglmm framework (see below), although in practice other probability distributions (negative binomial or overdispersed lognormal) may work equally well for parasite count data.

As seen in equation 1, each $\lambda$ is a function of six, distinct terms which together account for the model-estimated abundance of each parasite within each individual host:

- The $\alpha$ terms are global intercepts for each parasite
- The $\eta$ terms are the site-level random effects on parasite counts for each site $j$
- The $\theta$ terms are host species-level random effects for each of the five host species, $k$, and indicate how abundant each parasite is within each host
- The $\gamma$ terms are metacommunity-level random effects for each of the metacommunities, $l$, and indicate how abundant each parasite was within each metacommunity
- The $\delta$ terms are the host species-specific random effects of snout-vent length (SVL) for each host species, $k$, which account for the variation in parasite counts associated with individual host size.
• The $\varepsilon_i$ terms are individual-level random effects, which allow us to estimate correlations in parasite abundance at the individual level and account for overdispersion in parasite counts.

Three of the six terms above (sites $\eta_j$, host-species $\theta_k$, and individuals $\varepsilon_i$) were modeled as multivariate normal random variables (using $\eta_j$ as an example):

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\eta_{j,A} \\
\eta_{j,B}
\end{pmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_{\eta,A}^2 & \rho_{\eta} \sigma_{\eta,A} \sigma_{\eta,B} \\
\rho_{\eta} \sigma_{\eta,A} \sigma_{\eta,B} & \sigma_{\eta,B}^2
\end{pmatrix}\right)
$$

In the example above, the $j$-total site-level random effects for each parasite have a mean of zero and a standard deviation parameter $\sigma_{\eta}$. Most importantly, this formulation also includes a $\rho_{\eta}$ parameter, which represents the correlation between the site-level random effects for the two parasites. The 18 total $\rho$ parameters (one each at the individual-, site-, and host-species level for each pair of parasite species) are the parameters we are most interested in evaluating to assess parasite associations across scales. Positive and negative values of $\rho$ indicate the degree to which abundances are correlated among individuals, sites, and host-species, respectively, when controlling for the other effects in the model.

The other three terms in equation 1 (the intercepts $\alpha$, metacommunity effects $\gamma_l$, and SVL effects $\delta_k$) were estimated as univariate normal random variables. Both the intercept and metacommunity effects were modeled with mean equal to 0 and standard deviations $\sigma$. For simplicity, we chose not to include correlations among metacommunities, but in practice there is no barrier to doing so. Lastly, the $\delta_k$ were modeled with a mean equal to $\beta_{SVL}$ (e.g., the average effect of SVL across host species) and standard deviation $\sigma_{\delta}$.

Between any two pairs of parasite species, this model formulation estimates a single correlation parameter ($\rho_{\varepsilon}$) at the individual-level regardless of host species. In other words, we assume a priori that there is no variation in the individual-level correlations for different host-species. We relaxed this assumption in a set of six additional multiresponse models, where the individual-level variance/covariance matrix (equivalent to equation 2 for $\varepsilon$) was subdivided into five separate covariance matrices, one for each host species. We refer to these modified models as species-specific multiresponse models. Model parameters were estimated by drawing 1000
samples from their joint posterior distributions using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm implemented the MCMCglmm package (Hadfield 2010) in R (R Core Team 2013) (see prior distributions and MCMC chain specifications in Appendix S1). Although the outlined framework does not depend on Bayesian model-fitting, current limitations of existing likelihood-based implementations for modeling correlations and associations emphasize the additional utility of Bayesian procedures for assessing parasite correlations across scales (see Joseph et al. 2016).

**Results**

Overall, we detected each specific amphibian pathogen at 57.7% (B. dendrobatidis), 65.9% (ranavirus), 85.7% (Echinostoma), and 63.7% (R. ondatrae) of the 93 sampled sites. With respect to co-occurrence, 7.9% of sites supported only one of the parasites, 17% supported two, 31.8% supported three, and 43.2% had all four detected. No sites were free of infections, although 312 individual hosts lacked any of the included parasites. Among the 2,152 sampled hosts, *Echinostoma* was the most commonly encountered parasite overall, which occurred in 45.6% of hosts, followed by *R. ondatrae* (38.8%), ranavirus (32.7%) and *B. dendrobatidis* (17.1%). The full data set and computer code necessary to reproduce all of our results in R are deposited at Data Dryad. Posterior distributions for all estimated parameters (e.g. site and species effects) and species mean-abundance estimates based on single response models are reported in the supplementary material (Appendix S1). Below we focus specifically on correlation estimates between parasites at different hierarchical levels.

**Correlations among individual hosts:** Infections by different parasite species showed a mix of positive and negative correlations across both host species and parasite classifications (micro-vs. macroparasites). We found positive correlations between the abundance of *R. ondatrae* and both *Echinostoma* ($\rho_e = 0.31$, 95%CI = [0.22, 0.40], Fig. 2) and ranavirus ($\rho_e = 0.13$, [-0.01, 0.27], Fig. 2). These associations were also consistent in direction across different host species. The abundances of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and ranavirus also correlated positively within *P. regilla* ($\rho_e = 0.23$, [0.01, 0.44], Fig. 3), despite showing a weak overall correlation when host species were pooled (Fig. 2). Intriguingly, despite the generally positive associations between *R. ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* and between *R. ondatrae* and ranavirus, the abundance of *Echinostoma* correlated negatively with *B. dendrobatidis* ($\rho_e = -0.18$ [-0.29, -0.06]) and
Correlations among sites and species: At the site (pond) level, parasite associations tended to mirror those observed in individual hosts with a few important exceptions. Consistent with individual host-level patterns, we detected positive correlations between the abundances of *R. ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* ($\rho_{\eta} = 0.32 \ [0.04, 0.56]$, Fig. 4) and negative correlations between *Echinostoma* and *B. dendrobatidis* among sites ($\rho_{\eta} = -0.22 \ [-0.50, 0.09]$, Fig. 4). However, in contrast to observations at the individual-level, *R. ondatrae* and ranavirus abundances correlated negatively at the site-level ($\rho_{\eta} = -0.35 \ [-0.64, -0.03]$, Fig. 4), indicating that sites with greater *R. ondatrae* abundance had lower ranaviral abundance. Additionally, despite finding no individual-level correlation between *R. ondatrae* and *B. dendrobatidis*, these infections correlated positively at the site-level ($\rho_{\eta} = 0.28 \ [-0.11, 0.57]$, Fig. 4). Among host species, we found no evidence for correlations in parasite mean abundance (Fig. S1), although our power to detect such correlations with only five host species was low.

**Discussion**

Most empirical work on parasite co-infections to date has focused either on assessing the consequences of polyparasitism for individual host susceptibility and pathology (Munson et al. 2008; Romansic et al. 2011; Pedersen and Antonovics 2013; Ezenwa and Jolles 2015), or on evaluating the degree to which parasite species correlate within host populations (Lello et al. 2004; Jolles et al. 2008; Telfer et al. 2010). Relatively little research has explored how parasite interactions or associations at one scale extend to influence – or are influenced by – patterns at other scales, despite theoretical and empirical evidence suggesting such cross-scale effects could be important (Kuris and Lafferty 1994; Tompkins et al. 2011; Hellard et al. 2015). This line of inquiry is important for at least two reasons. In some cases, field-based correlations between parasites may help identify candidate interactions between symbionts for subsequent experimental investigation. However, given that even strong correlations may not reflect true parasite interactions (e.g., Fenton et al. 2014), an equally relevant goal is to characterize the relative importance of specific hosts, species, or sites in affecting host exposure to multiple parasites concurrently.
Here we illustrate a framework for investigating parasite associations and coinfections in multiscale data sets that (1) explicitly models correlations between parasite species, (2) uses a hierarchical structure to explore effects across multiple scales, and (3) captures uncertainty in the parameters of interest. Our results highlight four primary advantages of the framework for investigating associations among parasites in multiscale data. Most importantly, multiresponse models do not imply a direction of causality for parasite associations; instead, correlations between parasites are modeled directly through the use of multivariate normal random effects, making these models particularly applicable to cross-sectional data. Second, by utilizing both parasites as response variables, researchers can apply the appropriate probability distributions (e.g., overdispersed Poisson, binomial, negative binomial, gamma or mixture models) for each parasite – rather than just the (often arbitrarily) assigned ‘response’ species. The use of appropriate distributions also removes the necessity for data transformations that can sometimes impede biological interpretation (e.g., log + 1, arcsin, square-root). Third, a hierarchical modeling framework allows for correlations and associations to be estimated over an unlimited number of nested and crossed scales, provided sufficient data are available. Including multiple scales within the same statistical model controls for associations at one level when calculating associations at another (Joseph et al. 2016). Finally, hierarchical modeling also allows one to avoid subsetting the data based on arbitrary sample size cutoffs: parameters for sites or species can be estimated even when sample sizes are low by "shrinking" estimates towards the mean using partial pooling (Gelman et al. 2012). Other hierarchical modeling approaches, including Bayesian model-fitting, sim current limitations of existing likelihood-based implementations for modeling correlations and associations make Bayesian procedures the most direct way to assess parasite interactions across scales (see Joseph et al. 2015).

By applying this framework to four pathogens of amphibians, including micro- and macroparasitic infections, we identified multiple correlations between infections that extended among sites, individuals, and host species. Most sampled wetlands and hosts supported more than one pathogen species, emphasizing the importance of investigating parasite associations. Among individual hosts, correlations varied in both magnitude and direction as a function of the specific pair of coinfecting parasites. Many of the estimated coefficients were large enough to suggest that parasite interactions could be important in determining infection outcomes, despite
the relative rarity of work on coinfection in amphibian hosts (e.g., Romansic et al. 2011; Johnson and Buller 2011; Hoverman et al. 2012). Although the outcome of parasite interactions is often believed to depend on the types of coinfecting parasites, with facilitation being more likely when a micro- and macroparasite co-occur than when both parasites are of the same type, associations detected in the current study did not follow a simple classification paradigm. For instance, despite their ecological similarities, the trematodes *R. ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* exhibited contrasting correlations in abundance with the two microparasites: *Ribeiroia ondatrae* and ranavirus loads correlated positively within hosts, whereas *Echinostoma* infections in amphibian kidneys correlated negatively with both ranavirus and *B. dendrobatidis*. The two microparasites also correlated positively with one another. Thus, while the positive correlation between *R. ondatrae* and ranavirus is consistent with T-helper cell induced facilitation between macro- and microparasites (Graham 2002), the negative relationship between *Echinostoma* and ranavirus suggests an alternative mechanism could be operating, such as direct competition for host tissue space (e.g., within the kidneys) or indirect pathways such as immunological regulation or priming (Taylor et al. 2012). Positive correlations in infection patterns could also be related to host behavior: infections that cause reductions in host activity or avoidance behaviors can enhance exposure to additional parasites (see Johnson and Hoverman 2014; Preston et al. 2014).

Perhaps most importantly, the applied analysis offered an opportunity to assess how patterns of correlated infection shifted from individual hosts to the among-site scale. In some cases, the form and magnitude of the correlation persisted between pairs of parasites as the analysis moved across scales; in others, it changed or even reversed directions. For instance, while the positive association between *R. ondatrae* and *Echinostoma* spp. persisted at both scales, the correlation between ranavirus and the two trematode species either changed direction (with *R. ondatrae*) or was present at the individual but not site level (with *Echinostoma*). If the positive link between ranavirus and *R. ondatrae* is caused by immune-mediated facilitation, then the negative correlation in infection among sites could be the result of increased mortality of coinfect ed individuals, as has also been suggested for interactions between bovine tuberculosis and nematode worms in African buffalo (Ezenwa and Jolles 2015). Such a result would be consistent with the deleterious effects of both ranavirus and *R. ondatrae* on larval amphibian survival (Hoverman et al. 2011; Johnson et al. 2012). However, such site-level relationships may also reflect strong environmental or biotic differences among sites that outweigh parasite
interactions. The strong positive correlation between the trematodes *R. ondatrae* and
*Echinostoma*, for instance, likely reflects covariation in host exposure consistent with their
shared use of rams horn snails (*Helisoma* spp.) as intermediate hosts (Joseph et al. 2016),
particularly given that previous experimental research has shown these parasites interact
antagonistically within hosts (Johnson and Buller 2011). Similar forms of scale-dependent
associations have also been reported when using alternative forms of hierarchical modeling
approaches (e.g., Bayesian model-fitting; Joseph et al. 2016) and for other trematode parasite
systems (e.g., Kuris and Lafferty 1994). Collectively, these observations set the stage for
collection of additional covariate data to help account for co-exposure as well as targeted,
follow-up experiments to test hypothesized links between parasite pairs.

Finally, these results showed that, in many cases, the estimated individual-level
correlations were highly similar among host species. Because most previous studies have
focused on a single host species, whether host species respond differentially to coinfection
remains unclear. Our findings indicated that taxonomically diverse amphibian hosts, including
representatives of four families and two orders, had similar patterns of parasite association and
coinfection within and among wetlands. This consistency suggests that drivers (both
environmental and interaction-induced) of correlated infections may not be limited to particular
species, and thus the impact of concomitant infection on emerging infectious diseases could
influence the entire host community. Additionally, similar responses to infection may facilitate
further experimental investigation, because easier-to-use host species may act as representatives
of other hosts that cannot be collected from the wild. Thus far, the complexities of incorporating
data on multiple host species, multiple parasites, and across replicate communities have limited
opportunities to explore such questions.

From a conservation standpoint, pathogen co-occurrence and coinfection in amphibians
has the potential to influence patterns of individual pathology and, by extension, host population
persistence. Each of the parasites included here has been shown to cause host damage or elevated
mortality in amphibian hosts. For the trematodes, these effects are intensity-dependent, such that
the risk of osmoregulatory disruption (*Echinostoma*) or limb malformations and mortality (*R.
ondatrae*) depends on the infection load (Holland et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2012). Although
both ranavirus and *B. dendrobatidis* are capable of replicating on or within amphibian hosts,
evidence also highlights the importance of infection intensity in driving pathology (e.g., Briggs...
et al. 2010; Wuerthner et al. 2017), emphasizing the value of analytical approaches such those described here that test for correlations in load among parasites. One of the remarkable observations from this dataset is how widespread and prevalent coinfections were among amphibian hosts: all sites sampled supported at least one infection, and 75% supported two or more pathogens – despite variation in sample sizes. Similarly, a 2010 survey in the same region indicated that each infection occurred in 45% or more of sampled wetlands, with at least two of the four co-occurring in 68% of sites and all four pathogens evident in 13% (Hoverman et al. 2012). Collectively, these observations – alongside laboratory experiments that illustrate additive or synergistic effects of coinfection in amphibians (Romansic et al. 2011; Johnson and Buller 2011; Johnson et al. 2013; Wuerthner et al. in press) – emphasize the widespread nature of pathogen co-occurrence and the importance of sampling for infection assemblages in ongoing monitoring or conservation efforts.

The role of parasite interactions in the spread of disease in wildlife remains an open question in disease ecology (Ratnieks and Carreck 2010; Tompkins et al. 2011; Ezenwa and Jolles 2015). Evidence from experimental (Graham 2008; Pedersen and Antonovics 2013; Ezenwa and Jolles 2015) and observational (Lello et al. 2004; Jolles et al. 2008; Telfer et al. 2010) studies has confirmed that parasite interactions can affect the risk of infection, the severity of disease pathology, and transmission to other hosts. However, clear examples of how associations between parasites extend beyond individual hosts to affect processes across space and host species remain rare, despite their importance for understanding disease management in complex ecological communities. Large-scale, observational studies will become increasingly important for identifying potential linkages among parasite species in multihost, multiparasite communities. The framework presented here offers an enhanced opportunity to fit statistical models to such large, multiscale and multihost cross-sectional data sets, allowing for direct comparisons of the direction and magnitude of correlations across levels (site and individual) or within different host species. We emphasize, however, that the multiresponse approach outlined here is a tool for identifying correlations among parasites and across scales, rather than a test of parasites interactions per se (see Fenton et al. 2014). Such analyses can help identify host and parasite combinations suitable for further experimental validation of parasite interactions and develop hypotheses about factors influencing host co-exposure to multiple infections.
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**Fig. 1.** Map of study system that illustrates the multilevel data structure. (A) Study sites are divided into four distinct metacommunities (shapes) across three counties in California. Each metacommunity is composed of many individual wetlands (B), each containing an assemblage of between one and five host species (C). Within each site, we collected multiple individuals (frogs, toads, or newts) for each host species present and determined the abundance of three different parasites for each individual (D). Our framework provides an approach to assessing associations between parasite species within each scale (site, species, individual). *B. dendrobatidis* and ranavirus pictures are courtesy of CSIRO.

**Fig. 2.** Individual level correlations of parasite abundance. Results from multiresponse models for pairs of parasites are given at the intersection of rows and columns. Histograms below the diagonal show the sampled posterior distributions of the individual-level correlations ($\rho_e$). The histograms with shaded blue and green areas indicate correlations with positive and negative
posterior means respectively. The percentage given indicates the largest mean-centered credible interval that did not include $\rho_\varepsilon$ equal to zero. Scatterplots above the diagonal show random draws of 1000 point estimates of individual effects ($\varepsilon_i$) from the joint posterior distributions. A solid black line indicates cases where zero fell outside the 95% credible interval for the correlation, whereas dashed lines indicate where zero fell outside the 90% (but not 95%) interval. The absence of a line indicates that the 90% credible interval included zero.

**Fig. 3.** Individual-level correlations of parasite abundance for each host species. Correlations for individual host species were obtained by fitting multiresponse models with separate individual-level variance-covariance matrices (rather than a single matrix) for all host species. Each column indicates results from a single fitted model. Circles show random draws of 1000 point estimates (per column) of individual effects ($\varepsilon_i$) from the posterior distribution. The number of points in each panel is proportional to the number of individuals sampled for each host-species. A solid red line indicates cases where zero fell outside the 95% credible interval for the correlation, whereas dashed red lines indicate where zero fell outside the 90% (but not 95%) interval. All remaining correlations are indicated by dotted lines in order to visualize the direction of the mean correlation. Blank panels indicate cases where $\varepsilon_i$ was not estimated due to just a single *T. granulosa* individual infected with *Echinostoma*.

**Fig. 4.** Site-level correlations of parasite abundance. Results from multiresponse models for pairs of parasites are given at the intersection of rows and columns. Histograms below the diagonal show the sampled posterior distributions of the site-level correlations ($\rho_\eta$). The histograms with shaded blue and green areas indicate correlations with positive and negative posterior means respectively. The percentage given indicates the largest mean-centered credible interval that did not include $\rho_\eta$ equal to zero. Scatterplots above the diagonal show posterior mean estimates (circles) of the site-effects $\eta_j$ for each parasite. Horizontal and vertical gray bars show the 95% credible intervals for the $\eta_j$'s. A solid black line indicates cases where zero fell outside the 95% credible interval for the correlation, whereas dashed lines indicate where zero fell outside the 90% (but not 95%) interval. A lack of line indicates that the 90% credible interval included zero.
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