A novel conceptual model of intrusion related gold bearing systems and exploration tools
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Abstract. Despite numerous debates conducted in the last two decades, the concept of intrusion related gold systems IRGS remains controversial and sometimes befuddling. The key issue drifts from case to case, i.e., initial to subsequent proposed classifications, presence of gold in reduced fluids-porphyritic environments, non-porphyry gold in orogenic terrains and participation of non-magmatic gold fluids in depositional processes. Trying to avoid atypical or particular aspects of certain deposits, a genuine metallogenetic depiction intends to enhance the intrusion related systems meaning. Gold is either a major constituent or a mere byproduct. A vertical metal zonation develops from surface to depth: Au, Ag → Cu, Pb, Zn → Cu, Au → Cu, Mo (Au), W → Fe, Cu, Au (Co, W) → U, Ce, La. Whether the relationship between different intrusion related occurrences is well known at shallow depth (epithermal, mesothermal, porphyry), so far less knowledge and understanding is assigned to deep seated mineralization (porphyry, Iron Oxides-Copper-Gold/IOCG). A specific relationship between those two deep seated ore styles emerges, that is the IOCG, confined to magmatic-hydrothermal type, is situated in the root zone of a deep porphyry system. Complementary information about updated classic exploration tools encompasses specifically geochemical association, geophysical signature, key alteration minerals, Au/Ag ratio and, last but not least, type minerals that contain/include gold in each intrusion related environment. A further valuable tool is given by the estimate of Au grade range specific to each gold bearing mineral sample in shallow or deep seated setting.
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INTRODUCTION

Recently defined Intrusion-Related Gold Systems (IRGS) are restrictively referring to reduced ore mineral assemblages characterized by lacking of regional copper, but known for their tungsten and tin geochemical signature with associated Bi-Te-As-Mo-Sb metal tenor (Sillitoe and Thompson, 1998; Thompson et al., 1999; Lang et al., 2000; Lang and Baker, 2001; Hart et al., 2002; Hart 2005). Early classification described gold-only porphyry deposits and seems to be confined to what is presented now as oxidized IRGS deposits from the Circum-Pacific Island Arc region. Controversial Australian deposits such as Kidston, Timbarra and Red Dome, Alaska deposits such as Fort Knox and Donlin Creak, as well as Dublin Gulch (Yukon, Canada) were included in the new class deposits model (Thompson and Newberry, 2000; Hart, 2005). Depending on the origin and delineated subtypes of intrusion related gold bearing deposits, felsic to intermediate I type or S type granitic magmas are involved (Mustard, 2001; Somarin and Ashley, 2004; Hart, 2005), but M type granitic magmas found in IOCG deposits are regularly ignored (Filip and Orlandea, 2015).

Most authors agree that magmas play a key role in IRGS genesis as a source of energy (temperature, pressure) as well as source of mineralized fluids. Whereas there is a general agreement that the intrusion is an important vehicle to transport heat into the shallow crust, by far the source of metals remains a more problematic issue (Corbett and Leach, 1997; Gammons and Williams-Jones, 1997; Sillitoe, 2000; Simmons et al., 2005).

Furthermore, whereas epithermal shallow depth ore formation involves large scale hydrothermal-meteoric convection cells (Sillitoe and Hedenquist, 2003), more complex ore-forming processes occur at deep seated levels. Oxidized-still preserved epithermal suite can be seen in the shallowest part of many IOCG deposits of Chile, associated with felsic to intermediate plutons. Additionally, some porphyry environments show a noteworthy gradual passing
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to more common IOCG features at depth, instead of early described overlapping (Carten, 1986; Wanheinen et al., 2003; Martinsson and Virkkunen, 2004; Filip and Orlandea, 2016).

The modern exploration of IRGS is based on a variety of integrated advanced data and tools. Up to date geochemical techniques such as reduction-oxidation potential in soil or soil gas analysis (Kelley et al., 2006) and remote sensing infrared spectroscopy, e.g., Advanced Spaceborn Thermal Emission and Reflection (ASTER) are increasingly helpful for mapping the epithermal-porphry environments in arid-semiarid terrains (Rowen and Mars, 2003). Also, the 3D routine inversion of potential field data (magnetic, gravimetric, electric) became a very useful geophysical method for new discoveries in the last decade (Coggon, 2004; Robert et al., 2007). Drillhole vectoring using downhole geological, geochemical and geophysics methods are increasingly important in achieving exploration success (Hollliday and Cooke, 2007). Mineral mapping and lithogeochemistry became recently used to establish vectors to detect ore centers in porphyry systems (Dilles, 2012). That means tremendous progress in exploration. Consequently, geologists are nowadays more than ever equipped for new discoveries. Nevertheless, there is no any “supreme” technique for gold predictions beyond the geological features, therefore the long-established field geological and geochemical methods and tools of classical exploration cannot be ignored. Always the best targets are located where a wide combination of anomaly types (geological/alteration, geochemical, geophysical) cluster, timely to be integrated-interpreted.

In order to avoid as much as possible existing, but still controversial classifications or models, the present paper promotes an integrated-simplified metallogenetic outline of the economic ore types wherein gold occur as major compound or as byproduct. The genetic-spatial position of each type (epithermal, mesothermal, skarn, porphyry, Carlin style, IOCG) is complemented with information regarding the metals aureole, key alteration minerals and ore anatomy, giving impetus to highlight new exploration tools. The footprints of different gold typologies are emphasized by vertical/lateral zoning, gold-host minerals and comprehensive mineralogical-petrographic, geochemical, geophysical and exploration criteria. The new image refers both to extensional-rifting and convergent-plate collide or intraplate metallogenetic terrains, worldwide accepted settings of magmatic-hydrothermal ore deposits.

In respect of previous above mentioned ideas and because the role of non magmatic fluids in IOCG deposits is still a subject of actual controversial debates, this paper refers only to magmatic-hydrothermal IOCG mineralized environment.

**VERTICAL METAL-ALTERATION ZONATION AND KEY ELEMENTS OF GOLD BEARING-INTRUSION RELATED DEPOSITS**

Gold mineralization shows a larger variation of deposit styles than any other metal. Gold occurrence in magmatic-hydrothermal systems as major commodity or as byproduct is somehow connected with upper mantle-derived alkali-rich magmas, although in some cases there is an indirect link to such magmas, that is just spatial association which predate/postdate the main gold bearing intrusion event. The genetic significance of the K-Na rich fluids is emphasized both in shallow systems (epithermal, mesothermal, Carlin type) as well as in deeper systems (porphyry, skarn, IOCG). M type (mantle derived) parental granite magmas are already mentioned in gold-copper fields all over the world, e.g., Panaguna, Lihir in Papua-New Guinee (Clark, 1990; Moyle et al., 1990; Ronacher et al., 2004), Punta del Cobre district in Chile (Marschik and Fontbote, 2001; Filip and Orlandea, 2015) and Olympic Dam in Australia (Fraser et al., 2007).

A conceptual model based on most productive IRGS is exposed in Figure 1. The development scheme encloses the sediment-hosted Carlin type, based on nowadays accepted evidence of dominant magmatic-hydrothermal fluids and origin (Cline et al., 2005; Muntean et al., 2011). Furthermore, the IOCG group of ore deposits is linked for the first time in a fully developed intrusion related model, despite amounts of non-magmatic-external fluids involved in very specific geological settings (Ryan, 1998; Barton and Johnson, 2000; Filip and Orlandea, 2015). In contrast, the sediment-hosted Witwatersrand type gold deposits that contain the second largest gold potential worldwide, is definitely considered as major auriferous paleo-placer. Recent works suggest that syngenetic to diagenetic sedimentary deposition of gold mineralization prevails over fluids of hydrothermal origin (Meier et al., 2009; Heinrich, 2015).

The proposed metal zonation is a ranking expression of major metals into the system, that start from the shallow Au-Ag level, continues with Pb, Zn, Cu, Au, Mo, W at intermediate depths and Fe, Cu, Au, (Co, W), U, Ce, La in deep seated standing. Vuggy quartz, alunite, adularia-sericite and carbonate alteration minerals are important in gold bearing intrusion related epithermal mineralization. Garnet, pyroxene and wollastone are characteristic for skarn deposits. Biotite is common alteration product of mesothermal to shallow porphyry systems, whereas potassic feldspar, albite, iron oxide, scapolite and actinolite are associated to deep seated porphyry-IOCG type environments. The key features of selected gold bearing-intrusion related ore deposits and their metallogenetic position enable to initiate new exploration tools/criteria to be applied by regional to large scale projects.

**Epithermal deposits**

Originally, epithermal deposits were defined by Lindgren (1922) as precious or base metal deposits forming at shallow depth and low temperature-pressure conditions. Recent data indicate that the most epithermal ores form at temperatures between 100°C and 300°C and depths up to 750-800 m. Epithermal gold deposits were divided into high, intermediate and low sulfidation subtypes (Hedenquist et al., 2000; Sillitoe and Hedenquist, 2003), but the intermediate sulfidation seems to be rather a transitional term between high and low degree of system sulfidation closer to sulfide-poor style.

The high sulfidation deposits occur right above the feeder zone, commonly a porphyry intrusion, and associate with near paleosurface-highly oxidized fluids typical of acidic-hypogene solutions. Proximal vuggy silica and distal advanced argillic alteration (kaolinite, dickite, alunite) accompany commonly disseminated or massive-sulfide rich (Pb, Zn, and Cu) gold bearing mineralization.
Fig. 1. Conceptual Model-Cross Section through the most important gold bearing geothermal-intrusive related type deposits, showing lateral and vertical metal/alteration zonation. Note that deepest IOCG and porphyry ores are rather associated with Na-rich metasomatism, while shallow to deep epithermal, mesothermal, and porphyry ores are mainly related to K-rich metasomatism.
In contrast, the low sulfidation systems occur from reduced-near neutral pH fluids with large meteoric water input, i.e., gold deposition is often controlled by boiling phenomena. Mineralizing fluids in low sulfidation gold bearing environment give rise to open space veins or stockwork like patterns. Carbonate (calcite) and adularia are key alteration minerals, although a deeper sericite-illite assemblage has been recorded frequently. Lower temperature acidic minerals such as cristobalite, kaolinite and alunite could be found in the vadose zone near the paleolover water table because of H$_2$S oxidation. Massive opalescent silica layers or silicified plates are usually indicating this zone. Both low sulfidation and high sulfidation systems may lie beneath such steam heated alteration blankets.

By far, epithermal systems are the most productive gold and silver deposits due to their shallow depth, providing opportunities to open pit operations with amazing grades and volumes. For instance, since 1980 the high sulfidation deposit of El Indio-Tambo (Chile) have been producing about 200 t of gold and 1700 t of silver, with much of the ore over 200 g/t Au directly shipped to the metallurgy (Jannas et al., 2004). Also, the all time production of low sulfidation 20 t of gold and 1700 t of silver, with much of the ore over 57 mil.oz. yielded in the GQ (Vlad and Orlandea, 2004). Also, the all time production of low sulfidation epithermal deposits of the Gold Quadrilateral (GQ) in Romania was about 50 mil. oz of Au from a grand total of the all time production of low sulfidation epithermal deposits of the Gold Quadrilateral (GQ) in Romania was about 50 mil. oz of Au from a grand total of 57 mil.oz. yielded in the GQ (Vlad and Orlandea, 2004). Historical milestone deposits mined since Roman times are represented by world class deposits such as Rosia Montana or Barza.

A matter of debate still concerns the deepest epithermal setting, even pluton related, found in the upper part of IOCG deposits. A possible explanation may be linked to the strong tectonic-shearing control that may produce an oxidizing environment at deep depth (>2 km) or later epithermal overprints which create larger time interval for gold deposition (Filip and Orlandea, 2016).

Most of the low sulfidation deposits are located in extensional-rifting related terrains, including island arcs and back arcs with bimodal volcanism, whilst high sulfidation systems occur in volcanic arcs at convergent plate margins, usually overlying porphyry Cu-Au-Mo ore deposits in subduction zones (Corbett, 2008; Tosdal et al., 2009).

Last, but not least, it seems that the problem of sulfidation degree in IRGS is still not fully understood. It is generally accepted that sulphur bearing fluids represent only a negligible component of magmatic fluids. Sulphur charged vapors and fluids become really abundant in hydrothermal-epithermal systems. Magmatic volatile-vapor dominant are H, Cl, B, F, and CO$_2$, in contrast with epithermal volatile-liquid dominant with H$_2$O, SO$_2$, SO$_4$, H$_2$S, and CO$_2$ (Wallance and Edmonds, 2011). Under such circumstances it is obvious that sulphur and sulfides are the status vector of epithermal systems, but become more complicated and difficult to be used/applied as sulfidation modelling in higher temperature-pressure mesothermal to magmatic environments.

**Carlin type deposits**

Initially used to describe a class of carbonate-hosted gold deposits in Nevada, U.S.A., Carlin type mineralization consists commonly of gold disseminations in calcified (silicified) limestones sequence, showing significant structural-fault control. Au/Ag ratios from 3:1 to 5:1 are characteristic of the almost invisible-micronic mineralization with As, Sb, Hg, Tl geochemical anomalies (Cline et al., 2005).

The magmatic-hydrothermal processes and fluids are proven in Carlin environment as well as a specifically transporting and depositional mechanism that contributes to effective-efficient gold formation (Muntean et al., 2011). Within few kilometers from the surface, the fluids dissolved and sulfidized carbonate wall rocks, leading to deposition of gold bearing pyrite. Carlin deposits of Central Nevada reflect a major thermal event of Great Basin: mineralization formed along with other ore deposits, including giant Bingham Cu-Au-Mo skarn-porphyry and Mount Hope Mo porphyry (Hofstra and Cline, 2000), but Carlin type should be considered a distal-evolved metallogenic facies.

Commonly high-angle normal faults, but also low-angle or strike-slip fault zones are important controls of mineralization in the larger deposits of Carlin alignment, e.g., Cortez, Carlin, Getchell and Goldstrike (Muntean, 2006). The depth formation of Carlin gold deposits starts probably around 1.5 km with shearing-gold bearing arsenian pyrite and goes up to shallow epithermal levels (300 m) in the late ore stage, characterized by open space-quartz, orpiment, realgar and stibnite related to the collapse of hydrothermal system and introduction of near surface meteoric fluids (Ressel and Henry, 2006).

Including past production and mineable reserves, the Carlin District (Nevada) contains the largest and most prolific accumulation of gold deposits in North America. However, the more recently discovered Carlin style ores worldwide (China, Australia, Macedonia, Canada, Brasil, etc.) occur at higher temperature, the major part of metallic fluid chemistry. Supergiant Carlin style tertiary gold deposits include Post Betze system in Carlin district of Nevada, that exceed 35 mil. oz. of Au (Groves et al., 2016) and Dian-Qian-Gui “Golden Triangle” in China, with over 25 mil. oz. of Au (Muntean and Cline, 2018).

**Mesothermal and skarn deposits**

Gold bearing mesothermal and skarn deposits associated with dominant magmatic fluids occur due to the circulation of deep crustal fluids (750-3000m) at the contact of or nearby subvolcanic to hypabyssal-plutonic intrusive. The geometry of ore involves stockwork to irregular bodies, lode-veins structures and replacement-lens shaped bodies. Most of the mesothermal lode structures are located in major shear zones and a metamorphic fluid component is sometimes mixed with dominant magmatic-hydrothermal fluids; ore-forming minerals occur at moderate temperature-pressure and the remobilization of some metals (Cu, Au) during shear zone evolution is presumable when associated with retrograde evolution of the alteration-mineralization system.

A major change in understanding of the complex skarn metallogeny came with the evidence that if the gangue assemblages (garnet, wollastonite, pyroxene, idocrase, etc.) occur at higher temperature, the major part of metallic minerals are hydrothermal (Einaudi and Burt, 1982; Meinert et al., 2005).

Proximal Cu-Au skarn mineralization around porphyry systems are the source of massive high grade ore bodies. Examples include Ok Tedi, Ertsberg (Indonesia), Red Dome (Australia), Bingham (USA) and also iron oxides/base metals...
Porphyry deposits

Porphyry deposits are currently the largest source of copper worldwide, but increasingly important for gold, silver, molybdenum, tungsten and even tin (Sillitoe et al., 1975). Examples of well-studied giant porphyry copper deposits include El Teniente and El Salvador in Chile, Bajo de Alumbrera in Argentina, Grasberg in Indonesia and Bingham in the United States (Sinclair, 2007; Sillitoe, 2010).

A variety of criteria have been used to classify porphyry deposits that are generally accepted to be structurally-controlled complex magmatic-hydrothermal systems. A basic but efficient typology is defined by main commodities, such as copper, molybdenum and gold. However, the current but upgraded level of knowledge indicates that Cu-Au and Au porphyry systems are shallow depth-subvolcanic (sensu largo) systems, in contrast to Cu-Mo (Au), W, Mo, Sn porphyry systems such as Climax & Henderson (Colorado, USA) and Wo-Sn Mount Pleasant (Canada), roughly speaking, belong to this group (Sinclair, 2007).

The shallow depth (epizonal to mesozonal) subvolcanic Au lode type deposits like Mother Lode in Sierra Nevada Mts. (California, USA) and Berezovsk in Urals Mts. (Russia) show evidence for multiple metamorphic and magmatic mineralizing fluids, i.e., a hybrid syngeneic-epigenetic “orogenic” deposit model being more appropriate (Groves et al., 2003, Vikenteva et al., 2017). However, magmatic-hydrothermal metallogenic sequences can be distinguished here, for instance the Empire Vein System related to granodiorite porphyry at Mother Lode (Goldfarb et al., 2005) and so called “ladder veins” in granitic dykes at Berezovsk (Vikenteva et al., 2017).

IOCG ore deposits

Iron Oxides-Copper-Gold (IOCG) group of ore deposits encloses diverse genetic ore types formed in deep conditions (>2km). Mesothermal vein-lode, stockwork, breccia pipe systems, plutonic skarn ore bodies, manto type-stratabound and even deep-seated porphyry deposits of different genesis are included in this metallogenic environment. However, there are multiple common geological features for all IOCG genetic types, besides common mining commodities. The most important is the biotite-scapolite-actinolite alteration for early iron oxides phase (magnetite-mushketovite-hematite) in contrast with the sodium rich (albite) metasomatism for the intermediate main copper stage. Most of the economical gold is associated with late epithermal hematite specularite bearing potassic alteration event or overprint. Mantle derived granitoid magmas of M type are involved frequently and minor geochemical anomalies of U (Th), P, Ce, La, Sc, Co, and W are well correlated with major Fe, Cu, and Au elements.

The deep-plutonic (mesozonal to hypozonal) porphyry deposits are isobaric to even adiabatic-closed systems where P,T parameters frequently control a latent evolution of mineralization-alteration stages. The fluids are still oxidized due to the intense fracturing, but also reduced fluids were recorded under special conditions, e.g., Kidston, Australia (Baker and Andrew, 1991). The magmas are depleted in H2S whereas magnetite is stable and ubiquitous. Gold is transported as AuCl2 complex in dominant hypersaline mineralizing fluids. Early mineralization occurs at temperature beyond 600°C and pressures that exceed 2 kb. Many of deep seated porphyry deposits are related to subduction or collision events e.g., the more recently discovered world class orogenic Cu-Mo-Au porphyry system of OyuTolgoi (Mongolia) containing 42 Mt Cu and 46 Moz Au (Seltmann et al., 2014).

The association of deep seated porphyry mineralization with IOCG deposits, well known at Cadia and Copper Hill (Gawler Craton, Australia), Andacollo and El Salvador (Chile) and Aitik (Sweden) has been so far ignored in some way. Such a pattern goes from simple spatial zonation or overprinting events up to a gradual passing, as recently observed at deep levels in the famous El Salvador deposit. Moreover, Mo porphyry systems such as Climax & Henderson (Colorado, USA) and Wo-Sn Mount Pleasant (Canada), roughly speaking, are included in this metallogenetic environment. Nevertheless, at least a porphyritic intrusion and possible porphyry gold mineralization event is described in such complex- multiphase systems (Thomson and Newberry, 2000; Genkin et al., 2002; Wall et al., 2004; Borisenko et al., 2014; Meriaud and Jebrak, 2017).

The potassic core zone of shallow porphyry consists mainly of secondary biotite, whereas potassic feldspar-magnetite is the main assemblage of deep porphyry systems (Fig.1). Still controversial giant orogenic deposits such as Muruntau (Uzbekistan), Olimpiada (Russia), Dolin Creek (Alaska, USA) and Abitibi Belt (Canada) where gold is not clearly intrusion related are characterized by major syn-sedimentary or syn-metamorphic fluids and deposition processes involved in ore formation. Nevertheless, at least a porphyritic intrusion and possible porphyry gold mineralization event is described in such complex- multiphase systems (Thomson and Newberry, 2000; Genkin et al., 2002; Wall et al., 2004; Borisenko et al., 2014; Meriaud and Jebrak, 2017).
The giant El Salvador porphyry copper deposits of Chile, well known as the first dynamic model of Gustafson and Hunt (1975), offers a better understanding of IOCG group metallogenic position. Recent mining works show that the deepest part of this deposit is characterized by a significant Na-rich/ albite metasomatism that gradually replaces the potassic alteration, whilst copper grades slightly decrease. Albite-actinolite-magnetite-titanite-epidote association as an ore host is widespread in the core of the system where the porphyritic texture of the intrusion is replaced by the equigranular texture. Almost the same sodic-calcic association is described at Yerington porphyry copper deposit in Nevada, USA (Carter, 1986; Dilles et al., 1992; Halley et al., 2015), where it overlaps the core potassic alteration at 4-6 km depth.

Such vertical zonation of IOCG-porphyry systems both with core albite metasomatism-copper mineralization and iron oxides-gold grades suggest an IOCG-disseminated style that may represent the root zone of deep seated porphyry systems.

The IOCG fluids are dominant magmatic, but also a secondary-highly saline non magmatic fluid component is recorded in particular environments (Barton and Johnson, 1996; Hitzman, 2000, Filip and Orlandea, 2015). Examples of world class IOCG deposits include Olympic Dam, Ernest Henry (Australia), Salabo-Carajas (Brazil), Raul Contestable (Peru), Candelaria, Manto Verde and Mantos Blancos (Chile), Lyon, Cornwall (Nevada, USA), but also Aitik and the Kiruna magnetite-apatite type deposits of Sweden (Williams et al., 2005; Corriveau, 2007).

**EXPLORATION TOOLS CHALLENGING NEW GOLD DISCOVERIES**

There are specific criteria and indicators for gold exploration, which effectively direct through the investigation paths for different styles of intrusion related ore deposits. The classical tools, i.e., distinctive mineralogical-petrographic outline, geochemical pathfinder, geophysical signature as well as key alteration minerals, Au/Ag ratio, type minerals that contain gold and ore texture/anatomy/color of each type deposit are listed in Table 1. Obviously, modern geochemical-geophysical tools (ASTER vectoring, 3D Inversion) can be complemented without ignoring classic methods, which need to be updated and integrated into the geological information. Furthermore, some features refer to gold as mining product and/or byproduct in intrusion related environments and the depth formation is probably important to discriminate among different type structures.

Epithermal shallow (0-400 m) or deeper (400-750 m) gold is chiefly related to felsic rocks in anomalous Au, Ag, Sb, As, Hg, Te, Se, Tl, Pb, Zn, Cu zones. The geochemical association

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected/presumed structure</th>
<th>Petrographic/mineralogic outline</th>
<th>Geochemical pathfinder</th>
<th>Geophysical signature</th>
<th>Key alteration</th>
<th>Au/Ag ratio</th>
<th>Metallic minerals containing gold</th>
<th>Ore texture &amp; color</th>
<th>Ore anatomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epithermal Shallow 0-400 m</td>
<td>felsic rocks, no Px; Ol, brown Hb</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Cu, As, Sb, Ge, Hg, Te, Sr</td>
<td>magnetic low, gravimetric high</td>
<td>alunite, kaolinite, vuggy silica</td>
<td>1:10-1:150</td>
<td>native gold, pyrite, arsenopyrite</td>
<td>whitish soft-clayed, colloform silica or quartz, massive</td>
<td>disseminated, breccia bodies, stockwork, veins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithermal Deeper 400-750 m</td>
<td>felsic rocks-no Px; Ol, brown Hb</td>
<td>Au, Ag, Te, Se, Pb, Zn, Sb, Ti</td>
<td>IP or R high, magnetic low, radiometric high</td>
<td>sericite-adularia, illite quartz/calcite</td>
<td>1:1-1:20</td>
<td>pyrite, telluride, native gold</td>
<td>soft-grey argillitic or crustiform quartz, banded</td>
<td>veins, stockwork breccia (pipe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlin type 300-1500 m</td>
<td>carbonate-rich rocks, deep seated felsic rocks, Bi, carbon/graphite</td>
<td>Au, As, Sb, Hg, Ti, Fe, Pb, Zn</td>
<td>magnetic/gravimetric moderate-high, IP-R variable</td>
<td>decalcification (silicification)</td>
<td>2:1-5:1</td>
<td>arsenian pyrite, native gold</td>
<td>hard-black, laminated, brecciated, jasperoidal silica</td>
<td>disseminated, breccia, replacement bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesothermal &amp; skarn 750-3000 m</td>
<td>felsic to mafic rocks, clino-Px, limestones or dolomites</td>
<td>Cu, Fe, Zn, Au, Ag, Bi, Cr, Ti, V</td>
<td>magnetic/gravimetric highs</td>
<td>chlorite garnet, wollastonite, carbonate</td>
<td>2:1-1:2</td>
<td>pyrite, wurtzite, maldonite, native gold</td>
<td>hard-light/dark, banded or massive</td>
<td>irregular/lens, replacement bodies, stockwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry -shallow depth 750-2000 m</td>
<td>porphyritic felsic intrusive, phenocrystals-groundmass</td>
<td>Cu, Au, Mo, Te, Ti, Se, Re, Zn</td>
<td>magnetic/gravimetric/radiometric highs</td>
<td>potassic (Bi, Fk), phyllic</td>
<td>1:2-1:7</td>
<td>pyrite, chalcopyrite, bornite</td>
<td>hard-dark, crustiform to comb quartz, compact</td>
<td>stockwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyry -deep seated 2000-4500 m</td>
<td>felsic intrusive, partly non porphyritic</td>
<td>Cu/Mo/W Au, Fe, Bi, Ti, Sn</td>
<td>magnetic high, radiometric/IP variable</td>
<td>phyllic, potassic (Fk, Bi)</td>
<td>1:7-1:25</td>
<td>pyrite, chalcopyrite</td>
<td>hard-light, comb to layered quartz, compact</td>
<td>stockwork, breccia pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOCG type 2000-5000 m</td>
<td>felsic to mafic intrusive, Px, Bi, scapolite, actinolite</td>
<td>Fe, Cu, Au (Co, W) U, Ce, La</td>
<td>magnetic high, tabular-parallel IP alignments</td>
<td>Na (Ca) alteration (albite), potassic (Bi, Fk)</td>
<td>1:10-1:15**</td>
<td>chalcopyrite, magnetite, pyrite, hematite</td>
<td>hard-light/dark, fibrous to comb quartz, massive or breccious</td>
<td>shearing veins, stockwork, manto*, lens-replacements, disseminations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: Px = pyroxene; Ol = olivine; Hb = hornblende; Fk = potassic feldspar; Bi = biotite; IP = induced polarization; R = resistivity, *= sub-horizontal low angle fracture controlled mineralization **= only when both Au and Ag commodities are present in IOCG system.
is constantly superposed on low magnetic and electrometric (IP-R) or radiometric highs as geophysical signature that suggests advanced to intermediate argillic key alteration with/without sericite-adularia, depending on the degree of sulfidation. Au/Ag ratio is distinctive, i.e., between 1:10-1:150 for shallow levels and 1:1-1:20 range for deeper epithermal mineralization, where gold is present as native gold, tellurides or included in pyrite and arsenopyrite. Commonly, ore anomolies consist of veins or dissemination/stockwork to breccia pipe bodies with soft-clayed whitish-light grey colors and colloomorph-crustiform-vuggy or massive/banded textures.

Sometimes called sediment-hosted disseminated gold deposits, but definitely of magmatic origin, the Carlin type gold could be formed at epithermal depths (300-800 m), but also exceeding 1000 m, when a minor metamorphic component is recognized (Cline et al., 2005). Decalcified (silicified) limestones with interlayered organic carbon-rich zones and deep seated felsic biotite bearing intrusive rocks, both with Au, As, Sb, Hg, Ti, Pb, and Zn anomalies and moderate to high magnetic and gravimetric are characteristic of this mineralized environment. Typical Au/Ag ratio ranges between 2:1-5:1 and gold is found entirely as micron-size inclusions in arsenian pyrite or hematite, if oxidized. Disseminated, partly brecciated, irregular bodies are dominant and hard-black, laminated-rhythmic layered or jasperoid ore textures are frequently observed.

Mesothermal and skarn gold occur mostly at 750-3000 m depth and associate with felsic to mafic igneous rocks or contact limestones. Typical geochemical anomalies contain Au, Ag, Cu, Fe, Zn, Bi, Ti, Cr, and V with complementary magnetic/gravimetric geophysical highs. Garnet, chlorite, wollastonite and carbonate alteration is important to locate ore bodies wherein Au/Ag ratio varies between 2:1-1:2. Gold is included commonly in minerals such as pyrite, bornite, wurtzite/marmatite and maldonite. The ores are hard-dark/light colored, banded or massive and consist of irregular to lens-shaped replacement bodies or stockwork-like structures.

Gold in porphyry environment should be seen today as bearing a double typology, depending on depth formation, i.e., shallow depth porphyry (750-2000 m) versus deep seated porphyry (2000-4500 m). Both mineralized systems are related to felsic (subvolcanic to abyssal) intrusive rocks, but the geochemical association and the major metals are different. Cu-Au deposits in a minor aureole of Mo, Te, Ti, Se, Re, Zn are related to the shallow porphyry, in contrast to Cu-Mo-Au, Mo-W, Au, Sn deposits with minor Fe, Bi, Ti that are characteristic of deep seated porphyry. Mixture of these elements suggests a transitional depth facies or very particular thermobaric conditions in the evolution of mineralization-alteration process. Magnetic and radiometric/gravimetric and variable IP geophysical highs designate the core of the system, but the key potassic-phylic alterations contain more secondary biotite at shallow depth and more potassic feldspar in deep seated environments. Chalcocpyrite and pyrite may include gold in both systems, but bornite contains gold especially in shallow depth porphyry. Stockwork-like shapes are common for all the porphyry systems, whilst breccia pipe structures appear chiefly in deep seated porphyry deposits.

Iron Oxides-Copper-Gold (IOCG) deposits are probably the deepest metallogenetic formation (2000-5000 m) related to felsic intrusions, where biotite, pyroxene and scapolite are constantly recorded. The geochemical pathfinder is composed by major Fe, Cu, Au and minor Co, W, U, Ce, P, La anomalous elements, often correlated with geophysical magnetic highs and parallel IP-chargeability alignments. The key alteration mineral to copper deposition is albite, whereas potassic associations are related to Fe and Au deposition stages. Gold is included in minerals such as chalcocpyrite, pyrite and hematite, at least three different gold stages being separated in IOCG environments in Atacama, Chile (Filip and Orlandea, 2016). The IOCG ore bodies consist of shearing veins, stockwork to disseminated ore, lens shaped to irregular contact bodies, manto type (low angle fault controlled) to stratabound mineralization with fibrous, massive or brecciated ore textures.

However, the prevalence of macroscopically invisible gold usually make hard to decide where, what and how to sample/estimate gold in different intrusion related environments. A new approach on minerals hosting gold with estimated gold grades is exposed in the Table 2, based on field observations, mineralogical studies and grades control in gold exploration-mining activities developed or conducted by the authors.

Magnetite-muchetovite in a potassic proximal aureole contains low grade gold (up to 0.3 g/t) in deeper mesothermal to IOCG mineralized systems. Later specularite (even if epithermal) in carbonate alteration at deep seated IOCG deposits hosts economical gold grading between 1-5 g/t.

Pyrite includes gold all over intrusion related environments, but pentagonal-triangular shaped crystals are a more favorable host for gold deposition. Additionally, a sercite-illite proximal wall rocks alteration of deep shearing fractures may increase gold grades in pyrite up to 3 g/t. Shallow depth marcasite may contains subeconomical gold (up to 0.6 g/t), but inevitably in highly oxidized twined crystals with denticulate-blade aspects.

Quartz is an important mineral that carry gold/0.5-1.5 g/t, mostly in grey-violet or dark-comb textures which appear in epithermal to shallow depth porphyry systems, in contrast to gold bearing colloform to jasperoid brown-reddish quartz in deeper mesothermal to IOCG environments.

Up to 0.8 g/t gold may be found in intermediate argillic zones of epithermal-porphyry deposits wrapping a soft adularia-hydromicas assemblage. Low gold grades (up to 0.2 g/t) it have been found in pinkish calcite with anomalous manganese content.

Copper minerals (chalcopyrite, bornite) represent a valuable host of gold in many intrusion related environments (gold range 0.4 to 4 g/t). Gold bearing copper mineralization shows major oxidation with reddish shades in association with potassic or sodic feldspar and milky quartz.

Gold behavior in deeper epithermal-mesothermal sphalerite is less known to date, but it seems that only Fe-rich blackish marmatitic sphalerite and wurtzite contain low gold up to 0.4 g/t. Worth to be mentioned is that similar gold grades in shallow epithermal environments are correlated with fibrous sulfides and sulfoarsenates, e.g., stibnite and jamesonite.

By far, the highest gold grades are found in megascopic (even sub-millimetric) native gold (>15 g/t Au) and gold telluride (>3 g/t Au) samples. Always the native gold grains are accompanied by dark bands of rich-iron oxides, whereas the gold tellurides are associated with proximal pink-yellowish potassic and/or manganese oxides/sulfides alterations.
Table 2. Exploration tools to find and estimate gold grades in Hydrothermal-Porphyry-IOCG style ore.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals hosting gold</th>
<th>Epithermal &amp; porphyry Mineral aspects</th>
<th>Mesothermal &amp; iocg Mineral aspects</th>
<th>Estimated Sample Gold grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Magnetite-mushketovite with curved plates shapes, fine biotitization and/or potassic feldspar proximal alteration</td>
<td>0.05-0.3 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Silver-grey specular hematite often, carbonate rich (rhombohedral calcite) environment</td>
<td>1.0-5.0 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>Pentagonal shapes mainly, no striae on the gold bearing pyrite faces, green sericite-intermediate argillic wall rock alteration, shearing fractures</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1-3.0 g/t*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcasite</td>
<td>Always strongly oxidized twinned gold bearing marcasite crystals, vuggy texture</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1-0.6 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>Only grey-violet, grey dark, semi translucent to opaque, comb quartz</td>
<td>Colloform to jasperoidal translucent to brownish or reddish quartz</td>
<td>0.5-3.5 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay minerals</td>
<td>Sericite-illite †/- adularia, quartz soft rocks, grey †/-whitish, falty aspect</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.2-0.8 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Pinkish appearance †/-shades in gold bearing calcite due to Mn oxides/ carbonates</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1-0.2 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base metal sulfides</td>
<td>Chalcopyrite/bornite</td>
<td>Always strongly oxidized, reddish shades on gold bearing chalcopyrite-bornite, potassic feldspar or albite bearing proximal alteration, milky quartz</td>
<td>0.2-4.0 g/t**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphalerite</td>
<td>Only in rich Fe blackish marmatitic sphalerite or hexagonal (wartzite)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1-0.4 g/t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sulfide/sulfosalts</td>
<td>Commonly in/with fibrous minerals, e.g. jamesonite, stibnite</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As visible native gold</td>
<td>Always with/in blackish to reddish-brown bands of rich Fe oxides and sulfides</td>
<td>&gt;15.0 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telluride</td>
<td>Silica-saturated rocks, potassic feldspar, pinky-yellowish proximal selvage/ alteration</td>
<td>Whitish shiny metallic luster †/-Mn-sulfides or oxides (black, green, pink)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;3.0 g/t</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* <1 g/t Au in epithermal to porphyry and >1 g/t Au in mesothermal to IOCG mineralization; ** <0.5 g/t in epithermal to porphyry and variable (up to 4 g/t) in mesothermal to IOCG mineralization.

Last, but not least, having favorable gold grades it not enough and a satisfactory recovery of gold is necessary as well. Dominant coarse free gold (native) is easily recovered using gravitational and amalgamation methods. When the most important part of gold is included in sulfides-sulfosalts, the flotation process is the most suitable. For instance a great recovery of gold is recorded in copper concentrates from porphyry Cu-Au (Mo) deposits due to the strong-positive geochemical correlation between gold and copper. When the most important part of the gold is free, but micronic, or encapsulated in gangue minerals, cyanide leaching is applied to the ore. However if gold is refractory, i.e., associated with As, Sb, Te, and organic carbon/graphite (e.g., some Carlin or high sulfidation type deposits) a pretreatment of roasting/fine grinding and oxidation/bio-oxidation/pressure oxidation/ Albion oxidation increase the gold recovery. Final metallurgical methods involve mainly gold electrowinning-(electro)refining and the recovery may reach up to 95% Au or even more, when most suitable processes have been used.

DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this paper is to design an exclusive metallogenic model of intrusion related deposits wherein gold could be found in dual position, that is from a major player to a mere byproduct. At the same time this genuine conceptual outcome tries to avoid as much as possible controversial or confusing themes such as early IRGS typology, intermediate sulfidation meaning, orogenic gold and dominant sedimentary source of IOCG metals. The result is a more simplified but accomplished model, wherein the root zone is covered by IOCG, somehow bellow and beyond the deep seated porphyry environment. Accordingly, the vertical metal zonation initiates with paleosurface epizonal Au, Ag- Cu, Pb, Zn, continues with mesozonal Cu, Au-Cu, Mo, and completes at hypozonal levels with Fe, Cu, Au-Co, W, U, Ce, La. Igneous lithogenic I type granitic as well as mantle derived M type granitoid magmas are involved as a source of gold bearing fluids, especially in the case of deep seated mineralized systems.

Alunite-kaolinite-vuggy silica advanced argillic alteration is characteristic of epithermal-high sulfidation processes, in contrast to adularia-sericite-carbonate assemblage, typical for epithermal low sulfidation environment.

Biotite is significant as concerns Carlin type gold hosted in silicified, carbon-rich limestones as well as potassic alteration in shallow porphyry systems. Carlin mineralization may be deposited at variable, epizonal to mesozonal depths with early arsenian pyrite and late open space-distal orpiment, realgar and stibnite, when system collapses and meteoric fluids are mixed with magmatic-hydrothermal ones.

Chlorite/epidote-garnet-wollastonite associations suggest a deeper mesothermal to skarn mineralized formation. Plenty of mesothermal gold bearing structures involve shearing fractures or tabular shear zones (lodes) and consequently a possible metamorphic fluid component or remobilization of metals from pre-existing sources. Besides this, the meaning of skarn deposits developed during the last decades in terms of polyascendant formation, i.e., ore deposition overlapping
early polystadial-tardimagmatic and/or high temperature postmagmatic skarn.

Porphyry deposits can be simply divided into two groups, using criteria of depth formation and major commodities. The shallow depth porphyry group is Cu-Au or Au epizonal to mesozonal mineralization formed and deposited in open-oxidized and composited systems, whereas the deep seated porphyry group is mesozonal to hypozonal, containing Cu-Mo (Au) or W/Fe/Sn occurring from oxidized to reduced fluids in isobaric-adiabatic closed systems. Note that the second group is spatially and genetically associated with IOCG ore deposits.

Potassic feldspar (orthoclase-microcline) and sodic plagioclase (albite), both with iron oxides, scapolite and actinolite, are characteristic of deep seated porphyry or IOCG gold bearing ore deposits. A interesting expression of IOCG mineralization is the atypical epithermal suite still preserved sometimes in the apex of the pluton, explained by means of a substantial tectonic-major fault control which produce alignments of intense oxidation and introduction of meteoric water into the system.

Gold in intrusion related IOCG environment is associated mainly with latest epithermal event or overprint and is included in pyrite or specular hematite, but at least two additional types of gold, i.e., magnetite and chalcopyrite related, is described in Chilean IOCG deposits (Filip and Orlandea, 2016). The depth of the vertical zoning shows that the IOCG environment may represent the root zone of deep seated porphyry systems. Still controversial remain some of the “orogenic” gold deposits, often associated with non magmatic fluids and activities.

A return to classic, but updated, exploration tools is recommended, providing leverage to understanding the geological setting and its ore potential. Selective tools for gold occurrences in intrusion related environments, separated by depth formation, consist of critical petrographic-mineralogical characteristics, geochemical pathfinder elements, key alteration, Au/Ag ratio, minerals hostng gold, ore color, texture and anatomy/shape. Some metallic or gangue minerals that include gold in shallow or deep seated intrusion related systems are magnetite, hematite, pyrite, marcasite, quartz, clay minerals, calcite, Cu-Pb-Zn sulfides and sulfosalts, native gold and tellurides. Estimation of gold grade for each mineral bearing sample could be a relevant tool, especially in the preliminary phases of regional exploration and more then that, the relationship between gold mineralogy and ore processing/metallurgy is important for selecting the suitable methods which run finally to a very good recovery of gold.
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