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USF FACULTY SENATE MEETING
November 16, 2016
3:00 – 5:00 p.m.
Chamber Room 4200 Marshall Student Center

1. Call to Order, Review of Agenda

2. Approval of Minutes from October 19, 2016 Meeting

3. Reports by Officers and Council Chairs (5 minutes)
   a. Standing Council Recommendations – Rick Will (action item)

4. Old Business (15 minutes)
   a. Update from Ad hoc Committee on Campus Climate – Barbara Lewis, Phil Levy

5. New Business (45 minutes)
   a. Medical Engineering Department Proposal – Phil Marty, Bob Frisina, Ed Funai, Jose Zayas-Castro, Bob Bishop
   b. Campus climate statement

6. Report from USF System President Judy Genshaft (20 minutes)

7. Report from Provost and Executive Vice President Ralph Wilcox (30 minutes)

8. Report from USF System Faculty Council President Jim Garey (5 minutes)

9. Report from USF United Faculty of Florida President Arthur Shapiro (5 minutes)

10. Other Business from the Floor (5 minutes)

Adjourn - Next scheduled meeting – January 18, 2017
President Michael Teng called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. A motion was made and seconded to approve the Minutes from the meeting on October 19, 2016 as presented.

REPORTS BY OFFICERS AND COUNCIL CHAIRS

a. Standing Council Recommendations – Rick Will

Committee on Committees (COC) Chair Will reported that due to the number of vacancies not filled by the September deadline, there was a second call for nominations with a closing date of October 28. At today’s meeting, on behalf of the COC, he presented a second slate of twenty nominees which came to the floor with a motion to approve. No discussion was held. The motion unanimously passed.

OLD BUSINESS

a. Update from Ad Hoc Committee on Campus Climate – Phil Levy

As chair of the ad hoc committee, Past President Levy reported that the group met and had an in-depth discussion about its course of action which resulted in the following:

- to expand the group membership,
- to collect and review existing documents to get a sense of how the university community is responding to student concerns,
- to meet with the different student groups,
- to create a more encompassing document to unify all the different community values, and
- to hold town hall meetings to give more people the opportunity to come forward and be heard.

Dr. Levy pointed out that the idea is for the committee to talk with as many people as it can and hopes that the document represents those people who choose to participate. If Senators know of someone who would be interested in serving on the committee, have them contact him.

NEW BUSINESS

a. Medical Engineering Department Proposal – Phil Marty, Bob Frisina, Ed Funai, Jose Zayas-Castro, Bob Bishop, Charles Lockwood

On behalf of the College of Engineering and Morsani College of Medicine, Dean Bishop presented a proposal for a joint biomedical engineering undergraduate program. The rationale for such a program is to look for better care at lower costs. It is anticipated to have students start in the program in the fall 2017 semester. His presentation covered: the background of how the proposal came about; the Timetable for 2016-2018; College Approval Process (faculty have been informed and consulted within both colleges and feedback was positive); Faculty Transfers; Faculty Recruits; Tenure & Promotion
Collective Bargaining; and, Financial Analysis. At this time, the floor was opened for discussion.

In response to the question about whether or not faculty will be transferred from existing departments and moved into this new one, Dean Bishop replied that although there will be faculty who want to move it is really about recruiting nationally. He added that faculty can stay where they are if that is their choice.

Dean Bishop reiterated that faculty in both departments were provided with documentation on the proposed program. It was discussed at all faculty meetings with feedback provided to the deans.

President Genshaft clarified that funding for the new lines is part of the emerging pre-eminence money which is to be used to foster excellence. This money will pay for 2 cluster hires at the Heart Institute and in Medical Engineering.

There being no further discussion and before moving to a vote, it was clarified that the role of the Faculty Senate is to not be involved in a merit review of the proposal but to be involved in the process to be certain that all faculty have been included in discussions. A motion was made and seconded that the Faculty Senate felt adequate faculty consultation occurred and, therefore, was in favor of the proposed program. The motion unanimously passed.

b. Campus Climate Statement – Mike Teng

President Teng asked the Senators if the Faculty Senate should issue a statement on the recent occurrences taking place on campus. It was confirmed that under its Bylaws the Faculty Senate can create an ad hoc resolution if there is agreement among the group that an emergency situation exists. There was a call for a vote that such a condition exists. The vote unanimously passed. At this time, President Teng presented a draft statement and opened the floor for discussion. Revisions were made resulting in the following resolution:

CAMPUS CLIMATE

The following Resolution was unanimously passed by the USF Faculty Senate on November 16, 2016:

Academic freedom, freedom of expression, and civil discourse are bedrock principles of a University. Enlightened scholarship requires an environment of collegiality based on mutual respect and integrity. At the University of South Florida, we honor the diversity of all members of our community and respect their rights to hold and express their ideas and beliefs. Violence, threats, and discriminatory harassment, in any form, have no place on our campus or in our community. The Faculty Senate of the University of South Florida condemns such behavior in the strongest possible terms.

Due to the nature of the resolution, a vote was taken via paper ballot. The vote passed with 1 disapproval. It was agreed to disseminate the resolution on behalf of the Faculty
Senate before the Holiday Break. President Genshaft will have Marketing and Communications send it to the entire university community.

REPORT FROM USF SYSTEM PRESIDENT JUDY GENSHAFT AND PROVOST AND EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT RALPH WILCOX

The Senators were thanked by the president and provost for the resolution on campus climate. President Genshaft reported that during a recent APLU meeting university presidents had their own meeting to discuss campus climates. Occurrences such as those on this campus are a national phenomenon and need to be watched carefully. Faculty members should also remember USF’s values and ideals, and that it honors diversity and academic freedom. Provost Wilcox added that during these uncertain and unsettling times, USF is holding true to its core values to protect and honor them. Although he commended the Faculty Senate for passing the campus climate resolution, the provost added it is now time to live up to it by being accountable. It is hoped that the students will release a similar statement.

Provost Wilcox reported that there are faculty hiring challenges occurring, and USF will continue to assess the processes that are followed. He would like to hear from faculty if they have any concerns about the hiring processes.

Senators expressed concern that not all faculty are aware of resources to which to refer students and asked how faculty can find them. Provost Wilcox will provide President Teng and Dean Lockwood with this information. It was also recommended that the information be posted in departments.

REPORT FROM USF SYSTEM FACULTY COUNCIL PRESIDENT JIM GAREY

President Garey reported that during its November 10 meeting, the SFC looked at and discussed Banner conflicts across the three campuses. He will have a report over the next few months. The SFC will be reviewing and discussing student success programs at its December 8 meeting.

REPORT FROM USF UNITED FACULTY OF FLORIDA PRESIDENT ARTHUR SHAPIRO

President Shapiro reported that faculty will see an increase in their next paycheck. He will let the NEA know that the Faculty Senate now has a position on the campus climate.

OTHER BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

a. General Education Council – Kyna Betancourt

Chair Betancourt reported that new general education curricula will not have separate capstone or writing intensive courses. Departments will be notified.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 4:45 p.m.
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Medical Engineering at USF
A Joint Program of the College of Engineering and the Morsani College of Medicine

FACULTY CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
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Dean, College of Engineering
MEDICAL ENGINEERING — A FACULTY ORIGINATED IDEA

Phase 1 Prior to 2011

• COE faculty, led by Drs. William Lee, Richard Gilbert, Mark Jaroszeski and Babu Joseph generated a proposal for formal programs between COE and MCOM in Biomedical Engineering.

• A series of meetings took place, and some documents generated, which contained significant inputs from COE and MCOM faculty.

• At that time, the administrators involved were not able to achieve consensus and the resources to move forward successfully on these programs.
Phase 2 2012

- Inputs from these same faculty, plus new inputs provided by Drs. Venkat Bhethanabotla, Robert Frisina and Chris Passaglia, were utilized by Dr. Babu Joseph to revise the application for a new undergraduate major in BME, which had been originally conceived in Phase 1
- These COE faculty submitted this application to COE Dean Wiencek to initiate the undergrad major approval process
- He decided to leave this to the next COE Dean
MEDICAL ENGINEERING — A FACULTY ORIGINATED IDEA (3)

Phase 3 2015-present

• Dean Bishop and Dean & VP Lockwood and our administrative teams including Drs. Jose Zayas-Castro, Phil Marty, and Ed Funai, sought faculty inputs from COE and MCOM over the past 2 years

• The current proposal has been approved by MCOM and COE faculties

• Detailed consultation dates follow ....
FACULTY CONSULTATIONS

- **Mar 13, 2015:** Charge to Committee by Medicine and Engineering Deans with 4 faculty appointed to Committee from each College
- **Dec 11, 2015:** Proposal presented to College Deans ... proposal accepted
- **Apr 29, 2015:** Deans Bishop & Zayas-Castro attend the ChemBME Department faculty meeting to discuss the proposal
- **Jan 11, 2016:** Dean Bishop presents to USF Tampa College Deans and USF Health Deans
- **Apr 27, 2016:** Dean Bishop presents to President’s Cabinet
- **Jun 28, 2016:** Proposal presented to MCOM Faculty Council (FC)
- **Aug 16, 2016:** MedE committee members met with Faculty Senate (FS) President for input on FS process
- **Aug 23, 2016:** MCOM FC voted on proposal but quorum was not present
FACULTY CONSULTATIONS (2)

- **Aug 24, 2016:** Electronic ballot was sent to the 42 voting members of MCOM FC
- **Sept 9, 2016:** COE posted the formal MedE proposal, including the BME undergraduate element on the COE website for all COE faculty to critique
- **Sept 16, 2016:** Proposal accepted by MCOM FC with a vote of 28 approved, 6 not approved, 8 not voting ... Proposal passed
- **Oct 14, 2016:** A report containing feedback from all of the COE departments was submitted by the Chair of the COE Faculty Gov. Committee to the COE Dean. Positive responses.
- **Nov 9, 2016:** Proposal presented to FSEC and recommended to FS
- **Nov 16, 2016:** Proposal presented to the full Faculty Senate
Summary

• The seeds of the “bottoms up” proposal were planted by the faculty and discussed by the faculty over a sustained period from 2011 to the present.

• The COE BME Committee included Dr. Passaglia and Dr. Gallant, both of whom are core members of our COE Interdepartmental BME Program Steering Committee.

• The Chemical & Biomedical Engineering Department has been meeting on this issue regularly, both special meetings and discussing at Departmental Faculty Meetings for the past 3 years.
BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING TASK FORCE

The Task Force considered matters of department administrative structure, governance and staffing; faculty appointments, promotion and tenure; space and facilities; departmental funding, revenue sharing and space allocation; research opportunities; education programs; future growth; and resources required.
BETTER CARE AT LOWER COSTS

• A new multidisciplinary, state-of-the-art environment for training the next generation of scientists and engineers for employment in new and emerging fields of medicine and engineering
• New targeted interdisciplinary research programs
• Translational research leading to medical innovations and novel therapies
• Increased competitiveness for 'team science' programmatic funding, research center grants, licensing and royalties, and philanthropy
• Enhanced patient care & healthcare delivery
The Tampa Bay Region has the second-highest concentration of biomedical-related industries in Florida and one of the highest concentrations nationally.

• Builds on extensive existing collaborations among faculty in both Biomedical Engineering and Medicine
• Numerous health related organizations provide further incentives

- Center for the Advancement of Medical Learning and Simulation (CAMLs)
- Tampa General Hospital
- Moffitt Cancer Center
- Shriners' Orthopedic Hospital for Children
- James A. Haley VA Hospital
BREAKING NEW GROUND

• A jointly governed BME department sponsored by both Engineering and Medicine will break new ground at USF.

• Although a growing number of BME accredited programs exist nationally, only a limited number of programs report a jointly sponsored BME department between Engineering and Medicine.

• When looking closely at these reported programs, most demonstrated collaboration across missions, but it appeared that separate governance structures were maintained under the deans in each of their colleges.

Geography of Joint BME Departments

- Georgia Institute of Technology
- North Carolina State University, Raleigh
- The University of Memphis
- University of Alabama at Birmingham
- University of Illinois at Chicago
- University of Maine
- University of Michigan
- University of Texas at Austin
- University of Virginia
- University of Washington
- Virginia Tech University
- The University of Toledo

Appears to be a truly joint venture between the two schools and is in the early stage of implementation.
PROGRAM AREAS FOR BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING

Prosthetics/medical devices

Medical equipment

Medical inventions

Artificial organs/tissues

Laboratory delivery systems and materials

Current and potential areas of research and instructional strength

• Nanomedicine and Drug Delivery
• BioMEMs and Biosensing
• Biomedical Devices
• Prosthetics and Robotics
• Computer science and engineering

Possible alternative text:

• Nanomedicine and Drug Delivery
• BioMEMs and Biosensing
• Biomedical Devices
• Prosthetics and Robotics
• Computer science and engineering
LOOKING AHEAD

• Full compliment of faculty by end of year five will include 16 tenured/tenure earning faculty, 2 instructors, and the chair.
• Deans will meet for ongoing evaluations and departmental progress on at least a quarterly basis or more frequently as needed.