

Fall 2017

# The Digital Monstrosity

Alexander Cendrowski

University of South Florida, [acendrowski@usf.edu](mailto:acendrowski@usf.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/eng\\_dtf\\_dpr](https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/eng_dtf_dpr)

---

## Scholar Commons Citation

Cendrowski, Alexander, "The Digital Monstrosity" (2017). *All Digital Pedagogy Resources*. 18.  
[https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/eng\\_dtf\\_dpr/18](https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/eng_dtf_dpr/18)

This Literature Courses is brought to you for free and open access by the English Department Digital Teaching Fellows: Digital Pedagogy Resources at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Digital Pedagogy Resources by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact [scholarcommons@usf.edu](mailto:scholarcommons@usf.edu).

# The Digital Monstrosity

## Assignment Sheet

Back in my day, using the internet meant waiting the ten minutes and 16 screeching beeps before the dial-up connector started twirling. Back then, everyone had an AIM account, a kind of archaic pseudo-communication involving almost no vowels and *many* :) responses. Of course, we sometimes had to make hard choices back in those days, usually between using the internet, making a phone call, or micro-waving some leftover bagel bites. The internet was fickle back then, and not to be trusted—if you believed your parents, which mostly we didn't.

Nowadays, the internet is different. The average person owns not only a phone that accesses the internet, but communicates with hundreds of people a day. Our president is a Twitter troll. We live in a digital age, whether we like it or not.

If you've ever read or watched or heard science fiction, you know that changing technology necessarily changes the stories we tell. Humans as a species evolved technologically in order to supplement our biology, and a huge part of that development has been in communication, in storytelling. Radio, film, video games—writing itself—are all technological improvements, and they all help us experience new and different stories. And as Lit-scholars, it's our responsibility to at least try to interpret these stories (even if they're *very* confusing to us).

**So here's what we're going to do:** we're going to tackle the prospect of interpreting digital storytelling. You're going to **find a digital story**, as best you define it. This can be a poem, or a story, or a screenplay—so long as it's "born-digital" (aka, it could not be made without using digital tools). That's the trick of it: **you're going to find a piece of digital storytelling we haven't yet discussed, and part of your assignment will be showing the rest of us how it works.**

Your guiding questions to ask yourself for this assignment are:

**“How does the medium and form of a piece of writing influence me?  
How does the interaction invoked by Ergodic Literature influence my  
ability to access a piece of literature?”**

Your objective for this project is to analyze what a digital story is as you define it. You'll write a short analysis of how it works, and you'll present it to us in a short (~3 minute) demo. You can find some here: <https://collection.eliterature.org/>

# The Digital Monstrosity

## Points of Evaluation & Deliverables

### The Digital Story Presentation:

- You find a “born-digital” piece of writing that shows \_\_\_ / 2pts appropriate possibility for interpretation—here meaning the story has depth and possibility for literary analysis.
- You will present a demo “defense” of your found story \_\_\_ / 3pt on the due date (below) which will help to show your classmates how your story works both functionally and as a piece of literature.

### The Meta Letter:

- Your letter is a **full page, single-spaced**.<sup>1</sup> \_\_\_ / 1pt
- You discuss what the influence of your selected digital story’s form was on its content. How does it compare to “traditional” literature? \_\_\_ / 2pt
- You analyze the purpose and effect of your piece. What does the piece’s technologically-dependent aspects affect in your overall interpretation? Why does it appear to have been built the way it was? \_\_\_ / 2pt

TOTAL: \_\_\_ / 10pts

---

<sup>1</sup> I know this seems overly format-important, but using all of this space is crucial to forcing yourself to delve deeper into your process as a reader. This is a space for introspection, and if you short that, you learn less about yourself.