2009

Preventive Health Engineering in Earlier and Later Life

Johanna E.M.H. Bronswijk
Eindhoven University of Technology

William D. Kearns
University of South Florida, kearns@usf.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/mhs_facpub

Scholar Commons Citation
http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/mhs_facpub/97

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Rehabilitation and Mental Health Counseling at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Rehabilitation and Mental Health Counseling Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact scholarcommons@usf.edu.
Historically, a reduction in mortality has been followed by a reduction in fertility in the human population. After the fertility transition, the population as a whole has aged. Apparently this is happening on all continents, although not necessarily at the same pace or time frame. With middle aged and older persons constituting the majority, the call for quality of life up to a high age is heard more loudly. Preserving autonomy and independence up to high ages are two major determinants of perceived quality of life. To translate this perception into reality calls for a large scale reduction in chronic conditions that contribute most to present morbidity. Major chronic illnesses are about 70% preventable by changes in life style or technological environment. The Compression of Morbidity paradigm envisions a reduction in cumulative lifetime morbidity through primary prevention by postponing the age of onset of disease or disability by a proportionately longer period in a lengthening life expectancy. It has become the dominant paradigm in health improvement programs for successful aging that may now increase the healthy life period with up to 10 years after interventions in different life phases. The possible role of preventive health engineering in compressing morbidity is the subject of this contribution.
Life Style

In the health sciences the following indicators of chronic disease are recognized: coronary heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, kidney disease, arthritis, osteoporosis, lung cancer, colorectal cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), asthma, depression, and oral health disorders. As risk factors for the related health outcomes the health literature includes life-style factors, such as tobacco smoking, physical inactivity, alcohol abuse, overweight and obesity, nutrition, and some life-style-resulting physiological effects: hypertension, elevated cholesterol levels, impaired glucose tolerance, and proteinuria. Also psychosocial factors (sense of control or resilience, social support and social exclusion, emotional wellbeing / stress) are mentioned as well as early life factors (maternal health, low birth weight, childhood infections, abuse and neglect)9. Other, mainly physical, environmental factors are commonly left out.

Compressing the morbidity of chronic conditions is largely seen as a result of intervention in life style. However, classical health promotion is quite ineffective in changing human behaviour, including that of older persons10. One of the problems is that a person's interest in his/her health depends on the life phase s/he is in. In early life (1st [youth] and 2nd [working & family forming]), there is little interest in expected health in the 3rd phase (active retirement) or 4th one (frailty). However, prevention calls for action early in the lifespan since it includes primary prevention as well as secondary and tertiary prevention endeavors11. To the much used Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)12, the emerging field of persuasive technology has been added, which is an upcoming branch of (health) engineering arising in the final decade of the last century13 which promises good results by endeavouring to change life styles through gaming as well as unobtrusive coaching activities. Persuasive technology is currently gaining slow acceptance in the preventive health sciences.

Environmental exposure

Relevant environmental risk factors for developing chronic conditions go well beyond life style; they include the physical and social environment. Intense light and sound exposures are related to age-related losses in visual and hearing acuity14. Indoor air quality is an important determinant of the development of asthma, COPD and lung cancer, while ‘Legionella-low’ potable and industrial water practically eliminates the risk of Veterans’ disease15. Ergonomics has revealed the long-term effects of unbalanced postures and movements on development of mobility disorders, not only in relation to a person’s behaviour and tasks, but also as induced by faulty work and sports environments16. Social psychology has stressed the beneficial and detrimental influences of family and friends on the development of cognitive and emotional disorders11.

Below are some examples of the effects of air, water, light intensity and noise on physical health. Pulmonary, hearing and vision problems all contribute to a loss of independence of older persons.

Environmental adjustments

In the Western World, our environment is mainly a man-made environment; therefore, improving technology has a major role in preventing noxious exposures. Ambient intelligence is grounded in ubiquitous computing and human-centric computer interaction; its systems and technologies are embedded in networked devices that are context aware, can be tailored to personal needs and wishes, and have both adaptive and anticipatory qualities17. Altering of the physical environment in order to prevent functional decline falls well within the construct of ambient intelligence.

Inhaled air

Common chronic diseases that are related to the quality of indoor air such as asthma, COPD and lung cancer have both hereditary and environmental causal factors. The
known environmental factors include allergens (mainly derived from mites, pets and fungi), and non-allergenic products (arising from smoking and household activities)\textsuperscript{18}. The effect of the noxious agents appears to be cumulative, starting very early in life. In atopic allergies new sensitizations are frequent, and the 8-year remission rate varies from 8-29\%\textsuperscript{19}.

Ventilation, heating and air treatment technologies to prevent mite and fungal growth and to remove most other pollutants are available and should be applied from the earliest age onward. However, adaptive management systems that respond to detected indoor pollution levels have not yet been implemented in dwellings\textsuperscript{7}. Office building management systems include rudimentary static air management. A more dynamic and effective approach responsive to actual risk levels has not yet been introduced into ambient intelligence concepts\textsuperscript{20}.

**Water**

Continuous quality monitoring and assessment of potable water has also not yet been implemented in ambient intelligence concepts nor in building management systems. Here, too, much stands to be gained by its introduction. In the developed world, management of Legionella bacteria causing Pontiac fever and Legionnaires’ disease takes the highest priority, especially in countries such as the Netherlands, where chlorination of potable water is avoided due to environmental concerns\textsuperscript{15,21}. The vulnerability of adults age 60-64 to this bacterium in shower water is increased 10 fold compared to ages 40-44, while at age 75-79 it rises more than 100 fold\textsuperscript{23}. Surviving victims of a major outbreak in the Netherlands have been followed for about a decade and showed a high prevalence of both chronic mental and physical conditions that forced people from work, leisure activities, and reduced their autonomy\textsuperscript{22,23}. It is surprising that fully preventable Legionella risk does not hold prominent place in modern health engineering.

**Noise & sound**

Hearing loss in older adults may be attributable to both environmental and genetic causes. Currently we do not know what proportion of hearing loss is preventable by avoiding intense sounds common to daily life, by silencing those technologies that produce noise, by using ear plugs, by changing life style, or by soundproofing man-made environments. However, ambient noise or noise pollution has a broader effect on health, ranging from disturbed concentration and sleep disruptions to the degradation of residential, social, working, and learning environments\textsuperscript{24,25}. Static guidelines can never be formulated to take into account all these effects at all times. A more dynamic and responsive system having sensors and actuators is needed to guarantee a healthy sound/noise environment.

**Light intensity**

The eye continuously perceives ambient electromagnetic radiation in the visible range (400-700 nm), but is also exposed to the other frequencies. The visible band of radiation is interpreted by the brain as visual information and also establishes human circadian rhythm. Standards and guidelines for ambient lighting determine intensities needed for reading and other activities, or the light stimulation levels needed to maintain health\textsuperscript{26}. Chronic effects of intense light may lead to eye damage, including age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation. Excessive light exposure among older adults is more risky than for younger individuals, since biological repair processes at the cellular level become less efficient with age\textsuperscript{27}. Outside the visible range, ultraviolet B has been found associated with cataract, a condition each older adult experiences sooner or later\textsuperscript{28}. Cataracts diminish light falling on the retina and reduce the influence of visible light on circadian rhythms, leading to a decrease in quality of life. The optimal range of intensities of visible and invisible light and lighting appear to be dependent on age and environment, necessitating adaptable and adaptive options.
AUTOMATION AND FREEDOM OF CHOICE

The mechanisms to manage the environments mentioned earlier require automated data collection and functional integration. The computerization of the home environment brings together concerns about privacy, sensitivity of data, and other ethical issues, for healthy, autonomous persons and for those affected with dementia. Current privacy policies and regulations lag behind technological developments, especially given society’s move into an era of ambient intelligence that broadens the possibilities for data collection in kind, frequency and quantity. Also, increasingly complex interface design limits personal control in ambient intelligence environments; the user has to trust the system sufficiently in order to work with it comfortably. So called ‘fear of (new) technology’ is still wide-spread and can only be conquered by the combined efforts of psychology, technology and appropriate legislation, preferably as an international endeavour.

INDUSTRIES INTEREST

In a small sample of new products selected by presentations for the GerontechnoPlatform in Paris, and whose claims survived peer review (Table 1) a strong emphasis exists on health, but little attention has been paid to leisure, work, communication and governance of older adults. Most products mentioned appear to originate from technology vendors seeking an application for their product, so called ‘technology push’ initiatives. The ambitions of older adults are still not being used as the starting point for product development. For preventive health engineering, primary prevention is a need still unfilled. Preventive products concern secondary or tertiary prevention.

COSTS AND GAINS

It is a challenge for gerontechnology, especially preventive health engineering, to show health improvements resulting directly from the combined actions of gerontological and technological research, design and engineering for improving daily environments in a sustainable way.

Assessing costs and gains at the population level is useful for showing societal sustainability of preventive health engineering measures. The first question to be answered is the monetary value of a healthy year. In 2001 the World Health Organization published its report on ‘Macroeconomics and Health’ in which the WHO accepts a value from up to 3 times the Gross National Product (GNP)/capita as the socially acceptable worth of 1 extra healthy year in developed countries, to a value of only 1 times the GNP/capita for developing nations. GNP/capita varies greatly; in 2007 from US$ 110 (Burundi) to 77,370 (Norway), setting the maximum cost of technological interventions to gain 1 healthy year at ≈US$100 to ≈US$230,000, depending on country.

The next question to be answered is whether available preventive health engineering can be produced and implemented within the cost constraints mentioned above. For the Netherlands, Franchimon et al. showed that the cost of preventive engineering interventions affecting clean indoor air for all
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