4-1-2003

Assessment of Transit Information Materials and Development of Criteria for Prototype Transit Materials

CUTR

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/cutr_nctr

---

Scholar Commons Citation

CUTR, "Assessment of Transit Information Materials and Development of Criteria for Prototype Transit Materials" (2003). *Research Reports*. 214.
https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/cutr_nctr/214

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the National Center for Transit Research (NCTR) Archive (2000-2020) at Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Reports by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ University of South Florida. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usf.edu.

State of Florida Department of Transportation

Public Transit Office
605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0450
(850) 414-4500

Project Manager:
Tara Bartee
Planning Administrator

**National Center for Transit Research
Center for Urban Transportation Research**

University of South Florida
4202 E. Fowler Avenue, CUT 100
Tampa, FL 33620-5375
(813) 974-3120

Project Director:	Dennis Hinebaugh, Transit Program Director
Project Manager:	Chandra C. Foreman, Research Associate
Project Staff:	Lisa Tucker, Research Associate
	Michael West, Research Assistant

Prepared in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation and the United States Department of Transportation. The opinions, findings and conclusions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the U.S. Department of Transportation or the State of Florida Department of Transportation.

TECHNICAL REPORT STANDARD TITLE PAGE

1. Report No. NCTR 473-12	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle Assessment of Transit Information Materials and Development of Criteria for Prototype Transit Materials		5. Report Date April 2003	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
7. Author(s) Chandra Foreman and Lisa Tucker		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address National Center For Transit Research (NCTR) University of South Florida CUT 100 4202 East Fowler Avenue, Tampa, FL 33620		10. Work Unit No.	
		11. Contract or Grant No. DTRS98-G-0032	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Office of Research and Special Programs (RSPA) U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C. 20590 Florida Department of Transportation 605 Suwannee Street, MS 26, Tallahassee, FL 32399		13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes Supported by a Grant from the USDOT Research and Special Programs Administration, and the Florida Department of Transportation			
16. Abstract Through its National Center for Transit Research (NCTR), and under contract with the Florida Department of Transportation, the Center for Urban Transportation Research expanded upon the findings of the NCTR Research Project, <i>Assessment of Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use</i>, wherein the lack of effective and user-friendly bus schedules and route maps in Florida was identified as a significant barrier to transit use among non-users. The report included herein is the foundation for a second phase to the above-referenced report, wherein prototype transit information materials will be developed and field-tested to evaluate the effectiveness of specific design materials. To prepare for the second phase project, bus schedules and route maps were collected from national markets to identify best practices in transit information materials. These materials were classified based on their design elements. A process was also developed to guide the selection of design elements to be included in prototype materials for the second phase project.			
17. Key Words Transit Marketing, Bus Schedules, Route Maps, Transit Literacy, Schedule Design	18. Distribution Statement Available to the public through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, (703) 487-4650, http://www.ntis.gov/, and through the NCTR web site at http://www.nctr.usf.edu/.		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of pages 40	22. Price

Table of Contents

List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Introduction	v
Section One: Design Element Variations	1
Material Format	1
Front/Back Layout	2
Font Size	2
Stop Alignment	2
Legend	3
Use of Color (Aesthetic)	3
Use of Color (Functional).....	3
Time Scheduling.....	4
Day Scheduling	4
Section Two: Transit Information Materials Database.....	7
Section Three: Process for Design Element Selection.....	27
Section Four: Next Steps	33

List of Tables

Table 1	Design Element Variations	5
Table 2	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Info Format.....	8
Table 3	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Front/Back Layout.....	13
Table 4	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Time and Day Scheduling (Florida Systems)	18
Table 5	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Time and Day Scheduling (Non-Florida Systems).....	20
Table 6	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory Format (Systems with Individual Route Maps)	21
Table 7	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory Format (Systems with Ride Guides)	22
Table 8	Transit Schedule/Map Inventory Color Scheme and Stop Alignment.....	23
Table 9	Transit Map Inventory: Map Features (Stand-Alone Maps)	25
Table 10	Transit Map Inventory: Map Features (Ride Guide Maps).....	26
Table 11	Design Element Evaluation – Feasibility.....	28
Table 12	Design Element Evaluation – Cost to Test	28
Table 13	Design Element Evaluation – Noted Difficulty in Original Tests.....	29
Table 14	Design Element Evaluation – Perceived Importance to Trip Planning.....	30
Table 15	Design Element Selection Matrix.....	31

List of Figures

Figure 1	Individual Route Schedule and Map	9
Figure 2	All-In-One Route Guide	10
Figure 3	Map and Schedule on Same Page	11
Figure 4	Map and Schedule on Front and Back of Transit Material	12
Figure 5	A.M. and P.M. Hours Labeled	14
Figure 6	P.M. Service Hours in Bold	15
Figure 7	Weekday and Saturday Service Shown in Same Table	16
Figure 8	Weekday and Saturday Service Show in Separate Tables	17

INTRODUCTION

For those who use transit on a daily basis, interpreting a transit route map or transit schedule may seem simple. However, occasional users or non-users may not find transit trip planning such an easy task. Besides the immediate issue of gaining access to transit information materials, the non-user or casual user may encounter significant difficulties in interpreting the transit route maps and transit schedules. While this is an issue for transit agencies throughout the country, Florida transit agencies are especially concerned about the effectiveness of transit information materials on the non-user's ability to plan a trip, as Florida is a major tourist destination.

Through a National Center for Transit Research project completed in 2001, several factors were identified as barriers to transit use. *Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use*¹, completed in December 2001, specifically identified the lack of effective and user-friendly bus schedules and route maps as a significant barrier to transit use among non-users. Through a field test designed to measure the effectiveness and clarity of printed transit information when presented to potential passengers with little to no previous transit use experience, the researchers found that design elements played an integral role in the trip planning process. Specifically, the quantitative and qualitative analyses conducted in the field test suggest that the use of certain design elements in printed transit materials resulted in higher transit trip planning scores and reduced levels of frustration and anxiety among field test participants (*page 116*). While the information gathered from the field test allowed the researchers to draw some generalizations about the effects of design element on the user-friendliness of transit information materials, definitive conclusions could not be made from the field test results. In particular, the field test was not organized such that individual design elements were isolated so that researchers could determine with some certainty which elements most affected the effectiveness and usefulness of the transit information materials.

---

¹ Hardin, Jennifer, Lisa Tucker, and Linda Callejas. *Assessment of Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use: Transit Information and Scheduling for Major Activity Centers*. National Center for Transit Research at the Center for Urban Transportation Research, FDOT - 2001.

In addition to the above findings, researchers associated with *Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use* were encouraged to follow up the findings of the original report due to the overwhelming amount of feedback received from transit agency marketing representatives and transit consumers who were interested in the findings of the report as they related to transit information materials. Specifically, some who read the report or saw presentations on the findings encouraged the development of prototype or guidance materials for transit agencies to use based on the results of the study.

Encouraged by this support, as well as by support from the Florida Department of Transportation, NCTR plans to embark on a secondary project that will identify and test specific design elements to determine their significance in effective, usable transit information materials. Particularly, NCTR is interested in those design elements that improve the experience for first-time transit users. As a result, an intermediary project has been conducted to identify successful and user-friendly transit bus schedules and route maps and to classify each in terms of design elements. Another goal of this intermediate project was to develop and carry out a process for determining a sample of design elements to be included in the production and testing of transit information materials for the subsequent (secondary) project.

This report presents the results of the intermediate effort and outlines the course of the secondary project. The first section briefly details the various design elements that may be found in transit information materials and also describes the different ways those design elements might be displayed. The second section includes a database of transit bus schedules and route maps further classified by design elements. Section three describes the process by which the design elements that will be tested in the secondary project are selected. The final section of this report outlines the tasks associated with the follow-up project.

SECTION ONE

Design Element Variations

Design elements are thought to play a role in the effectiveness and user-friendliness of transit information materials. Although the degree to which design elements impact a non-user's ability to plan a trip on public transit is not known, evidence from the *Operational Barriers to Transit Use* project suggest that variations on design elements had some effect on the speed, ease, and accuracy at which participants in the field test were able to complete the trip planning process. An immediate issue facing a transit agency wishing to improve their transit schedules and maps is deciding which elements can affect the greatest improvement. Simply put, which design elements and which variations of those design elements make their material more user-friendly?

This section identifies many of the design elements that are featured in transit information materials and further describes how they might be varied. For most transit agencies, the selection of design element variations is not just a reflection of what works best for the transit consumer. Instead, the selection of design element variations is also dependent on other factors, such as number of routes, geographical layout of transit area boundaries, and financial resources. This identification of design element variations briefly addresses many of these factors.

Material Format

Generally, transit route schedules and maps are presented in system-wide guides, individual route pamphlets or brochures, or a combination of both. The sizes vary, as many are standard 8 ½ x 11 sized sheets and others are significantly larger and are folded to a more manageable size. System-wide guides (or ride guides) tend to be in booklet form with several pages and generally include most, if not all, of the information that a passenger would need to ride the bus.

Front/Back Layout

Another formatting characteristic of transit information materials is front/back layout. For individual route information, the schedule and route map are either presented on the same side of the page or on opposite sides of the page. Similarly, in ride guides it is typical for the route schedules and maps to either be on the same page or on adjacent pages in open booklet form.

Font Size

The font size used on transit information materials is usually a factor of how much information is being relayed and the amount of page space on which the information will be placed. Factors that should be considered when selecting font size are readability and audience. First, a font point that is too small may be difficult for a person with even average eyesight to read. This could lead to frustration on the part of the user and make the trip planning process more difficult. Another factor to consider is the audience. If a transit agency knows that its passenger base includes many elderly patrons or if they are attempting to attract more elderly patrons, it might consider using a font size that is more appropriate for elderly patrons, particularly those who might suffer from visual impairments such as cataracts.

Stop Alignment

Another format variation that can be seen among transit schedules is the stop alignment. A majority of transit schedules present time points or bus stops in horizontal form, or from left to right. However, there are some schedules that present time points in vertical form, or from top to bottom. Two factors for choosing to present the stops in vertical form over horizontal are size of the page on which the schedule is presented or number of stops. It can be extremely difficult to horizontally present a schedule that has a large number of stops on a standard size page (e.g. 8 ½ by 11).

Legend

Legends are legitimate tools for map interpretation. However, many route and system maps do not include legends. Whether or not an agency utilizes a legend generally depends on what is being illustrated on the map. Many of the maps are very simplistic and the assumption is that a legend is not needed. If a route or system map identifies features such as transfer points, sheltered bus stops, points of interests, and road features, a legend may be quite useful. One of the most important inclusions in the legend of a system map is the route key. The route key provides a description, whether by color, name, or number, of the routes identified on the map.

Use of Color (Aesthetic)

There are typically three options related to the use of color for aesthetic effect. Transit materials are usually bi-color, multi-color, or black and white. The two-color materials are usually black print on colored paper or colored print on white paper. The multi-color materials are generally a combination of colors – perhaps associating the schedule coloring with the colors of the buses, signs, and other system enhancements. Finally, some agencies utilize materials in black and white.

Use of Color (Functional)

The use of color as described above is different than using colors to represent routes. Use of color to represent routes is for functional effectiveness. Often transit agencies identify routes by color. A majority of the transit agencies in the database identify routes by colors. The biggest challenge in differentiating between routes by color on a map is selecting colors that are easily discernable and ensuring that the routes are not hidden under other routes traveling a similar path. There has also been considerable discussion about the ability of persons with visual impairments, including color blindness, to interpret maps when routes are differentiated by colors. There does not appear to be a consensus on the best way to identify routes on a system-wide map.

Time Scheduling

Several participants in the original field test expressed confusion with the schedule timetable. Many cited that they were unsure what the times represented. Some asked if the times noted on the schedule were departure or arrival times. It is important for transit agencies to consider that understanding time points may not be inherent to first time transit users. Most transit information materials included in the database do not identify the time points on the schedule as departure or arrival times. Some of the transit agencies defined the time point in verbiage on the system map or within the ride guide.

Transit agencies also employ a variety of methods in differentiating between a.m. and p.m. service hours on schedules. Variations include labeling times as a.m. or p.m. and using bold type to identify p.m. service hours.

Day Scheduling

Many transit agencies that provide service on the weekends as well as weekdays offer a variation to weekday service on Saturday and/or Sunday. In these cases, it is important for transit agencies to relay the variations in the schedule to the transit customer. Generally, transit agencies have several options in achieving this. First, a transit agency may elect to denote weekend changes on the weekday schedule through use of symbols and footnotes. Another option is to have separate schedules for Saturday and Sunday. Identifying changes in the schedules with notations may be appropriate for minor changes such service hour modifications. However, when the weekend schedule is modified such that it loses resemblance to the weekday schedule, many transit agencies find it better to present the weekend schedule in another table.

In addition to summarizing the information above, Table 1 identifies other variations in design element that might impact a non-user's ability to plan and complete a transit trip. The table also offers testable variations and summarizes how the original Operational Barriers to Transit Use project showed how the design elements affected the trip planning ability of the participants in the field test (if known).

Table 1 – Design Element Variations

Design Element Variations	How Can This Element Be Tested?	How Did the Original <i>Barriers</i> Project Show That This Element Might Affect the Trip Planning Ability of Transit Non-Users?
Material Format (Schedules and Maps)	Variation 1: individual route schedules/maps and a system map Variation 2: ride guide with a system map	Participants reported higher task difficulty for all-in-one ride guides than for individual route schedules and a system-wide map. However, there was significant variation in scores between pilot and field participants for both simple and complex trips when comparing the ride guide and individual route information elements.
Material Format (Maps)	(Schedule information the same for each variation) Variation 1: individual route map Variation 2: system-wide map	Participants reported difficulties with both individual route maps and system-wide maps.
Front/Back Layout	Variation 1: schedule and map on same side of page Variation 2: schedule and map on opposite sides of page	Comments were made with regards to having to find the map associated with a schedule or flipping back and forth. Many cited preference for having both on same sheet.
Font Size	Variation 1: small font (use point size similar to one of smallest in database) Variation 2: larger font (use point size similar to one of largest in database) This might also affect the size of sheet (i.e. letter v. legal size materials)	While, some participants acknowledged that smaller fonts posed some problem, it was not determined from the results whether it impacted the accuracy of their trip planning or just the time at which it took to finish the test.
Stop Alignment	Variation 1: stop alignment presented horizontally (left to right) Variation 2: stop alignment presented vertically (top to bottom)	This element was not necessarily evaluated in the original project; however, both variations are used by transit agencies and there might be interest in whether one is better than the other.
Day Scheduling	Variation 1: weekday and weekend service shown in one table (uses notations to identify differences in service) Variation 2: weekday and weekend service shown in separate tables	
Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m. differentiation)	Variation 1: differentiates between a.m. and p.m. hours by labeling them as such Variation 2: differentiates between a.m. and p.m. hours through use of bold type Variation 3: does not differentiate between a.m. and p.m. hours	

Design Element Variations	How Can This Element Be Tested?	How Did the Original <i>Barriers</i> Project Show That This Element Might Affect the Trip Planning Ability of Transit Non-Users?
Time Scheduling (Departure Time)	Variation 1: Time points labeled as "departure" Variation 2: Time points not labeled as departure or arrival	Some of the participants said they did not know if times were arrival or departure. This could be a common issue for those who are unfamiliar with using transit.
Use of Color (Aesthetic)	Variation 1: bi-color material Variation 2: multi-color material Variation 3: black and white material	
Map Details	Variation 1: only time points and related intersections are labeled on map Variation 2: Time points, related intersections, and other streets are labeled on map Variation 3: Time points, related intersections, other streets, points of interest, and transfer points are labeled	Several participants described various aspects of map detail, such as legends and points of interest as helpful to the trip planning process.
Time Points Identified	Variation 1: route displayed on map with no time points identified Variation 2: route displayed on map with each time point on the map.	Several respondents expressed difficulty in identifying bus stops or time points on the maps.
Use of Color (Functional)	Variation 1: identify routes by a different colors on the system-wide map Variation 2: identify routes by a means other than varied colors (i.e. number)	
Legend	Variation 1: with legend Variation 2: without legend	Some participants cited legends with clear, concise information as helpful.
Transfer Points Identified	Variation 1: transfer points are identified on the system map Variation 2: transfer points are not identified on the system map	Some participants commented on the difficulty associated with identifying actual transfer points on the maps
Directional Symbol	Variation 1: north arrow facing up Variation 2: north arrow facing position other than up Variation 3: no directional symbol on map	The impact of this particular design element may not be adequately reflected through a field test. Ideally, the participants will be unfamiliar with the testing area and are transit non-users so knowing directional details may not be as useful as to someone who knows the area.

SECTION TWO

Transit Information Materials Database

The original project involved collecting transit bus schedules and route and system maps from transit agencies throughout Florida and other states. That effort resulted in the collection of schedules and maps from 23 systems in Florida and over 15 systems in other states. The intermediate project required that additional materials be collected from other agencies to ensure that an array of design elements were catalogued and compared. This allowed the researchers to adequately assess the relevance of design elements. Table 2 identifies all of the transit agencies for which transit schedules or maps were collected. In all, 17 individual route schedules and 16 system or multi-route ride guides were entered into the database. These transit information materials represented 34 transit agencies. Tables 3 through 10 identify the various design elements for the transit information materials listed in the database.

Along with identifying the transit agencies from which transit information was collected, Table 2 also categorizes the transit information by format. Format options are individual route schedules and maps with a system-wide map (14 documents fit in this category); individual route schedules and maps with no system map (three documents fit in this category); all or most routes depicted in a ride guide that includes a system-wide map (15 documents fit in this category); and all or most routes depicted in a ride guide that does not include a system-wide map (none of the documents in the database fit in this category). In addition to the agencies using these formats, five agencies utilize both the “ride guide” and individual route schedules and maps. Also, one agency divides its routes into geographical areas and has several “multi-route” guides. These guides contain schedules and maps for several routes, rather than one system-wide ride guide or individual route guides.

The format of choice appears to be fairly evenly split between individual route guides and system-wide ride guides, which suggests that there is no clear consensus on whether one method is better than another. Figure 1 illustrates how individual route schedules and maps are presented by a transit system. Figure 2 shows an all-in-one ride guide.

Table 2 -- Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Information Format

Transit System	Location	Type	System/Route Information Format				
			Individual Route Schedules/ Maps w/ System Map	Individual Route Schedules/ Maps - no System Map	All Routes in Ride Guide-- includes System Map	All Routes in Ride Guide -- no System Map	Indiv. Rte. Schedules/ Maps and Ride Guide with System Map
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Complete System Map-8/02			X		
AT	Vermont	Ride Guide-10/02			X		
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/00			X		
BCT	Broward	Individual Route Sch/Map-8/00	X				
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02			X		
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02			X		
CYRide	Des Moines	Complete System Map-8/02			X		
DART	Dallas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X				
DTA	Daluth, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-5/02		X			
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01			X		
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02			X		
HARTline	Hillsborough	Individual Route Sch/Map-5/01	X				
IndyGo	Indianapolis	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-11/88	X				
JTA	Jacksonville	Individual Route Sch/Map-7/99	X				
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule			X		
LAMT	Lakeland	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00		X			
LeeTran	Lee County	Individual Rte Sch/Map-9/00	X				
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01					X
LYNX	Orlando area	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/99					X
MBTA	Boston	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-8/02	X				
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01			X		
MDT	Miami-Dade	Individual Rte Sch/Map-4/01	X				
MVTA	Burnsville, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Map-6/02	X	X			
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide -- FWB Wave only	X				
Palm Tran	Palm Beach	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00					X
PalmTran	Palm Beach	System Map-10/00					X
PCPT	Pasco	Individual Rte Sch/Map-1/00	X				
PSTA	Pinellas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/00	X				
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide-1/01			X		
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000			X		
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00			X		
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99			X		
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00			X		
TARC	Louisville, KY	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X				
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00			Some		
WHAT	Winter Haven	Individual Rte Sch/Map-2/00	X				

* VOTRAN divides its service area into geographical sections and utilizes multi-route guides for each of those geographical sections.

Figure 1
Individual Route Schedule and Map

Figure 2
All-In-One Route Guide

In Table 3, the presentation of the information is further compared. Specifically, this table identifies whether the route schedule and route map are visible without switching or turning pages. For instance, on individual route transit information, the schedule and map may be on opposite sides or may be positioned adjacent to one another on the same side of the page. In route guides that have individual maps in addition to individual schedules, they are generally positioned on the same page or the adjacent page (if in book form). However, in several instances, there was one map for all of the individual routes and that map was on a different page (if in book form) or on the opposite side of the route guide (if in fold-out pamphlet form). Examples of these formats are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Figure 3
Map and Schedule on Same Page

Figures 4a and 4b
Map and Schedule on Front and Back of Transit Material

FARES
Drivers do not carry change. Please have exact fare, a pass or a ticket.

	Fare
Fixed Route Fare	\$1.00
Late Night Service	\$2.00
Half Fare	\$ .50
*Youth Fare	\$ .50
Transfers	FREE

Transfers may only be issued when fare is paid.
Only one transfer will be issued per fare paid. A transfer is good only on the date issued, within one hour, or not later than the first connecting bus.
Children under 6 years old (when accompanied by a fare-paying passenger); limit two per passenger) ride for **FREE**.
* Youth Fare is for persons under the age of 19 and requires an IndyGo Youth Identification Card, which can be obtained at the IndyGo Transit Store.

IndyGo BUS PASSES (Prices Effective February 1, 2001)

	Fare	Half Fare/Youth
31-Day Pass	\$40.00	\$20.00
10-Trip Pass	\$10.00	
7-Day Pass	\$12.00	\$ 6.00
7-Day FLEX Pass	\$18.00	
Day Pass	\$ 3.00	

SENIORS, PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, MEDICARE CARDHOLDERS, AND YOUTH UNDER AGE 19
Senior Citizens age 65 or older, persons with disabilities, Medicare Cardholders, and youth under age 19 may ride for half fare at all times. Any person presenting a valid IndyGo Identification Card or a Medicare Cardholder is eligible for half fare. Please contact the IndyGo Transit Store for more information.

THE IndyGo TRANSIT STORE
For IndyGo Half Fare Identification Cards, Youth Fare Identification Cards, schedules, IndyGo Bus Passes, and lost and found, please visit the IndyGo Transit Store, 209 N. Delaware St. or call 635-3344. With our new Language Line, we can help you in over 140 languages. For TDD/Hearing Impaired call 637-0783.

Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Closed Sunday

ROUTE SCHEDULE INFORMATION
For help in planning your trip, please call the IndyGo Transit Store at 635-3344.
Monday-Friday 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Saturday 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Closed Sunday (Recorded information for your convenience)

ROUTES AND FARES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE

11
East 16th Street
Effective May 12, 2002

Community Hospital East
Crossroads
Brookside Park
Secena High School

For information, call 317-635-3344
www.indygo.net

FARES
Drivers do not carry change. Please have exact fare, a pass or a ticket.

	Fare
Fixed Route Fare	\$1.00
Late Night Service	\$2.00
Half Fare	\$ .50
*Youth Fare	\$ .50
Transfers	FREE

Transfers may only be issued when fare is paid.
Only one transfer will be issued per fare paid. A transfer is good only on the date issued, within one hour, or not later than the first connecting bus.
Children under 6 years old (when accompanied by a fare-paying passenger); limit two per passenger) ride for **FREE**.
* Youth Fare is for persons under the age of 19 and requires an IndyGo Youth Identification Card, which can be obtained at the IndyGo Transit Store.

IndyGo BUS PASSES (Prices Effective February 1, 2001)

	Fare	Half Fare/Youth
31-Day Pass	\$40.00	\$20.00
10-Trip Pass	\$10.00	
7-Day Pass	\$12.00	\$ 6.00
7-Day FLEX Pass	\$18.00	
Day Pass	\$ 3.00	

SENIORS, PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, MEDICARE CARDHOLDERS, AND YOUTH UNDER AGE 19
Senior Citizens age 65 or older, persons with disabilities, Medicare Cardholders, and youth under age 19 may ride for half fare at all times. Any person presenting a valid IndyGo Identification Card or a Medicare Cardholder is eligible for half fare. Please contact the IndyGo Transit Store for more information.

THE IndyGo TRANSIT STORE
For IndyGo Half Fare Identification Cards, Youth Fare Identification Cards, schedules, IndyGo Bus Passes, and lost and found, please visit the IndyGo Transit Store, 209 N. Delaware St. or call 635-3344. With our new Language Line, we can help you in over 140 languages. For TDD/Hearing Impaired call 637-0783.

Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Closed Sunday

ROUTE SCHEDULE INFORMATION
For help in planning your trip, please call the IndyGo Transit Store at 635-3344.
Monday-Friday 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.
Saturday 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
Closed Sunday (Recorded information for your convenience)

ROUTES AND FARES ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE

11
East 16th Street
Effective May 12, 2002

Community Hospital East
Crossroads
Brookside Park
Secena High School

For information, call 317-635-3344
www.indygo.net

**Table 3-- Transit Schedule/Map Inventory:
Information Format (Front/Back Layout)**

Transit System	Location	Type	Back/Front Layout			
			Indiv: Schedule and Map on same side of page	Indiv: Schedule and Map on opposite side of page	Ride Guide: Schedule and Map on adjacent page or same page	Ride Guide: Schedule and Map on different or opposite page
BCT	Broward	Individual Rte Sch/Map-8/00		X		
DART	Dallas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X			
DTA	Daluth, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-5/02		X		
HARTline	Hillsborough	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/01		X		
IndyGo	Indianapolis	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-11/88		X		
JTA	Jacksonville	Individual Route Sch/Map-7/99		X		
LAMT	Lakeland	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00	X			
LeeTran	Lee County	Individual Rte Sch/Map-9/00	X			
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01	X			
MBTA	Boston	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-8/02		X		
MDT	Miami-Dade	Individual Rte Sch/Map-4/01	X			
MVTA	Burnsville, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Map-6/02	X			
Palm Tran	Palm Beach	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00	X			
PCPT	Pasco	Individual Rte Sch/Map-1/00	X			
PSTA	Pinellas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/00	X			
TARC	Louisville, KY	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X			
WHAT	Winter Haven	Individual Rte Sch/Map-2/00	X			
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Complete System Map-8/02				X
AT	Vermont	Ride Guide-10/02			X	
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/00			X	
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02			X	
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02			X	
CYRide	Des Moines	Complete System Map-8/02				X
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01			X	
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02				X
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule			X	
LYNX	Orlando area	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/99			X	
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01			X	
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide-FWB Wave only				X
PalmTran	Palm Beach	Riders Guide-10/00			X	
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide-1/01			X	
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000				X
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00			X	
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99				X
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00			X	
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00				X

Table 4 compares the time and day scheduling format presented in the transit information materials for Florida systems. Obviously, the manner in which day scheduling is presented is partly a factor of whether or not weekend and holiday service is provided by the agency. When comparing the written schedules of the transit agencies, the main difference in the way that time scheduling was presented was related to differentiating between a.m. and p.m. service hours. According to Table 4, none of the materials collected presented a.m. and p.m. service hours in separate tables. Ten of the schedules and ride guides labeled a.m. and p.m. service hours as such (see Figure 5) and five of them bolded p.m. service hours to differentiate from a.m. service hours (see Figure 6). Seven of the materials collected for this study did not differentiate between a.m. and p.m. service hours on the schedule.

Figure 5
A.M. and P.M. Hours Labeled

420

EAST: TO ROSEMOUNT

	Apple Valley Transit Station	Galaxie Library	147th St & Diamond Path	Rosemount Plaza
	AM	AM	AM	AM
420	601	*	616	631
420	631	*	646	701
420	731	741	751	801
420	855	905	915	925
	PM	PM	PM	PM
420	255	305	315	325
420	400x	410	420	430
420	500x	*	515	530
420	530x	*	545	600
420	600x	*	615	630
420	630x	*	645	700

*Bus stops at this location by reservation only on these trips.
x Connect from Route 477 from Downtown Minneapolis

420

WEST: TO APPLE VALLEY

	Rosemount Plaza	147th St & Diamond Path	Galaxie Library	Apple Valley Transit Station
	AM	AM	AM	AM
420	531	546	*	601x
420	601	616	*	631x
420	631	646	*	701x
420	701	716	*	731x
420	825	835	845	855
	PM	PM	PM	PM
420	225	235	245	255
420	325	335	345	355
420	430	440	450	500
420	530	540	550	600
420	600	610	620	630

*Bus stops at this location by reservation only on these trips.
x Connect to Route 477 to Downtown Minneapolis

Figure 6
P.M. Service Hours in Bold

Table 4 also demonstrates how transit agencies depict day scheduling in their transit information materials. It is important to note that for each transit agency, the day scheduling for individual routes may vary. For instance, one route may operate on weekdays only, while another operates on weekdays and Saturday. In such cases, Table 4 depicts the scheduling format used for the route that provides service on weekdays and Saturday. The purpose of the information in this table is to show how varied schedules are depicted in transit information materials. According to Table 4, only two of the transit information materials in the database have weekday only transit schedules. Consequently, these materials come from agencies that have weekday only service. Thirteen of the transit information materials show weekdays and Saturday service in the same table. An example of this format is shown in Figure 7. Two show weekday and Saturday service in separate tables (see Figure 8) and six show weekday,

Saturday, and Sunday service in three separate tables. The transit information material for one agency did not identify on the schedule which days transit service is provided.

Figure 7
Weekday and Saturday Service Shown in Same Table
(Weekday-Only Service Shaded)

40 PORT ORANGE

From New Smyrna
To Dunlawton Square
NORTHBOUND

U.S. 1 CANAL	U.S. 1 INDUSTRIAL PARK	U.S. 1 NOVA	DUNLAWTON SQUARE	DUNLAWTON SQUARE	WAL-MART	U.S. 1 DUNLAWTON	NOVA U.S. 1	U.S. 1 INDUSTRIAL PARK	U.S. 1 CANAL ST.
AM *					AM *				
Bus departs Big Tree at 6:23 A.M. and continues south on U.S. 1 to New Smyrna Beach.									
6:45	6:50	6:56	7:04	7:13	7:20	7:27	7:33	7:40	7:45
7:45	7:50	7:56	8:04	8:13	8:20	8:27	8:33	8:40	8:45
8:45	8:50	8:56	9:04	9:13	9:20	9:27	9:33	9:40	9:45
9:45	9:50	9:56	10:04	10:13	10:20	10:27	10:33	10:40	10:45
10:45	10:50	10:56	11:04	11:13	11:20	11:27	11:33	11:40	11:45
PM					PM				
11:45	11:50	11:56	12:04	12:13	12:20	12:27	12:33	12:40	12:45
12:45	12:50	12:56	1:04	1:13	1:20	1:27	1:33	1:40	1:45
1:45	1:50	1:56	2:04	2:13	2:20	2:27	2:33	2:40	2:45
2:45	2:50	2:56	3:04	3:13	3:20	3:27	3:33	3:40	3:45
3:45	3:50	3:56	4:04	4:13	4:20	4:27	4:33	4:40	4:45
4:45	4:50	4:56	5:04	5:13	5:20	5:27	5:33	5:40	5:45
5:45	5:49	5:50	6:03	(E)	6:41	6:45	6:51	6:55	(E)

Transfer Policy: Passengers going from New Smyrna to Port Orange must pay an additional fare to board buses in the Port Orange area. Passengers getting on or off north of Rose Bay can use transfers in either direction. Southbound: Passengers going south of Rose Bay to New Smyrna must pay a fare to board the connector. Transfers will be issued for Routes 40 & 43.

43-A NEW SMYRNA BEACH MAINLAND

From Downtown
To Wal-Mart
WESTBOUND

LIVE OAK CANAL ST.	TURNBULL BAY RD. / U.S. 1	WAYNE U.S. 1	WNN DOKE STATE ROAD 44	WAL-MART STATE ROAD 44	K-MART STATE ROAD 44	GREENBRIAR APARTMENTS	10TH STREET U.S. 1	FISH MEM HOSPITAL	LIVE OAK CANAL ST.
AM *					AM *				
6:45	6:49	6:56	7:04	7:12	7:17	7:22	7:32	7:37	7:40
8:45	8:49	8:56	9:04	9:12	9:17	9:22	9:32	9:37	9:40
10:45	10:49	10:56	11:04	11:12	11:17	11:22	11:32	11:37	11:40
PM					PM				
12:45	12:49	12:56	1:04	1:12	1:17	1:22	1:32	1:37	1:40
2:45	2:49	2:56	3:04	3:12	3:17	3:22	3:32	3:37	3:40
4:45	4:49	4:56	5:04	5:12	5:17	5:22	5:32	5:37	5:40

NOTE: SERVES GREENBRIAR APARTMENTS WESTBOUND ON REQUEST ONLY.

41 EDGEWATER

From New Smyrna
To Edgewater
SOUTHBOUND

U.S. 1 CANAL	D.B.C.C.	U.S. 1 PARK AVE	FLORIDA SHORES PL	FLORIDA SHORES PL	ROBERTS	U.S. 1 HALIFAX	U.S. 1 ROBERTS	30TH ST WILLOW OAK	INDIAN RIVER U.S. 1	PARK AVE	D.B.C.C.	U.S. 1 CANAL
AM *							AM *					
Bus departs Big Tree at 6:23 A.M. via U.S. 1 to New Smyrna Beach. Passengers may ride.												
6:45	---	6:52	6:57	7:00	7:06	---	7:06	7:11	7:18	7:21	7:30	7:45
7:45	7:54	8:01	8:06	8:06	8:10	---	8:10	8:14	8:22	8:26	8:32	8:45
8:45	8:54	9:01	9:06	9:16	9:20	---	9:20	9:24	9:32	9:36	---	9:45
*9:45	---	9:52	9:57	9:57	10:01	10:08	10:20	10:24	10:32	10:36	---	10:45
10:45	10:54	11:01	11:01	11:16	11:20	---	11:20	11:24	11:32	11:36	---	11:45
11:45	11:54	12:01	12:06	12:16	12:20	---	12:20	12:24	12:32	12:36	---	12:45
PM							PM					
12:45	12:54	1:01	1:06	1:16	1:20	---	1:20	1:24	1:32	1:36	---	1:45
1:45	1:54	2:01	2:06	2:16	2:20	---	2:20	2:24	2:32	2:36	---	2:45
*2:45	---	2:52	2:57	2:57	3:01	3:08	3:20	3:24	3:32	3:36	---	3:45
3:45	3:54	4:01	4:06	4:16	4:20	---	4:20	4:24	4:32	4:36	---	4:45
4:45	4:54	5:01	5:06	5:16	5:20	---	5:20	5:24	5:32	5:36	---	5:45
*5:45	---	5:52	5:57	5:57	6:01	6:08	6:20	6:24	6:32	6:36	---	6:41

NOTE: The 6:45 A.M. TRIP FROM U.S. 1 CANAL WILL RUN 10 MINUTES LATER ON SATURDAYS.
*TRIPS SERVING OAK HILL DO NOT SERVE D.B.C.C.

Shaded trips do not operate
on Saturday or Holidays

43-B NEW SMYRNA BEACH MAINLAND

From Downtown
To Wal-Mart
WESTBOUND

LIVE OAK CANAL ST.	FISH MEM HOSPITAL	10TH STREET U.S. 1	GREENBRIAR APARTMENTS	WNN DOKE STATE ROAD 44	WAL-MART STATE ROAD 44	K-MART STATE ROAD 44	WAYNE U.S. 1	TURNBULL BAY RD. / U.S. 1	LIVE OAK CANAL ST.
AM *					AM *				
Bus departs Big Tree Rd. at 6:23 A.M. via Nova/U.S. 1 to New Smyrna. Passengers may ride.									
7:45	7:48	7:53	8:03	8:08	8:12	8:17	8:26	8:33	8:40
9:45	9:48	9:53	10:03	10:08	10:12	10:17	10:26	10:33	10:40
11:45	11:48	11:53	12:03	12:08	12:12	12:17	12:26	12:33	12:40
PM					PM				
1:45	1:48	1:53	2:03	2:08	2:12	2:17	2:26	2:33	2:40
3:45	3:48	3:53	4:03	4:08	4:12	4:17	4:26	4:33	4:40
5:45	5:48	5:53	6:03	6:08	6:12	6:17	6:26	6:33	**6:38 (E)

**At 6:38 PM, bus returns to Big Tree Road via U.S. 1. Passengers may ride.

NOTE: SERVES GREENBRIAR APARTMENTS EASTBOUND ON REQUEST ONLY.

Weekday and Saturday Service Shown in Separate Tables

WEEKDAYS			Route 16 - Waters Avenue													
EASTBOUND to UNIVERSITY AREA T/C							WESTBOUND to TOWN N' COUNTRY									
A	B	C	D	E	F		F	E	D	C	B	A				
Waters Ave & Mainway Road	Waters Ave & Haines	Yukon Park N Road	Nedbraska & Boogaminna	16th Street & Fowler	University Area Transit Center		University Area Transit Center	16th Street & Fowler	Nedbraska & Boogaminna	Yukon Park N Road	Waters Ave & Haines	Waters Ave & Mainway Road				
LV	AR / LV				AR		LV			AR / LV			AR			
5:50 AM	6:05	6:19	6:24	6:29	6:34	6:42	4:50	4:57	5:01	5:08	5:13	5:28	5:43			
6:50 AM	7:07	7:21	7:24	7:31	7:36	7:44	5:50	5:57	6:01	6:08	6:13	6:28	6:45			
7:50 AM	8:07	8:21	8:24	8:31	8:36	8:44	6:50	6:57	7:02	7:10	7:13	7:30	7:47			
8:50 AM	9:05	9:19	9:24	9:29	9:34	9:41	7:50	7:57	8:02	8:10	8:13	8:30	8:45			
9:50 AM	10:05	10:19	10:24	10:29	10:34	10:41	8:50	8:57	9:01	9:08	9:13	9:27	9:42			
10:50 AM	11:05	11:19	11:24	11:29	11:34	11:41	9:50	9:57	10:01	10:08	10:13	10:27	10:42			
11:50 AM	12:05	12:19	12:24	12:29	12:34	12:41	10:50	10:57	11:01	11:08	11:13	11:27	11:42			
12:50 PM	1:05	1:19	1:24	1:29	1:34	1:41	11:50	11:57	12:01	12:08	12:13	12:27	12:42			
1:50 PM	2:05	2:19	2:24	2:29	2:34	2:41	12:50	12:57	1:01	1:08	1:13	1:27	1:42			
2:50 PM	3:05	3:19	3:24	3:29	3:34	3:42	1:50	1:57	2:01	2:08	2:13	2:27	2:42			
3:50 PM	4:08	4:22	4:24	4:31	4:36	4:44	2:50	2:57	3:01	3:08	3:13	3:27	3:45			
4:50 PM	5:08	5:22	5:24	5:31	5:36	5:44	3:50	3:58	4:03	4:11	4:13	4:30	4:48			
5:50 PM	6:08	6:22	6:24	6:29	6:34	6:42	4:50	4:58	5:03	5:11	5:13	5:30	5:48			
6:50 PM	7:05	7:19	7:24	7:29	7:34	7:41	5:50	5:58	6:03	6:11	6:13	6:27	6:42			
							6:50	6:57	7:01	7:08	7:13	7:27	7:42			
SATURDAY			Route 16 - Waters Avenue													
7:30 AM	7:45	7:59	8:02	8:07	8:12	8:20	7:30	7:37	7:41	7:48	7:51	8:05	8:20			
8:30 AM	8:45	8:59	9:02	9:07	9:12	9:20	8:30	8:37	8:41	8:48	8:51	9:05	9:20			
9:30 AM	9:45	9:59	10:02	10:07	10:12	10:20	9:30	9:37	9:41	9:48	9:51	10:05	10:20			
10:30 AM	10:45	10:59	11:02	11:07	11:12	11:20	10:30	10:37	10:41	10:48	10:51	11:05	11:20			
11:30 AM	11:45	11:59	12:02	12:07	12:12	12:20	11:30	11:37	11:41	11:48	11:51	12:05	12:20			
12:30 PM	12:45	12:59	1:02	1:07	1:12	1:20	12:30	12:37	12:41	12:48	12:51	1:05	1:20			
1:30 PM	1:45	1:59	2:02	2:07	2:12	2:20	1:30	1:37	1:41	1:48	1:51	2:05	2:20			
2:30 PM	2:45	2:59	3:02	3:07	3:12	3:20	2:30	2:37	2:41	2:48	2:51	3:05	3:20			
3:30 PM	3:45	3:59	4:02	4:07	4:12	4:20	3:30	3:37	3:41	3:48	3:51	4:05	4:20			
4:30 PM	4:45	4:59	5:02	5:07	5:12	5:20	4:30	4:37	4:41	4:48	4:51	5:05	5:20			
5:30 PM	5:45	5:59	6:02	6:07	6:12	6:20	5:30	5:37	5:41	5:48	5:51	6:05	6:20			
6:30 PM	6:45	6:59	7:02	7:07	7:12	7:20	6:30	6:37	6:41	6:48	6:51	7:05	7:20			
7:30 PM	7:45	7:59	8:02	8:07	8:12	8:20	7:30	7:37	7:41	7:48	7:51	8:05	8:20			

THE SYSTEM IS WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE. Contact HART at (813) 254-4278 for advanced trip planning. We want you to have a pleasant and successful trip!

Table 4 -- Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Time and Day Scheduling (Florida Systems)

Transit System	Location	Type	Time				Day Scheduling				
			a.m./p.m.- separate tables	a.m./p.m.- labeled as such	Bold type indicates p.m. hours	a.m./p.m. not different- iated	Weekdays only	Weekdays & Saturdays -- same table	Weekdays & Saturdays -- separate tables	Weekdays & weekend (Saturday & Sunday) separate tables	Weekdays, Saturday, & Sunday -- Separate (3) tables
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/5/00					X				
BCT	Broward	Individual Route Sch/Map-8/00			X						X
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01				X			X		
HARTline	Hillsborough	Individual Route Sch/Map-5/01		X							X
JTA	Jacksonville	Individual Route Sch/Map-7/99			X				X		
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule		X				X			
LAMT	Lakeland	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00				X		X			
LeeTran	Lee County	Individual Rte Sch/Map-9/00				X		X			
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01			X			X			
LYNX	Orlando area	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/99			X			X			
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01				X		X			
MDT	Miami-Dade	Individual Rte Sch/Map-4/01		X							X
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide-FWB Wave only				X	* does not specify				
Palm Tran	Palm Beach	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00		X							X
PalmTran	Palm Beach	Riders Guide-10/00		X							X
PCPT	Pasco	Individual Rte Sch/Map-1/00				X	X				
PSTA	Pinellas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/00		X				X			
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide-1/01		X				X			
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000		X				X			
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00									X
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99		X	X			X			
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00			X			X			
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00		X				X			
WHAT	Winter Haven	Individual Rte Sch/Map-2/00				X		X			

Table 5 presents the identical information as Table 4, except on transit information materials from non-Florida transit agencies. According to Table 5, none of the transit information materials from non-Florida transit agencies have separate tables for a.m. and p.m. service hours, three of the agencies label the times in their schedules as a.m. or p.m., five use bold type to indicate p.m. service hours, and two of the schedules do not differentiate between a.m. and p.m. service hours. Table 5 also demonstrates that two of the schedules depict weekday service only (the corresponding agencies only provide weekday service). Of the remaining agencies (10), weekday and some weekend service are provided. For those agencies, six present weekday and Saturday service hours in separate tables; two present weekdays and weekend (Saturday and Sunday) service hours in separate tables; and two present weekday, Saturday, and Sunday in three separate tables.

Table 5-- Transit Schedule/Map Inventory: Time and Day Scheduling (Non-Florida Systems)

Transit System	Location	Type	Time				Day Scheduling				
			a.m./p.m.- separate tables	a.m./p.m.- labeled as such	Bold type indicates p.m. hours	a.m./p.m. not different- iated	Weekdays only	Weekdays & Saturdays -- same table	Weekdays & Saturdays -- separate tables	Weekdays & weekend (Saturday & Sunday) separate tables	Weekdays, Saturday, & Sunday -- Separate (3) tables
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Complete System Map-8/02					X				
AT	Vermont	Ride Guide-10/02			X		X				
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02			X					X	
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02				X					X
CYRide	Des Moines	Complete System Map-8/02			X				X		
DART	Dallas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02			X				X		
DTA	Daluth, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-5/02				X			X		
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02			X				X		
IndyGo	Indianapolis	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-11/88		X					X		
MBTA	Boston	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-8/02								X	
MVTA	Burnsville, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Map-6/02		X							X
TARC	Louisville, KY	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02		X					X		

Table 6 identifies what information is depicted in individual route materials for those systems in the database that use individual route schedules and maps. In the case of the materials included in the database, all include a timetable or schedule and map for each route.

**Table 6: Transit Schedule/Map Inventory:
Format (Systems with Individual Route Maps)**

Transit System	Location	Type	Individual Rte. Schedules/Maps		
			Timetable and Map	Timetable only	Map only
BCT	Broward	Individual Route Sch/Map-8/02	X		
HARTline	Hillsborough	Individual Route Sch/Map-5/01	X		
JTA	Jacksonville	Individual Route Sch/Map-7/99	X		
LAMT	Lakeland	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00	X		
LeeTran	Lee County	Individual Rte Sch/Map-9/00	X		
LYNX	Orlando area	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/99	X		
MDT	Miami-Dade	Individual Rte Sch/Map-4/01	X		
Palm Tran	Palm Beach	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/01	X		
PCPT	Pasco	Individual Rte Sch/Map-1/00	X		
PSTA	Pinellas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/00	X		
WHAT	Winter Haven	Individual Rte Sch/Map-2/00	X		
TARC	Louisville, KY	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X		
MBTA	Boston	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-8/02	X		
MVTA	Burnsville, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Map--6/02	X		
DTA	Daluth, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-5/02	X		
IndyGo	Indianapolis	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-11/88	X		
DART	Dallas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X		

Table 7 identifies what information is included in the system ride guides or multi-route guides for those transit agencies in the database that use ride guides. There are generally two variations in the layout of schedules and maps in ride guides. As shown in Table 7, 10 of the transit systems that use ride guides include separate schedules for each route and one system-wide map. The remaining 8 of the transit agencies that use ride guides include separate schedules for each route, as well as an individual map for each of those routes. It appears that the use of the system-wide map and separate schedules in a ride guide has a slight edge in terms of preference among the transit agencies in the database; however, there is no clear evidence that transit agencies prefer one layout method to another. While it is not evident in Table 7, several of the systems which have ride guides with separate schedules for each route and one system-wide map do so because they have very few routes in the first place.

**Table 7: Transit Schedule/Map Inventory:
Format (Systems with Ride Guides)**

Transit System	Location	Type	Ride Guides or Multi-Route Guides			
			Timetable only	Separate Time Tables & System Map	Separate Time Tables & Individual Maps	Map(s) only
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Schedules and Routes-8/02		X		
AT	Vermont	Timetable and Map-1/02		X		
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/5/00		X		
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02			X	
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02			X	
CYRide	Des Moines	Ride Guide-8/02		X		
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01			X	
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02		X		
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule		X		
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01			X	
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01			X	
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide-FWB Wave only		X		
PalmTran	Palm Beach	Riders Guide-10/00			X	
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide-1/01			X	
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000		X		
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00				
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99		X		
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00			X	
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00		X		

Table 8 addresses two additional variations in schedule layout. Both the color scheme of schedules and the alignment of stops on the schedule are compared among the transit information materials in the database. The majority of the schedules in the database are multi-color (19). Twelve of the schedules in the database have two colors and the remaining are black and white (5). Six of the schedules in the database include photos. A clear majority of the schedules in the database present the timetable with horizontal stops (32 of 36).

**Table 8 –Transit Schedule/Map Inventory:
Color Scheme and Stop Alignment**

Transit System	Location	Type	Color Scheme				Alignment of Stops	
			2 colors	Black and White	Multi-color	Includes photos	Horizontal (left to right)	Vertical (top to bottom)
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Schedules and Routes-8/02			X			X
AT	Vermont	Ride Guide-10/01			X	X	X	
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/5/00			X	X		X
BCT	Broward	Individual Route Sch/Map-8/00			X		X	
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02			X		X	
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02		X			X	
CYRide	Des Moines	Complete System Map-8/02			X		X	
DART	Dallas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02			X		X	
DTA	Daluth, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-5/02	X				X	
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01		X			X	
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02			X		X	
HARTline	Hillsborough	Individual Route Sch/Map-5/01	X				X	
IndyGo	Indianapolis	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-11/88	X				X	
JTA	Jacksonville	Individual Route Sch/Map-7/99	X				X	
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule			X		X	
LAMT	Lakeland	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00	X				X	
LeeTran	Lee County	Individual Rte Sch/Map-9/00	X				X	
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01			X		X	
LYNX	Orlando area	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/99			X		X	
MBTA	Boston	Individual Rte Sch/Maps-8/02	X				X	
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01		X			X	
MDT	Miami-Dade	Individual Rte Sch/Map-4/01	X				X	
MVTA	Burnsville, MN	Individual Rte Sch/Map-6/02			X		X	
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide -- FWB Wave only			X			X
Palm Tran	Palm Beach	Individual Rte Sch/Map-10/00			X		X	
PalmTran	Palm Beach	Riders Guide-10/00		X			X	
PCPT	Pasco	Individual Rte Sch/Map-1/00	X				X	
PSTA	Pinellas	Individual Rte Sch/Map-12/00	X				X	
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide -1/01			X	X	X	
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000			X	X	X	
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00			X	X	X	
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99			X		X	
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00		X		X	X	
TARC	Louisville, KY	Individual Rte Sch/Map-5/02	X				X	
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00			X			X
WHAT	Winter Haven	Individual Rte Sch/Map-2/00	X				X	

Table 9 describes the features of the system-wide maps for those agencies that have a stand-alone system map. The table illustrates how the routes are identified on the map (by color, number, and/or name); whether there is a legend on the map; whether transfer points and points of interest are identified on the map; and finally, the table also identifies those system maps for which the north directional arrow is present and positioned up. The majority of the 17 maps in the database identify the various routes by color, number, and name. Specifically, 14 maps identified routes by color, 16 by number, 10 by name, and 9 identified routes on the map using colors, numbers, and names. All but two of the maps in the database had a legend. The detail level of the legends varied greatly. Points of interest were used on 10 of the maps. Some points of interest were symbolized on the map, while some agencies provided a list of points of interest with corresponding routes of access. Nearly all of the maps utilized a north directional symbol. Only two did not have directional guides on the map.

Similar comparisons are made in Table 10, except the system-wide maps for these agencies are in the ride guide, rather than stand-alone. Again, most of the routes are identified by color (16 of 17). Thirteen of the 17 identify routes by number. Only 7 identify routes on the map by name. Unlike the stand-alone maps, very few systems represent routes by colors, numbers, and names.

Eleven of the agencies included a legend with their map; however, the legends typically were not as detailed as the legends for stand-alone maps. Points of interest were displayed on 11 of 17 maps and 12 of 17 utilized north directional arrows that were positioned upwards.

**Table 9 – Transit Map Inventory:
Map Features of Stand-Alone System Maps**

Transit System	Location	Type	Map Features							
			Stand Alone Map	Routes Identified by Color on Map	Routes Identified by Number on Map	Routes Identified by Name on Map	Legend Included	Transfer locations identified	Points of Interest identified	North arrow positioned up
BCT	Broward	Transit System Map -- 8/2000	X	X	X	X		X		X
CTA	Chicago, IL	Bus and Rail Map-Spr 2002	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
DART	Dallas	System Map-5/01	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
ECAT	Escambia	Ride Guide-11/01	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
ETS	Edmonton (Canada)	System Map-Wntr/Spr 2002	X		X	X	X	X		
HARTline	Hillsborough	Transit Guide-9/02	X	X	X	X	X	X		X
IndyGo	Indianapolis	System Map-8/01	X	X	X	X	X		X	X
JTA	Jacksonville	Transit Guide-10/00	X							X
LeeTran	Lee County	System Map	X	X	X		X	X		X
MBTA	Boston, MA	System Map	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MDT	Miami-Dade	Transit Map-2/01	X	X	X		X		X	X
MTA	Montgomery, MD	Transit Map-9/02	X		X		X	X		X
PalmTran	Palm Beach	System Map-10/00	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
RTA	Chicago Area	Regional Transit Map-2002	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
TARC	Louisville, KY	Transit Map-1/02	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
The Bus	Oahu, HI	System Map-Winter 2002	X	X	X		X			X
WHAT	Winter Haven	System Map	X	X	X	X	X		X	X

**Table 10 – Transit Map Inventory:
Map Features of System Maps in Ride Guides**

Transit System	Location	Type	Map Features							
			Map in Ride Guide	Routes Identified by Color on Map	Routes Identified by Number on Map	Routes Identified by Name on Map	Legend Included	Transfer locations identified	Points of Interest identified	North arrow positioned up
AppalCART	Boone, NC	Complete System Map-8/02	X	X	X		X			
AT	Vermont	Ride Guide-10/01	X	X		X	X	X		
Bay Town Trolley	Panama City	Ride Guide-9/00	X	X		X	X	X	X	X
CAT	Las Vegas	Ride Guide-9/02	X	X	X					X
C-UMTD	Champaign, IL	Ride Guide-8/02	X		X				X	X
CYRide	Des Moines	Complete System Map-8/02	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
GFT	Great Falls, MT	Ride Guide-9/02	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Key West	Key West	Bus Schedule	X	X						
LYNX	Orlando area	Schedule Book-5/01	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
MCAT	Manatee	Ride Guide-1/01	X	X	X		X		X	X
OCT	Okaloosa	Ride Guide-FWB Wave only	X	X					X	
RTS	Gainesville	Ride Guide-1/01	X	X	X					X
SCAT	Sarasota	Ride Guide-Spring 2000	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
SCAT	Brevard	Ride Guide-6/00	X	X	X	X				X
SunTran	Ocala	System Route and Map-11/99	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
TalTran	Tallahassee	Ride Guide-8/00	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
VOTRAN	Volusia	Area Rte Sch/Maps-1/00	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	

SECTION THREE

Process for Design Element Selection

Once the design elements were identified, those that would be tested in the secondary project needed to be selected. In determining which elements would be evaluated, staff considered the feasibility of testing each design element, how expensive performing the test would be, whether participants in the original field test noted difficulty with the particular design element, and the degree to which the design element is *perceived* to be important to a non-user's transit trip planning ability.

Table 11 categorizes each previously identified design element by degree to which evaluating variations in a field test is feasible. Those design elements that can be more feasibly evaluated are those that have precise options regarding variation. For instance, Front/Back Layout will involve two variations with very little chance of secondary variations. However, evaluating the Use of Color (Functional) may involve infinitely more considerations, such as which colors to use in identifying routes and which specific variations can be used to contrast routes by color. As noted in Table 11, most of the design elements identified in this project are considered most feasible to evaluate. The few that are labeled "feasible" will require more consideration as they involve possible secondary variations (e.g. when comparing system maps versus individual route maps, the detail level of the map may also vary). As noted earlier, it is important to minimize secondary variations in the field test, as the goal of isolating a design element could be lost otherwise.

Table 11: Design Element Evaluation -- Feasibility

More Feasible	Feasible
Front/Back Layout	Material Format (Schedules and Maps)
Stop Alignment	Material Format (Maps)
Day Scheduling	Map Details
Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m.)	Use of Color (Functional)
Time Scheduling (Departure Time)	Font Size
Use of Color (Aesthetic)	
Legend	
Time Point Identification	
Transfer Points	
Directional Symbol	

Table 12 addresses the costs of evaluating each design element. In the table the various design elements are categorized as least expensive, moderately expensive, and most expensive. Those design elements that can be incorporated into the standard testing materials relatively inexpensively are considered least expensive. An example of this might be whether or not a legend is used on a map. In such a case, a legend variation would have very little impact on the design and production costs of the testing materials.

Those design element variations that involve some moderate manipulation of testing material layout are considered to be moderately expensive. Moderately expensive design elements require more manipulation to the base prototype materials, but are not as expensive as those design element variations that require significant manipulation. Examples of significant manipulations are the addition of color to material and comparing ride guide format to individual route format.

Table 12: Design Element Evaluation – Cost to Test

Least Expensive	Moderately Expensive	Most Expensive
Stop Alignment	Front/Back Layout	Material Format (Schedules and Maps)
Day Scheduling	Font Size	Material Format (Maps)
Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m.)	Transfer Point	Use of Color (Aesthetic)
Time Scheduling (Departure)	Time Point Identification	Use of Color (Functional)
Legend		Map Details
Directional Symbol		

In Table 13, the design elements are divided by whether or not participants in the original pilot and field tests noted difficulty with that design element. The participants were provided opportunities after the trip-planning portion of the pilot or field test to provide insight into what made planning the transit trips easier or more difficult.

Table 13: Design Element Evaluation -- Noted Difficulty in Original Tests

Significant Difficulty Noted	Moderate Difficulty Noted	Little-to-No Difficulty Noted
Material Format (Schedules and Maps) Material Format (Maps) Front/Back Layout Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m.)	Font Size Time Scheduling (Departure) Time Point Identification Map Details Transfer Points Use of Color (Functional)	Stop Alignment Use of Color (Aesthetic) Legend Directional Symbol Day Scheduling

Table 14 outlines what design elements are perceived to have the greatest impact on a customer's ability to complete the transit trip planning process. This perception is noted through the results of the original field test results, dialogue with transit agency representatives and others interested in the outcome of this project. These perceptions do not imply that there are quantifiable instances of these design elements impacting transit trip planning. Instead, Table 14 suggests that there has been significant discussion to imply that those design elements proposed for evaluation in this project are perceived to be important the trip planning process. On the other hand, many transit professionals admit to being unsure of how important some of the design elements have on transit trip planning. This illustrates how providing statistically sound evidence on the impact of these design elements could assist transit agency marketing personnel.

**Table 14: Design Element Evaluation –
Perceived Importance to Trip Planning**

Strong Perception of Importance	Moderate Perception of Importance	Little Perception of Importance
Material Formats (Schedules and Maps) Material Format (Maps) Front/Back Layout Map Details Time Point Identification Use of Color (Functional) Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m.)	Stop Alignment Day Scheduling Time Scheduling (Departure) Legend Font Size Transfer Points	Use of Color (Aesthetic) Directional Symbol

In an effort to identify which design elements should be evaluated in the secondary project, CUTR researchers primarily considered those criteria that would represent the concerns and perspective of the transit user. For instance, strong perception of importance to trip planning and significant difficulty noted on the original test are reflective of the transit users' value of testing each design element. On the other hand, transit users are less concerned with how expensive it is to test for certain design elements or how feasible it will be to produce testing variations. Table 15 distributes the design elements into tiers, which identify those that will definitely be evaluated in the secondary project (probably be evaluated, possibly be evaluated, and will not be evaluated). Those that will definitely be evaluated are those design elements that meet either of the criteria that are considered consumer-relevant (i.e. strongly perceived to be important to transit users or posed significant difficulty for subjects in the observational tests in the original project). Design elements that will probably be evaluated are those that meet both the feasibility and cost criteria. The remaining design elements meet only one of the feasibility or cost criteria or neither of them, and they will not be evaluated in the secondary project.

Table 15: Design Element Selection Matrix

Element	Strong Perception of Importance	Significant Difficulty in Original Project	Most Feasible to Test	Inexpensive to Test	Tier
Time Scheduling (a.m./p.m.)	X	X	X	X	Definitely Evaluate
Material Format (Schedules and Maps)	X	X	X		
Material Format (Maps)	X	X	X		
Back/Front Layout	X	X	X		
Time Point Identification	X		X		
Use of Color (Functional)	X				
Map Detail	X				Probably Evaluate
Stop Alignment			X	X	
Day Scheduling			X	X	
Time Scheduling (Departure Time)			X	X	
Legend			X	X	
Directional Symbol			X	X	
Use of Color (Aesthetic)			X		Will Not Evaluate
Transfer Point Identification			X		
Font Size					

SECTION FOUR

Next Steps

The follow-up or secondary project to *Assessment of Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use*, will potentially provide extremely useful information regarding best practices in transit information materials design. The secondary project will identify those design elements of written transit information materials that provide the greatest utility to users and non-users when planning a transit trip. Ultimately, those design elements will be incorporated into prototype materials that will serve as models to transit agencies.

This project will involve the design and production of prototype transit information materials that will be field tested among transit non-users to determine their effectiveness as tools for transit trip planning. Several versions of the prototype materials will be developed and tested, based on the design element variations identified in Section Three of this intermediary report.

The initial steps for this project involve conceptualizing the layout and development of the prototype bus schedules and maps. Besides those conducting the research, this process will also involve several graphic and GIS/mapping staff at CUTR. The standard prototype maps and schedules will consist of the same base information, such as routes, service area, service frequency. However, variations to the standard information will be developed to test the various design elements. For instance, the standard prototype maps will be modified to vary in detail to test how various map detail levels impact effectiveness. In another example, the standard prototype maps and schedules will be transformed into an all-in-one ride guide, so that the effectiveness of individual route information and ride guides can be compared.

Based on the number of design element variations identified in Sections Two and Three of this report, there will be at least 25 variations to the standard prototype maps and schedules. Each variation will be illustrated individually to ensure that the test results will provide some definitive conclusions about its effectiveness in transit trip planning.

As in the *Assessment of Operational Barriers and Impediments to Transit Use* report, the methodology used to evaluate the effectiveness of the design element variations will involve the collection and analysis of quantitative and qualitative data through field tests.

The methodology specifically involves developing a sample made up of individuals with little to no transit experience to participate in transit trip planning activities using various prototype materials. Field test administrators will facilitate the test and observe the verbal and physical reactions of each participant as he or she completes the trip planning activity. In addition, at the conclusion of each trip planning activity the test administrator will ask several questions to gauge each participant's experience.

A sample size between 150 and 250 participants is needed in order to test the 12 selected design elements (see Table 10). The larger sample size is obviously preferable; however, 150 subjects can be used effectively. A sample size in this range will prevent carry-over and sequential effects by assigning different participants to each variation of the design element, controlling for sequence and order of presentation. The experimental design will be a between subject design in that a participant will be randomly assigned to only one variation of a design element and not every variation possible. The large sample size ensures that each pair of trip planning tasks will be completed several times in various order and controls for any carry-over or sequential effects which may be present.

In developing the sample for the field test, CUTR utilized methods similar to those for the original Barriers project. Mall intercept recruitment will be used to identify field test participants who represent a wide range of demographic characteristics and who have little to no experience using transit. Again, CUTR will contract with market research companies in Tampa area shopping malls to carry out participant recruitment. In addition, each participant will be offered a \$5.00 incentive as compensation for his or her time. Each participant will participate in two trip-planning activities that will involve a total of 30-40 minutes.

CUTR will conduct, prior to the observational field tests, at least two pilot studies to test the usefulness of the trip planning tasks and materials. Suggested pilot

study sample groups include students at the University of South Florida and participants at senior centers or other sites where elderly populations frequent. These pilot studies will be conducted in addition to a pilot study with transit professionals and students at CUTR. Not only will the CUTR pilot study group be instrumental in testing the trip planning tasks and materials, it will also provide constructive feedback from the point of view of transit professionals. On the other hand, pilot study groups with students and seniors who have little-to-no transit experience will be useful in testing the effectiveness of the materials and will allow the researchers to determine if the tools are designed to provide useful results.

In the field test, each trip-planning task (each participant will have two) will be scored according to the choices made by participants in relation to the following data elements (dependent variables):

1. Ability to travel from origin to intended destination
2. On-time arrival to destination
3. Origin bus route
4. Origin bus stop
5. Origin time
6. Transfer bus route
7. Transfer bus stop
8. Transfer time
9. Destination bus stop
10. Destination time

A composite score, consisting of the scores for each of these dependent variables will be assigned to each transit trip planning task attempted by participants. In addition, statistical tests will be applied to the quantitative data to determine the statistical significance of demographic characteristics, socioeconomic characteristics, and design element variations on the final score associated with transit trip planning tasks. In addition, the qualitative interview and observational data collected during the trip planning activities will be analyzed as self-reported measures.

At the completion of the qualitative and quantitative data analysis of the field test results, the design element variations which impact a person's ability to effectively plan a transit trip using schedules and maps should be identifiable. CUTR researchers hope that the results will provide sufficient support to encourage the use of certain design element variations over others, when considering the effectiveness from the users' and potential users' points of view.