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Agenda
Wednesday, November 6th, 2013
6:00 PM

I. Attendance
   a. List Guests
   b. Announce Absences

II. Additions/Deletions to Agenda

III. Additions/Deletions to Minutes
   - Minutes from 10/23/2013

IV. Open Forum

V. Judicial Reports

VI. SGATO Report

VII. New Business
   a. PATS Proposal: Attorney General and Solicitor General roles in parking appeals
   b. Veterans Chili Cook-off recap
   c. Judicial Summit: SP recap
   d. Dustin Sachs update
   e. New Justice(s) Hiring progress
   f. Plan for spring training: with SP and SM

VIII. Announcements

IX. Adjournment
Bryan Buenaventura (BB): I call this meeting to order. The time is 6:02pm. The day is Wednesday November 6th, 2013. Present is myself Bryan Buenaventura, Daniel Shapiro (DS), Sammy Hamed (SH), Michael Kalmowicz (MK), Corey McCance (CM), our clerk Brandon Telchi. From SGATO we have Katherine Burkhard (KB); from executive branch we have Shaheen Nouri (SN) and deputy attorney general (inaudible). As guests we have Marie Bowen (MB) from parking and transportation services, as well as Shirley Void (SV). Are there any additions/deletions to the agenda? Are there any motions to approve this agenda as is?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, the agenda is now approved. Is there a motion to approve the minutes from October 23rd 2013?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, the minutes from October 23rd are now approved. Is there a motion to move out of additions/deletions to minutes and into open forum?

MK: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in open forum. Does anyone have anything to say? I would just like to say thank you again for coming to our meeting. Is there a motion-if there’s nothing further, is there a motion to move out of open forum and into judicial reports?

DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in judicial reports. We can go ahead and go down the line starting with myself: I am very proud of both Michael Kalmowicz and Corey McCance, the chili cook-off was a great success; we were pretty much the only student government representation there and I feel that we did a good job to the veterans and the chili was awesome. So we can go ahead and talk about that a little bit more in new business later on, but that’s all I have to report for now. Daniel?

DS: on Friday I attended the judicial summit in St. Petersburg, which I’d say went incredibly well. I’ve helped to work on this proposal for parking and transportation services over the past few weeks; and this morning, or this afternoon, I sat in on the hiring committee for the hiring of new associate justices. And that’s about it.

BB: alright, Mr. Hamed?

SH: sure, well I also attended the judicial summit last Friday, and it was really successful. I’d also like to give a quick shout out to Mr. Kalmowicz and McCance for the chili cook-off today; I thought it was really cool that we were out there. Just in keeping up with bull market and outreach and things like that. Rachel Canning from exec had that SG flyer thing completely approved and is good to go; so we’ll be
taking that to student orgs shortly. And the rest of my report is pretty much covered in new business so I’ll hold off until then.

BB: okay, Mr. Kalmowicz do you have anything to report?

MK: yeah, I’d like to recap-obviously we’ll talk about it more in new business-but I just wanted to say thank you to Corey McCance, he was a major part in making the chili; and while we didn’t win, in my opinion you were first place so... thank you Corey again for all of your help and what you’ve done for the chili cook-off; it was a lot of fun and it was great participating and being a part of the veteran’s fair department and helping them out. And end report.

BB: okay, and Mr. McCance?

CM: yeah, thanks guys for all of the kudos, and it was a lot of fun cooking it; my kitchen now looks like a chili atom bomb went off, but you know, regardless of whether or not we won or lost-won or not-the chili went fast and everybody seemed to enjoy, and that’s what mattered. I do want to real quick touch on-we’re not having a meeting today for the travel committee, but I do want to let you guys know that I have solidified the first judge and I have the name if any of you want to look her up to find out more about her. Her name is Angela M. Cox, she’s a former assistant state attorney and now she deals in criminal law, and that’s the one that we’re going to be sitting in on her trial Friday morning so... and that’s all I have for today.

BB: alright, thank you for that. Is there a motion to move out of judicial reports and into SGATO report?

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in SGATO report: Katherine?

KB: thank you. I will not be here next week and because of that I wanted to go ahead and give you guys a heads up that because of the university being closed next Monday, the way they’re going to do pay roll is going to be a bit different; and so you will receive your timesheets next, it will be November 14th, and you’re going to need to have them back into Tammy by November 15th, so please have that on your radar, and give your timesheets back into her as quickly as possible.

BB: okay

KB: and that’s it.

BB: thank you so much. Is there a motion to move out of SGATO report and into new business?

MK: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in new business. And the first item under new business is the PATS proposal. And in order to effectively discuss the proposal, I’m going to ask for a motion for an unmoderated caucus...
DS: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in an unmoderated caucus, and the time is 6:07.

BB: okay, we are now back on the record. The time is 6:14pm. Present is-present is everyone that was originally at the beginning of the meeting is accounted for; and we have an additional guest: Cocco-Senator Cocco. And what we discussed during the unmoderated caucus was the proposal that we had made for parking and transportation services. Marie Bowen will report back to parking and transportation services with the proposal, and we will also provide all relative documents such as the statutes where it states the university policies that the attorney general-legal description of what the attorney general does. And we will also possibly meet in the future when PATS has all of the documents needed; and once they meet with their legal counsel, see what their next action that there is-that we need to do. So what we’re going to do now is move down the list of new business and we can recap the veteran chili cook-off so either of you want to just give a brief recap of what the results where and all of that?

MK: so we didn’t win, unfortunately, but well-

CM: we did have a pretty good pretty good turnout. Our chili was gone before anybody else’s was. We had a pretty big interest; especially from the veterans affairs. A lot of the military personnel and veterans affairs members were coming back for seconds so we got some nice outreach and you know, people were coming over asking what is this, and we’re the court, letting people know what we do, and so it was overall pretty successful.

MK: to add to that, we also need to get our robes cleaned because some chili-

CM: just the two extra robes

BB: okay

SV: if I may interject, next time around contact me, the Jamaican (inaudible)

(inaudible)

CM: looking forward to that

BB: okay, next on the-under new business, is judicial summit recap. I can go ahead and say and I can definitely tell you guys that Sarasota and St. Pete, they before didn’t have parking appeals, they wanted to have the same process that we had, and a year ago they didn’t have both campuses didn’t have it, and now Sarasota-Manatee now has initial review of parking appeals and so does St. Pete, they’re in the process of getting that as well; so they both have contacted with their equivalent, I know they don’t have transportation services over there, but pretty much their parking services, they established that relationship already to get parking appeals.
SV: just so you know, they mimic or buddy on a lot of their processes and policies from us. So the process that we’re going through—the bidding process for the new permits for next year—and everything we do they do in tandem with us so I expect it’s going to be very similar, so I’m sure your influence and input is going to be much appreciated by them.

BB: okay. Did anyone else have anything they remember or took with them for the judicial summit that we had? It looks like Sarasota-Manatee is doing a really good job. They’ve gone a long ways, and we see that every time we go and visit them; they’ve done strides of progress of what they’ve done when we initially met them. And St. Pete I believe still needs a little bit more help, but they’re getting there.

DS: yeah. I think these summits are incredibly effective, and I always come back with loads of ideas from them and just learning from the other campuses, and I’m sure they do the same from us. I want to make sure we continue doing these for as long as possible.

JC: chief, I want to thank you for your leadership; ensuring that our system is united as one, we couldn’t have done it without your leadership so we’re thankful for that.

BB: thank you senator Cocco. Now the next item on the agenda is Dustin Sachs’ update: Dustin Sachs is the person who was the chief justice in 2003-2004 who actually did the proposal to PATS for us to have parking appeals, and he was supposed to be scheduled to come in today to you know join in on the conversation, but he is busy, you know, he is I believe now a practicing attorney and so it looks like he will not be able to come this semester, but he’ll come in the spring, so I want to see if we can possibly arrange something where he can come and Mike Griffin possibly, because they both are still very good friends, and come talk about the history of the judicial branch. And I’ve spoke to him and told them all of our recent successes and he’s very proud of us; he’s proud to see that something that he helped pretty much propose to PATS is still going on 10 years strong, and so hopefully this will continue on for many more years. As far as the next item on the agenda, new justice hiring, I had the opportunity to sit in on the hiring committee as a non-voting member, and I have other associate justice interviews that are lined up for tomorrow; for the ones that I can’t make either Daniel Shapiro or Sammy Hamed will sit in on them and make recommendations to the committee; so, so far I’ve only seen one and I think Daniel has seen another interviewee and for the rest of the week we’ll be listening to more interviews, and possibly have two appointed interviews—or two appointed justices by the end of this week or next week. So expect to see new faces. And being that there will be new faces, we need to start planning for training; so I’m going to assign both the senior justice and ranking justice for preparing some sort of training, and possibly I’ll help as well on this if we can implement the other campuses-St. Pete and Sarasota-in the training. Did anyone have anything additional to talk about either hiring or training? If not, then we can go ahead and—is there a motion to close new business and move into announcements?

MK: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now in announcements. Does anyone have anything to announce?
MK: I would actually like to make an announcement. Monday night, I believe many of you guys will be attending the Monday night football game; I just wanted to let you guys know that I will be having a tailgate at 1 and everyone in student government is invited. And no money—you don’t have to pay any money or anything; it’s all on me, so please come.

SV: where exactly is that?

MK: it’s going to be at Raymond James of course, I’m going to start roughly around 2pm.

BB: okay. Thank you for the invite. Are there any further announcements?

JC: yes, I just want to thank PATS for the relationship and the open ears, so thank you for that.

BB: thank you, thank you. If there are no further announcements, is there a motion to move out of announcements and into adjournment?

SH: so moved

BB: are there any objections? Seeing none, we are now adjourned at 6:22pm.