EVALUATION OF FIRST ORDER ERROR INDUCED BY CONSERVATIVE-TRACER TEMPERATURE APPROXIMATION FOR MIXING IN KARSTIC FLOW
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Abstract
Fluid dynamics in karst systems is complex due to the heterogeneity of hydraulic networks that combine the Porous Fractured Matrix (PFM) and the interconnected drains (CS). The complex dynamics often requires to be treated as “black boxes” in which only input and output properties are known. In this work, we propose to assess the first-order error induced by considering the temperature as a conservative tracer for flows mixing in karst (fluviokarsts). The fluviokarstic system is treated as an open thermodynamic system (OTS), which exchanges water and heat with its surrounding. We propose to use a cylindrical PFM drained by a water saturated cylindrical CS, connected on one side to a sinkholes zone and, to the other, to a resurgence flowing at the base level of the karstic system. The overall structure of the model is based on the conceptual model of fluviokarst developed by White (2002, 2003). This framework allows us to develop the equations of energy and mass conservation for the different parts of the OTS. Two numerical models have been written to solve these equations: 1) the so-called AW (for Adiabatic Wall) configuration that assumes a conservative tracer behavior for temperature with no conductive heat transfer, neither in the liquid, nor in the PFM or even through the wall separating the CS from the PFM; and, 2), the CW (for Conductive Wall) configuration that takes into account the heat and mass transfers in water from water to aquifer rocks both in the CS and in the PFM. Looking at the large variability of karstic system morphologic properties, dimensionless forms of the equations have been written for both AW and CW configurations. This approach allows us to gather the physical, hydrological and morphological properties of karstic systems into four dimensionless Peclet, Reynold, Prandtl and dimensionless diffusivity numbers. This formalism has been used to conduct a several orders of magnitude parametric exploration based on the Peclet and the Reynolds numbers. The final errors, between the AW and CW configurations, remain less than 1% over all of the parametric range. The combination of error curves bounds a closed volume in error space that gives a first upper bound of the error made by considering the temperature as a conservative tracer. Applying the method to an illustrative example of karst allows us to reach a first order error within a few degrees °C.

Introduction
Karst aquifers are often considered as potential solutions for meeting water needs required by agriculture, industry and human consumption. A highlight is the ability of these systems to return, during the dry season, the rainfalls of the watershed. However, exploitation by surface, or underground catchment, or pumping of these resources requires cautions to not jeopardize the balance of downstream ecological systems (Weber et al, 2006; Jemcov, 2007). However, exploitation of such resources by surface catchment, underground catchment or pumping requires careful evaluation in order not to jeopardize the balance of ecological systems downstream (Weber et al., 2006; Jemcov, 2007).

Karst environments present broad spectra of hydrodynamic properties which range from a Porous Fractured Matrix (PFM) to thin interconnected Conduit Systems (CS) whose diameters can range from fractions of a centimeter to tens of meters. This variability induces, for the discharge and recharge phenomena, temporal responses ranging from a few minutes to several months. In addition, except for the largest caves, it is generally not possible to enter the heart of the karst system to proceed at direct measurements of temperatures,
In general, external temperatures are subject to more or less rapid fluctuations depending on the sunlight, the daily cycle, the weather trend or season. Conversely, in depth, with the notable exception of phenomena consecutive to run-off or sudden rainstorms, the thermal fluctuations are damped in the CS and the PFM. Thus, most of the studies mentioned above made the assumption of rapid temperature fluctuation damping (Sinokrot and Stefan, 1993; Luetscher and Jeannin, 2004; Dogwiller and Wicks, 2005).

Our work aims to evaluate the influence of conservative temperature approximation based on the theoretical framework provided by the OTS. For this purpose, our theoretical development takes into account the different heat transfer possible between the different elements of the OTS. However, it is impossible for a “black box” like approach, to account for all of the natural karstic system complexity. While early models sometimes regarded karst as continuous media, more recent studies have shown the way for the inclusion of more complex internal structures (e.g., Covington et al., 2009; Luhmann et al., 2011; Covington et al., 2011; 2012). Following these works we have considered a cylindrical water saturated CS, carried by an abscissa axis x, separated from a cylindrical PFM by a permeable wall. This framework allows checking the mass and energy conservations solving water and rock temperatures in the different parts of the OTS with two different configurations: 1), a conservative tracer behavior for temperature in which the mixing between CS and PFM flows occurs in the CS without heat dissipation or dispersion in the CS, in the PFM or through the wall separating the CS from PFM (in the following this configuration is called AW for Adiabatic Wall); 2), the same dynamic of mixing between CS and PFM flows but with conductive heat dissipation within the CS in the PFM and through the wall that separates them (This configuration is called CW for Conductive Wall).

The overall morphological structure used for our study is that the conceptual model developed by White (2002 and 2003) for fluviokarst. This structure corresponds to the Cent-Fonts (Hérault, France) karstic system whose description is given in the Annex part and which serves as an illustrative example for a potential application of the method. In the White’s fluviokarst model (redrawn in Figure 1a) the stream that dug the valley is partially lost in a sinkholes area at the entry of the CS. However,
indicates that the water motion forms a zero divergence velocity field in the PFM and in the CS. In this study, we will also consider that the axial velocity, \( v_x \), is zero in the PFM and that the radial velocity, \( v_r \), is zero in the CS. Therefore, the mass conservation equation can be written in cylindrical coordinates \( (x, r) \) as:

\[
\text{div}(\vec{v}) = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{u_r}{r} = 0
\] (1)

By linearity, it is possible to apply the Ostrogradski’s or Green’s theorem over each CS and PFM cylindrical section (of thickness \( dx \)) to convert the volume integral in a flux integral. The resulting Eq. 2 and Eq. 3 ensue for the PFM \((r \geq r_h)\) and for the CS \((r < r_h)\).

\[
r \geq r_h, x \in [0, L], u_r(x, r) = 0, \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial r}
\] (2)

\[
r < r_h, x \in [0, L], u_r(x, r) \equiv 0, \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial r}
\] (3)

Furthermore, to describe the CW configuration, it is necessary to take into account the conservation of thermal energy during the conductive transfers in the CS, in the PFM and between water and the rocks of the aquifer. Following the work developed by Covington et al (2011), we apply a conduction-temperature advection equation in PFM, but adding a new dispersion-conduction term that takes into account the cooling effects of the diffuse infiltration. In the PFM for \((r \geq r_h, x \in [0, L])\), the energy conservation becomes Eq. 4:

\[
v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = D_m \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 T}{\partial r^3} + \frac{2 \partial T}{r \partial r} \right]
\] (4)

and, in the CS, for \((r < r_h, x \in [0, L])\):

\[
v_r \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = D_w \left[ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2 \partial T}{\partial r} \right] (x, r_h)
\] (5)

\[\frac{2 v_r(x, r_h)}{r_h} [T(x, r_h) - T(x, 0)]
\]

where \( v_r, v_x, \) and \( T \) are the velocity and temperature fields depending on the cylindrical coordinates \( x \) and \( r \). However, to simplify the presentation of Eq. 5, these dependencies are not explicitly written except if the values are taken at specific points as \( r = 0 \) or \( r_h \).

In nature, karst systems exhibit great variability which is mainly due to the diversity of soils, to the extent of the watershed and the degree of karstification. CS present lengths ranging from tens of meters to a few tens of kilometers, while the permeability of the PFM,
or the hydraulic radius of CS cover several orders of magnitude. Only a non-dimensional approach, classical for fluid mechanics studies, can describe such broad ranges of local properties through dimensionless number approach. The morphological aspect ratio of the karstic system, \( r_h / L \), may display broader variations. However, hydrological and thermal properties of the various flows (\( T, Q \) for the intrusion at the sinkholes), but also the far field temperature and the rate of diffuse infiltration in the CS (\( T\infty \) and \( qM \)) also impact directly the temperature of the mixed water in the CS. Similarly, the physical parameters of rocks and water will also be gathered in these dimensionless groups as the viscosity or the ratio of water to rock thermal diffusivities.

Eqs. 1 to 5 have been rewritten using \( L \), the CS length, as length scale ; the discharge difference between the resurgence and the intrusive flow at the sinkholes area (divided by \( \pi r_h^2 \), the CS wall surface) defines a natural velocity scale for diffuse infiltration \( V = (Q_s - Q) / \pi r_h^2 \). A time scale \( t = L / V \) ensues from these two scales and, with these conditions, the relationships between dimensionless parameters of length, speed and time are respectively \( x' = x / L, \; u' = u / V = u \pi r_h^2 / (Q_s - Q) \) and \( t' = t / t = tV / L \). The relationship that links the temperature to the dimensionless temperature is \( T' = (T - T_i) / (T_i - T\infty) \). Within this framework, with \( r_h / L \) and \( u / V \), the mass conservation equations in PFM and in CS become:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial \rho_i u'}{\partial x'} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial \rho_i u'}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial \rho_i u'^2}{\partial x'} &= \frac{\partial \rho_i T'}{\partial x'}, \quad (x', r_h) \geq r_h, \; x' \in [0, L], \; u'(x', r_h) = 0, \; v'(x', r_h) = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \rho_i T'}{\partial t'} + \frac{\partial \rho_i u' T'}{\partial x'} &= 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

and the energy equations in PFM and in CS become:

\[
\begin{align*}
Pe \frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} &= D \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_i} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial x'} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'}, \\
Pe \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial x'^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial x'^2} + 4 \frac{Pe}{Red} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + \frac{1}{Red} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} \left( x', r_h \right), \\
&- \frac{4 Pe}{Red} \frac{\partial^2 \rho_i}{\partial x'^2} \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} \left( x', r_h \right) \left[ \frac{T'(x', 0, t') - T'(x', r_h)}{r_h} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Four groups of dimensionless numbers appear in Eqs. 6 to 9. They are combinations between the Peclet number, \( Pe = LV / D_w \), the dimensionless thermal diffusivity, \( D = D_m / D_w \), the Prandtl number, \( Pr = \nu / D_w \), and the tubular Reynolds number \( Red = 2 V r_h / \nu \). The Prandtl number and the dimensionless thermal diffusivity depend only on the physical properties of water and rocks. In the following of this work, we will consider that the uncertainties on these parameters are significantly lower than those that might come from the variability of the hydrological. The latters mainly affect the Reynolds number (through the hydraulic radius of the CS) and the Peclet number (through the CS length). We therefore have built our parametric study on these two parameters which endorse most of the variability.

If the mass conservation equations (Eqs. 6 and 7) are not changed when the temperature is considered as a conservative tracer (AW case), this is not the case for the energy conservation equations (Eq. 8 and Eq. 9). In that case, it is necessary to consider the limit when the conductive heat dissipation disappears, that is to say when \( D_m \) and \( D_m \rightarrow 0 \). In the PFM, for \( (x' \geq r_h, x' \epsilon [0,L]) \), it comes:

\[
\lim_{{u_m, Q_m \rightarrow 0}} \text{Eq. 6} = v'(x', 0) / \frac{Pr}{Pr} = \frac{1}{Pr} + \frac{2}{Pr} \left( T'(x', 0) - T'(x', r_h) \right).
\]

According to the constant thermal boundary condition for the far field temperature \( (T' \infty = -1) \), the solution is given by Eq (11):

\[
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} = 0 \implies T' = -1
\]

It follows as an expectable result that, in the absence of heat conduction, the PFM temperature is uniform and equal to those of the far field. Now, let’s study the temperature equation in the CS for the AW case. It is then necessary to study the limit of Eq. 9 with zero thermal conductivity in water and rocks. In this case, if \( D_m \rightarrow 0, \; Pe \rightarrow \infty \). Factoring and simplifying the term \( Pe \) on both sides of Eq. 9 leads to:

\[
\lim_{{u_m, Q_m \rightarrow 0}} \text{Eq. 9} = \frac{v'}{v'} \left( T'(x', 0) - T'(x', r_h) \right).
\]

That is to say:

\[
\frac{v'}{v'} \left( T'(x', 0) - T'(x', r_h) \right).
\]

Eq. 13 matches the classical expression of the thermal energy conservation for a mixing without heat dissipation.

**Numerical Modeling**

Two numerical programs were written to solve the conservation of energy in the CS and in the PFM for both AW and CW configurations. They are based on finite-difference second order accurate methods (Douglas and
Rachford, 1956). Both codes strictly comply with the same boundary conditions given by the flows entering the sinkholes, the rate of diffuse flow from PFM to CS and the intrusive and far field temperatures. The dimensionless temperature at the CS input is $T'_{i} = 0$, while the CS temperature at the CS resurgence remains free. The thermal boundary condition at the outer edges of the calculation grid ($r=0.02$) is the far field dimensionless temperature, $T'_{\infty} = -1$.

For AW cases, a numerical 1-D code, solves Eq. 13 in the CS to calculates the temperature as a function of the abscissa $x$. On an other hand, for the CW configurations, Alternate Direction Implicit (ADI) finite-difference methods are used to successively solve the temperature equations in the radial and axial directions. The equations were discretized on a grid of 100 points in the radial direction and 500 points in the longitudinal direction. A convergence test stops the iterative process when a steady state solution is achieved (in effect when the relative changes of the temperature are less than $10^{-4}$ for all the points of the computation grid). Continuity and coupling of the temperature between the CS and the PFM are ensured by sharing the thermal boundary conditions along the CS wall: the temperature calculated in the CS serves as radial boundary condition for solving the temperature in the PFM. This method allows coupling the resolution in both parts of the solution while taking into account the heat dispersion in the CS and heat spreads within the CS and between CS and PFM.

A first comparison of the AW and CW hypotheses was conducted by introducing the values of the morphological, hydrological, and thermal main data observed on the Cent-Fonts fluviokarst site in summer 2005 (see annex part, and Ladouche et al., 2005 for report). Thus, a CS length ($L = 5,000$ m) is the distance, as the crow flies, between the sinkholes area of the Buèges stream and the Cent-Fonts resurgence near Hérault River (Figure 5); the hydraulic radius chosen for the CS ($r_h = 5$ m) corresponds to the speleological situation in the cave near the resurgence (Figure 6); the flow rate at the sinkhole is $Q_i = 0.055$ m$^3$/s; the output stream at the resurgence is $Q_s = 0.392$ m$^3$/s; temperature at sinkhole is $T_i = 295.25$ °K (22.1 °C); and far-field temperature is $T_{\infty} = 285.35$ °K (12.2 °C) (Ladouche et al., 2005). Further, we assumed a water thermal diffusivity $D_w = 1.43 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s, and for PFM $D_m = 4.03 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^2$/s (Pechnig et al., 2007). These values lead to the following dimensionless numbers: $Pe = 1.50 \times 10^8$, $Red = 4.29 \times 10^4$, $Pr = 6.99$ and $D_m / D_w = 9.93$.

Figure 2 shows the temperature field obtained for the CW configuration in PFM and CS, after resolutions of Eqs. 8 and 9. The monotonic cooling with abscissa along the CS results from the mixing of the cold diffuse flow with the hot water that enters the CS at the sinkholes area. However, in the PFM around the CS, close to the sinkholes area, the heat transmitted by conduction in the PFM, induces thermal boundary layers that encounters the cooling flow from the far field. At a radial distance of a few tens of meters away from the CS wall, the thermal boundary layers attenuate rapidly and fall to a temperature close those of the far field.

On the other hand, solving Eq.13 provides the temperature of CS water with the conservative tracer hypothesis (AW). In Figure 3, the Comparison of the blue curve (AW configuration) with the red curve (CW configuration) shows that the CS cooling is overestimated by the conservative temperature hypothesis. Due to the

![Figure 2. CS and PFM temperature field. Illustrative example of the temperature field obtained in the CS and in the PFM with CW model. The morphological, hydrological, and physical parameters are characteristic of the Cent-Fonts (Hérault, France) fluviokarst system ($L = 5,000$ m, $r_h = 5$ m, $D_m = 1.42 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^2$/s, $D_w = 1.43 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s, $T_i = 295.25$ °K (22.1 °C), $T_{\infty} = 285.35$ °K (12.2 °C), $Q_i = 0.055$ m$^3$/s, $Q_s = 0.392$ m$^3$/s). These values lead to $Pe = 1.50 \times 10^8$, $Red = 4.29 \times 10^4$, $Pr = 6.99$ and $D_m / D_w = 9.93$. The computation has been done in the dimensionless space. The temperature field has been rescaled in the physical space for drawing.](image-url)
As mentioned above we have restrained our attention in this section, to the study of the effects of Reynolds numbers and Peclet numbers changes. The Peclet number \( Pe = \frac{LV}{D_w} \) measures the ratio of advection to conduction characteristic times. Therefore, the higher \( Pe \), the preeminent effect of conduction compared to advection. Moreover, it directly characterizes the CS length, which is one of the most variable morphological properties of karst systems. The second entry of this study is the Reynolds number \( \text{Re}_d = \frac{2V_r h}{\nu} \), which is characteristic of a second highly variable morphological parameter of the karstic system: the CS hydraulic radius. These two quantities are mathematically linked through the Prandtl number \( Pr = \frac{Pe \text{Re}_d}{r_h} \). However, it should be noted that in the energy equation (Eq. 9), \( Pe \) is present at the numerator of the Eq. 9’s terms while \( \text{Re}_d \) is present at the denominator. According to their increasing or decreasing values, they will induce opposite effects on these terms that characterize the relative importance of conduction, dispersion and advection.

To better understand the cross-influence of these two parameters we have, initially studied the behavior of the \( \varepsilon'(x) \) depending on \( Pe \) (ranging from \( 10^6 \) to \( 10^9 \)) at constant \( \text{Re}_d (\text{Re}_d = 4.29 \times 10^4 \), the value previously obtained for the Cent-Fonts fluviokarst); then, in a second step, we studied the behavior of \( \varepsilon'(x) \) by varying \( \text{Re}_d \) from \( 10^3 \) to \( 10^7 \) at constant \( Pe (Pe = 1.500 \times 10^8) \). The results of these two steps are shown respectively on the upper and lower parts of Figure 4. The left panels show the evolution \( \varepsilon'(x) \) as a function of the abscissa \( x \) in the CS, while the right panels give an overview of the final errors at the system output.

In any case, at the sinkholes area location \( (x=0) \), the error is zero. This result is expected since at this particular stage, no energy transfer by conduction has occurred between hot intrusive water at sinkhole and cold intrusive water from PFM diffuse infiltration. Conversely, as soon as the offset \( x \) of the sinkhole increases, \( \varepsilon'(x) \) increases especially as the boundary layers observed in Figure 2 are stronger. For higher abscissa \( x \), the rate of variation of \( \varepsilon'(x) \) decreases. In fact, two distinct types of behavior are displayed for \( \varepsilon'(x) \) on the left panels of Figure 4. The firsts are characterized by curves that start from
Let’s now examine in more detail how \( \varepsilon'(x) \) evolves with \( Pe \) (at constant \( Red \)) (Figure 4, top panels). According to the physical meaning of the Peclet number, its decreasing corresponds to a decrease of the relative importance of conductive transfers face to the advective ones, homogeneously in the whole system. In fact, for the lowest values of \( Pe \) \((10^6\) and \(5 \times 10^6\); Figure 4, top left panel, light brown and red curves) \( \varepsilon'(x) \) increases monotonically with \( x \). However it converges uniformly to zero for further decreases of \( Pe \). Conversely, for the highest values of \( Pe \) \((5 \times 10^6 \) and \(10^7 \); Figure 4, top left panel, dark blue and purple curves) \( \varepsilon'(x) \) reaches maxima for abscissa close to the sinkhole area (low values of \( x \)). In these cases, the amplitudes of these maxima decrease with increasing \( Pe \); \( \varepsilon'(x) \) finally also tends to zero for all the value of the abscissa. In any cases, the maximum of the relative errors are achieved for intermediate values of the dimensionless parameter \( Pe \). They remain less than \( 0.01 \) (actually \( 0.0092 \) for \( Pe = 710^6 \)). For the highest values of \( Pe \), we recognize the effects of the limit \( D_w \to 0 \) described in Section 2 since in that case the assimilation of temperature to a conservative tracer is equivalent to consider the \( Pe \to \infty \) limit that induces that \( \varepsilon'(x) \), which measures the difference between the two AW and CW hypotheses, tends to zero.

Let’s now consider the physical situation that prevails when \( Red \) increases at constant \( Pe \). This scenario allows to assess the influence of the CS hydraulic radius \((Red=2Vr\alpha/v)\). Since \( Red \) and \( Pe \) perform in antagonistic manners in Eq. 9, it is now for the highest values of \( Red \), \((10^7 \) and \(10^8\), Figure 4, bottom left panel, orange and red curves) that \( \varepsilon'(x) \) displays uniform convergence to zero. Conversely, this \( 1 \) for the lowest values of \( Red \) \((10^5 \) and \(10^6\), Figure 4, bottom, left panel curves purple and dark blue) that \( \varepsilon'(x) \) reaches maxima, for low values of the abscissa, in the sinkholes area.

The right panels of Figure 4 show synoptic representations of the relative errors \( \varepsilon'(x) \) at the output of the CS (following the variation of \( Pe \) (top panel) and of \( Red \) (bottom panel)). The maxima of error are encountered for intermediate values of both parameters. They remain less than 1% (the maximum values of 0.0092 and 0.0062 are respectively achieved for \( Pe = 710^6 \) and \( Red = 10^7 \)). It is also interesting to emphasize that the results obtained for the illustrative example of Cent-Fonts fluviokarstic system naturally fall within this bounded error range.

### Summary and Discussion

The purpose of this work is to try to assess a first order of the error done by considering temperature as a conservative tracer in fluvio-karstic systems. For that, we developed and solved the energy and mass conservation equations, leaned against the White’s conceptual model for fluviokarst, and within the theoretical background of OTS. We applied theses equations to a cylindrical CS, which receives hot intrusive water from a sinkholes area and, through a PFM, a cold diffuse flow from the far field all along its underground path. This set forms an OTS in which we studied two configurations. The first (AW) assumes that no conductive heat is lost in the CS water, neither between the PFM water and the aquifer embedding rocks; nor between CS and PFM through the permeable separation wall. The second (CW) takes into account the conductive heat dissipation in CS water, in PFM and the dispersion of heat by conduction through the PFM wall. These equations have been rescaled that leads to a new system of equations where four groups of dimensionless numbers measure the relative magnitudes of the various conductive and advective terms. Solving these equations in both configurations with strictly similar thermal and dynamic, boundary conditions allows assessing a first order of the errors induced by the conservative tracer assumption for temperature.

However, it is clear that our results lead only to a first-order information about this error because the method cannot completely eliminate or estimate other sources of error. Indeed, in order to proceed to the numerical solving of the mass and energy equations we need to consider laminar flows, in a saturated CS. Furthermore, we must keep in mind that the method is better applied during the recession periods when the hydraulic regime of the fluviokarst is as close as possible of a steady state. Further studies are needed, to go beyond the first results presented in this paper. However, some of our results seem encouraging since whereas the variability of karst systems has largely been accounted by the range extent of the parametric exploration, errors in the
temperature assumption for particular karstic system by reversing the scaling scheme. This quite simple calculation allows quick retrieving of the error in the physical space thank to the morphological and hydrological properties of a particular fluviokarstic system. The comparison of model results with field data open the possibility of critical analyses and may offer a decision-making support for its applicability to local cases. If we focus our attention on the illustrative example given by the Cent-Fonts fluviokarstic system, Figure 4 shows that the relative error $\varepsilon'(x)$

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{Parametric study of the error $e'(x)$ versus Pe and Red numbers. Evolution of the dimensionless error $\varepsilon'(x)$ (Eq. 15) versus Pe (at constant Red, top panels) and versus Red (at constant Pe, lower panels). Left panels show the evolution of $\varepsilon'(x)$ in the CS while the right panels give a synthetic view of the errors reached at the output of the CS ($x=1$) versus the dimensionless parameters.}
\end{figure}

dimensionless space are limited to less than 1% (actually 0.0092 to 0.007). The error volume formed by the error curves, due to the variations of these two parameters, converges to zero for their extremal values. Meanwhile, the maximum of errors are reached for median parameter values that are characteristic of realistic karstic system in both morphology and hydrological considerations.

From the results obtained in the non-dimensional space, it is possible to evaluate an upper bound of the first order of the error induced by the conservative
reaches, 0.00613 at the exit of the resurgence in the dimensionless field \( (Pe = 1.50 \times 10^4 \) and \( Re_d = 4.29 = 10^6) \). When rescaled in the physical domain, the error \( \varepsilon(x) \) indicates a temperature disparity \( T_{CW} - T_{AW} = 1.77 \, ^\circ C \) \( (0.00613 \times 288.50) \). It is clear that this information can be used to infer potential propagation of uncertainties in calculations or cooling rates. It can also be used to propose a calibration of the effects of the conservative temperature approximation depending on the equations and on the dimensionless properties of the karstic system (Machetel and Yuen, in preparation). In these next works, we will focus on examining that the theoretical evaluation of the error proposed in this work is compatible with the thermal data available on other karst systems. Indeed, at the sight of this study, it seems possible to use the results from a theoretical analysis to coerce information on internal thermal conditions of the karstic system. The results seem open interesting research opportunities that may be applicable to other systems whose workings are often described in terms of “black box”, as geothermal or hydrothermal studies.

Appendix : Cent-Fonts resurgence (Hérault, France)

The Cent-Fonts resurgence is the base level outlet of a fluviokarstic system, which watershed covers an area of 40-60 km\(^2\) (Figure 5). This basin is located north of Montpellier, on the right bank of the Hérault River within a thick dolomitic Middle and Late Jurassic limestone sequence.

Several structural, geological, geochemical and hydrological studies were devoted to this karstic system for several decades (Paloc, 1967; Camus, 1997; Petelet et al., 1998; Schoen et al., 1999; Ladouche et al., 2002; Petelet-Giraud, 2003; Aquilina et al., 2005; Ladouche et al., 2005; Aquilina et al., 2006, Marechal et al., 2008; Dörfliger et al., 2009). The watershed is bounded to the north and northeast by the Cevennes fault, the surface course of the intermittent Buèges stream, and southeast by the Hérault River, which drains its base level. The watershed encompasses the upper course of the Buèges stream, which flows on an impermeable Triassic outcrop until it reaches a sinkholes area crossing a batonian dolomitic area a few kilometers downstream from Saint-Jean-de-Buèges (Figure 5). From that point, the Buèges stream surface course forms a valley mostly dried up that joins the Hérault River a few kilometers upstream of the confluence with the Lamalou. On the other hand, the underground pathway from Buèges sinkhole to the Cent Fonts resurgence was established by tracing (Dubois, 1962; Schoen et al., 1999).
The Cent-Fonts karstic system takes root in a dolomite layer Bathonian of 150 to 300 m thick and probably extends into the layer of Aalénien - Bajocian underlying. It forms a fluviokarst by catching the Buèges Stream loss at the Sint-Jean-de-Buèges sinkhole and by draining the watershed rainfalls which percolate through an upper Jurassic epikarstic layer (Petelet-Giraud et al., 2000). Therefore, the Cent-Fonts karstic system is similar to the conceptual model of White (Figure 1a) with a CS collecting sinkholes losses and a diffuse infiltration flowing from a PFM into the CS. After 5 km of underground path (as the crow flies), the CS poors through the Cent-Fonts resurgence in the Herault River which drains the base level of the karstic system. The resurgence discharges through a shallow network of springs that flow a few tens of centimeters above the Hérault (Schoen et al, 1999). During the dry season, the resurgence discharge ranges from 0.250 to 0.340 m$^3$/s summer (Maréchal et al., 2008). The detailed structure of the CS near the resurgence output (Figure 6) has been explored by divers (Vasseur, 1993). In the mapped area, the CS cross section ranges between 4 to 16 m$^2$ (Dörfliger et al., 2009). The Cent-Fonts resurgence has undergone numerous field observations since 1997. Several years of flow measurements have been recorded to calibrate the base flow of the Cent-Fonts resurgence, of the Buèges stream and the losses in the sinkhole area. A pumping test campaign was conducted during the summer of 2005 by BRGM under contracting authority of Conseil Général de l’Hérault. This campaign provides to scientists many temperature and flow records from surface and deep holes measurements (Ladouche et al., 2005).
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**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronyms</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>Adiabatic Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Conduit System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Conductive Wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Control volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTS</td>
<td>Open Thermodynamic System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFM</td>
<td>Porous Fractured Matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not.</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_m$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>1.42 $10^{-6}$</td>
<td>Matrix thermal diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_w$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>1.43 $10^{-7}$</td>
<td>Water thermal diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>5 $10^3$</td>
<td>CS Lengh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_w$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>surfacic discharge of PFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_i$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>discharge of stream sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_s$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>discharge of spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>radial coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_h$</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>half hydraulic radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>(s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T(x,r)$</td>
<td>($^°$K)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_x$</td>
<td>($^°$K)</td>
<td>285.35</td>
<td>far field temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_s$</td>
<td>($^°$K)</td>
<td>295.25</td>
<td>Temperature of stream sink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fluid velocity vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_r(x,r)$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Velocity r component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_x(x,r)$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Velocity x component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>4.29 $10^{-3}$</td>
<td>Velocity scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>(m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>abscisse coordinate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dimensionless numbers**

- $D = \frac{D_m}{D_w}$
- $Pe = \frac{LV}{D_m}$
- $Pr = \frac{v}{D_w}$
- $Red = \frac{(2V r_h) \cdot 0.429}{10^6}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensionless numbers</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Thermal diffusivity ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pe$</td>
<td>Conduit Peclet number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Red$</td>
<td>Conduit Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cent-Fonts karstic system takes root in a dolomite layer Bathonian of 150 to 300 m thick and probably extends into the layer of Aalénien - Bajocian underlying. It forms a fluviokarst by catching the Buèges Stream loss at the Sint-Jean-de-Buèges sinkhole and by draining the watershed rainfalls which percolate through an upper Jurassic epikarstic layer (Petelet-Giraud et al., 2000). Therefore, the Cent-Fonts karstic system is similar to the conceptual model of White (Figure 1a) with a CS collecting sinkholes losses and a diffuse infiltration flowing from a PFM into the CS. After 5 km of underground path (as the crow flies), the CS poors through the Cent-Fonts resurgence in the Herault River which drains the base level of the karstic system. The resurgence discharges through a shallow network of springs that flow a few tens of centimeters above the Hérault (Schoen et al, 1999). During the dry season, the resurgence discharge ranges from 0.250 to 0.340 m$^3$/s summer (Maréchal et al., 2008). The detailed structure of the CS near the resurgence output (Figure 6) has been explored by divers (Vasseur, 1993). In the mapped area, the CS cross section ranges between 4 to 16 m$^2$ (Dörfliger et al., 2009). The Cent-Fonts resurgence has undergone numerous field observations since 1997. Several years of flow measurements have been recorded to calibrate the base flow of the Cent-Fonts resurgence, of the Buèges stream and the losses in the sinkhole area. A pumping test campaign was conducted during the summer of 2005 by BRGM under contracting authority of Conseil Général de l’Hérault. This campaign provides to scientists many temperature and flow records from surface and deep holes measurements (Ladouche et al., 2005).
References


