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ABSTRACT: To understand the composition and photosynthetic carbon fixing activities of natural phytoplankton communities, we employed group-specific ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase (RubisCO) large subunit gene probes (rbcL) to examine RubisCO gene expression. The rbcL genes from Synechococcus PCC6301 (cyano) and from Cylindrotheca sp. (chromo) were used as probes at select stations to examine levels of rbcL mRNA in specific size fractions (>5 μm, 1-5 μm, <1 μm) in surface waters of the mouth of Tampa Bay (estuarine), West Florida Shelf (coastal), and from the offshore Gulf of Mexico. Using DNA purified from algal isolates, we demonstrated that the cyano probe was specific for the chlorophyte/cyanobacterial RubisCO evolutionary lineage and the chromo probe was specific for the chromophyte evolutionary lineage (diatoms, prymnesiophytes, and other non-green microalgae). For coastal/estuarine environments, both cyano and chromo rbcL mRNA was predominantly confined to the >5 μm size fraction, whereas in offshore oligotrophic environments, the cyano mRNA was associated with smaller cells (<1 μm). Similarly, 14C carbon fixation rates and chl a were predominantly associated with the >5 μm fraction in coastal/estuarine environments, while in offshore environments, a greater percentage was present in the <1 μm fraction. In profiles through the euphotic zone, cyano rbcL mRNA exhibited maximal values at depths above 65 m at all stations where the waters were dominated by Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus. In contrast, chromo rbcL mRNA increased with depth from undetectable levels in surface waters to its highest levels at or below the subsurface chlorophyll maximum (SCM, 67 m or deeper). Carbon fixation rates were generally elevated in both surface waters and around the SCM. The SCM was dominated by chromophytic picocyanobacteria, as detected by HPLC pigment analysis and flow cytometry. Such analyses are consistent with the rbcL gene probe patterns of euphotic zones of offshore oligotrophic environments. This study demonstrates the utility of group-specific gene probes for examining the expression of carbon fixing genes in phytoplankton and is a first approach to understanding the active phytoplankton community structure and its relationship to the fixation of inorganic carbon in marine environments.
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INTRODUCTION

Open ocean phytoplankton communities are taxonomically diverse (Platt & Li 1986, Tett & Barton 1995 and references therein) and both spatially and tempo-
ties appear to be the procaryotic cyanobacteria Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus (Chisholm et al. 1988, Olson et al. 1990a, b, Li 1995). From a carbon content and production standpoint the slightly larger eucaryotic ultradeep plankton, while less abundant, also seem to contribute significantly to water column carbon metabolism (Li et al. 1993, Li 1994). The varying importance of such groups appears to be partially determined by the physical structure of the water column, with procaryotes being more important under stratified conditions and eucaryotes becoming very abundant under conditions of seasonal mixing, as observed for Red Sea phytoplankton communities (Lindell & Post 1995). Such conditions have been documented in the North Atlantic where higher latitude communities exposed to greater mixing and lower temperatures are dominated by eucaryotic phytoplankton while communities south of 40°N were dominated by Prochlorococcus (Buck et al. 1996).

One of the most consistent global features of the open ocean is the subsurface chlorophyll maximum (SCM), which can occur near the surface during upwelling driven phytoplankton bloom conditions or more commonly near the base of the euphotic zone. The SCM is usually associated with a density discontinuity and resides between the 0.1 and 10% light level in conditions of a stable water column (Longhurst & Harrison 1989). However, the mechanisms responsible for its formation and maintenance differ widely. Most of the SCMs are explained by interactions of in situ phytoplankton growth associated with the nitracline (Harrison 1990), hydrography, motility characteristics, and photoadaptation (Cullen 1982). As well as differences in vertical structure of oceanic phytoplankton communities, variations between estuarine, coastal, and offshore phytoplankton communities can be just as dramatic. Estuarine, coastal, and open ocean phytoplankton communities are known to display a range of photosynthetic activities associated with various size fractions (Glover et al. 1986). Carbon fixation and chlorophyll are mainly associated with larger phytoplankton in estuaries, coastal environments, and open ocean communities displaying intense upwelling (Prézélin et al. 1987). However, most open ocean communities do not exist under such conditions and photosynthetic activity (Rubisco activity and carbon fixation) is generally attributed to small cells of the ultraplankton.

Several studies have approached the problem of measuring Rubisco gene expression in marine phytoplankton by employing Rubisco specific antibodies (Orellana & Perry 1992, 1995). We have presented preliminary data on the variation of rbcL gene expression (mRNA) through the euphotic zone for communities of both the offshore Gulf of Mexico (Pichard et al. 1993) and Lake Erie (USA) (Xu & Tabita 1996). The current study was undertaken to expand on these initial findings by surveying Rubisco gene expression in various size fractions and through the euphotic zone using 1 or 2 Rubisco probes derived from different algal evolutionary lineages. Such a study is fundamental to understanding the size class and spatial patterns of Rubisco gene expression and their relationship to carbon fixation in the various phytoplankton taxa that comprise the marine water column autotrophic community.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Phytoplankton culture and DNA isolation.** Eucaryotic and procaryotic algae were purchased from the Provasoli-Guillard Culture Collection of Marine Phytoplankton, West Boothbay Harbor, Maine (USA). Eucaryotic phytoplankton Pelagococcus subviridis CCMP1429, Prasinophyceae malaysianus CCMP1536, Skeletonema costatum CCMP775, and Thalassiosira oceanica CCMP1005 were grown in 4 l erlenmeyer flasks containing 2 l of f/2 media with (for diatoms) or without silica and amended with ampicillin (100 mg l⁻¹) and streptomycin (50 mg l⁻¹) to prevent bacterial growth (Guillard & Ryther 1962). Cultures were grown at -23°C with illumination provided by cool white fluorescent lights (~150 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) on a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle. The growth media was continuously sparged with 0.22 µm filter-sterilized air at 1.5 l min⁻¹ using a glass fritted bubbler. Tetraselmis sp. and Isochrysis galbana were provided by Y. Lu (University of South Florida, St. Petersburg, FL, USA) and grown in 500 ml of f/2 media in 1 l flasks. Cultures were harvested after 14 d growth by centrifugation at 3832 × g for 15 min ( Sorvall GS-3 rotor, Du Pont Co., Wilmington, DE, USA). Cell pellets were washed twice with 1/20 volume of f/2.

For eucaryotic phytoplankton total DNA was isolated by the method of Chesnick & Cattolico (1993) for unicellular algae. Total DNA was isolated by resuspending the algal pellet in 7 ml of lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 500 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and 200 µl of an aqueous 10 mg ml⁻¹ solution of Proteinase K for 10 min at 4°C. An additional 200 µl Proteinase K was added along with 1 ml of 20% N-laurylsarcosine and the mixture incubated at 75°C for 5 min. Cell breakage by a French Press was replaced by passage 15 times through a 22 gauge needle using a 10 ml syringe and passage repeated until no intact cells were observed by microscopy. The mixture was then extracted once with phenol:chloroform and then with chloroform and the DNA precipitated overnight at -40°C with 1/20 volume 3 M sodium acetate pH 5.0 and 2 volumes of 100% ethanol.
Procaryotic phytoplankton, *Prochlorococcus marinus* CCMP1377 (Sargasso Sea SS52), *Prochlorococcus marinus* MED (a gift from B. Palenik. Scripps Institute of Oceanography, Univ. of San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA), *Prochlorococcus* sp. Pacific, and *Synechococcus* sp. CCMP836 (WH8007) were grown in 1 l of media in either a 2 l acid washed glass flask (for *Synechococcus*) or in two 1 l acid washed polymethylpentene flasks (for *Prochlorococcus*) at 20°C on a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle for 10 d. Illumination was provided by cool white fluorescent lights at ~20 µE m⁻² s⁻¹. *Synechococcus* strains were cultured in SN media (Waterbury & Willey 1988) and *Prochlorococcus* strains in K/10 (–Cu) media (Chisholm et al. 1992). Both media were made with aged (>6 mo) offshore seawater collected from the Gulf of Mexico that was 0.22 µm filtered for all procaryotic phytoplankton except the *Prochlorococcus* sp. Pacific strain, the media for which was made with aged seawater from the Pacific Ocean. Cells were recovered by centrifugation at 3832 × g (Sorvall GS-3 rotor) for 20 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1/20 volume culture media and recentrifuged at 7796 × g (Sorvall SS-34 rotor). Cell pellets were stored frozen at ~80°C until further processing for DNA. *Synechococcus* sp. PCC6301 was cultured in BG11 (Pichard & Paul 1991) under the same conditions as for other *Synechococcus* strains. *Synechococcus* WH8002 and WH8003 were provided as cell pellets by A. M. Wood (University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA). Total DNA from all procaryotic cell pellets was isolated according to the method of Wood & Townsend (1990) with ultracentrifugation purification omitted. Both extracted procaryotic and eucaryotic phytoplankton DNAs were quantified by fluorometry using the DNA stain Hoechst 33258 (Paul & Myers 1982).

*Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNAs were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA. Purified marine bacteriophage HSIC-3 DNA was provided by C. Kellogg (University of South Florida).

Sampling procedures. Samples were collected during a cruise aboard the RV 'Suncoaster' in September 1993 (see Table 2). Sampling also occurred on cruises aboard the RV 'Pelican' in June 1993 (see Fig. 4) and August 1994 (cf. Table 2, Figs. 5 & 6) The following core parameters were sampled at all stations: ¹³C carbon fixation, cyano mRNA and DNA, chl a, and autofluorescent and bacterial direct counts. In addition, chromo mRNA and DNA, HPLC pigment analysis and flow cytometric cell counts were collected at profile stations on the August 1994 research cruise. Seawater samples were collected in mid-morning, between 09:30 and 12:00 h, at stations shown in Fig. 1. Surface (<5 m depth) seawater samples for size fractionation studies were collected by use of a Rule 1800 submersible pump (Glover et al. 1986, Prézelin et al. 1989), lowered over the side of the research vessel, and used to fill 20 l acid-washed polycarbonate carboys. Size fractionation was performed by filtration through 5 µm, 1 µm, and 0.6 µm Nuclepore polycarbonate filters under reduced vacuum (~15 mm Hg). The phytoplankton biomass in each filtrate was then collected onto filters for various analyses as described in Pichard et al. (1996). All profiling through the euphotic zone was accomplished by sampling with 5 and 20 l niskin bottles. Water from each depth was then transferred to 20 l acid-washed polycarbonate carboys covered with black plastic bags to avoid light shock. Temperature, salinity, in situ fluorescence, and oxygen partial pressure were determined using the onboard Seabird CTD, polarographic O₂ sensor and blue light fluorometer on the rosette sampler.

**Fig. 1** Location of stations sampled for Rubisco (cyano and chromo) *rbcL* mRNA, ¹³C carbon fixation, and other parameters. Stns 1A, 1B, 2, 3A, 3B, 4A, 12, and 14 were the locations of the size fractionated surface seawater studies. Stns 4B, 5, and 9 were the locations of profiles of gene expression through the euphotic zone.
**Rubisco mRNA and DNA analysis.** The extraction of *rbcL* mRNA was accomplished by a combination of guanidinium-isocitrate-phenol (GIPS) extraction coupled with bead-beating as previously described (Pichard et al. 1993, Paul & Pichard 1995). *rbcL* DNA extractions were performed by boiling lysis as in Pichard et al. (1993) and Paul & Pichard (1995). Both RNA and DNA blots were prepared as previously described (Pichard et al. 1993). Both sets of blots were probed only with the 2 *rbcL* probes (Fig. 2) derived from 2 major evolutionary lineages of Rubisco at Stns 1B, 4A, B, 9, and 12. At all other stations sampled both blots were probed only with the cyanobacterial probe. Hybridization conditions used were those described in Pichard & Paul (1991, 1993). An *rbcL* probe from *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 6301 was used to detect chlorophyte and cyanobacterial *rbcL* mRNA and DNA while an *rbcL* probe from the diatom *Cylindrotheca* sp. was used to detect chromophyte *rbcL* mRNA and DNA. These genes share only 60.9% sequence identity over the entire gene (1416 bp for *Synechococcus*; Genbank accession #K00486, and 1473 bp for *Cylindrotheca*. Genbank accession #M59060), as determined using the NALIGN program of PCGENE release 15.0 (Intelli-genetics Inc., Mountain View, CA). Sample RNA and DNA signals were compared to signals obtained from standard curves of in vitro synthesized cyanobacterial and chromophyte *rbcL* mRNA and DNA by use of a radioanalytic imaging system previously described (Pichard & Paul 1993). The limits of detection for such an analysis are in the range of 0.005 to 0.001 ng (Pichard & Paul 1993, Pichard et al. 1993).

**Chl a determinations and cell counts.** Samples for chl a were collected by filtration onto GF/F filters and stored frozen until analysis. Chl a concentrations in methanol extracts were determined fluorometrically (Holm-Hansen & Riemann 1978). Autofluorescent cell counts (yellow/orange-fluorescing: *Synechococcus* and chl a-fluorescing: red-fluorescing cells) were performed by an adaptation of the Vernet method (Vernet et al. 1990) and bacterial direct counts were performed by DAPI staining (Paul & Myers 1982) except where determined by flow cytometric methods.

**Flow cytometry analysis.** Samples for flow cytometric determination of the phytoplankton community and heterotrophic bacteria were collected at Stns 4B and 9, fixed with paraformaldehyde (0.2% final concentration), frozen in liquid nitrogen, and maintained at ~80°C until analyzed. Bacteria were stained with Hoechst 33342. Samples were analyzed as described in Campbell et al. (1994).

**Phytoplankton pigment analysis.** Algal pigments (13) analyzed in this study and their taxonomic affiliations are listed in Table 1. For pigment analyses, ~4 l of water was filtered under low vacuum through Whatman GF/F filters in duplicate and the filters immediately frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen for transfer to the laboratory. Samples were then stored at ~80°C until analysis. Filters were extracted in 100% acetone and the extracts analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography.

---

**Table 1. Major phytoplankton pigments utilized for analysis of the taxonomic composition of natural phytoplankton communities.** Table based on data from Wright et al. (1991), Millie et al. (1993), and Andersen et al. (1996). Groups shown in bold type are taxonomically diagnostic. *Members of the chromophyte assemblage.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pigment</th>
<th>Phytoplankton taxonomic group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chlorophylls</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a1</td>
<td>All taxa except Prochlorophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a2</td>
<td>Prochlorophytes only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl b1</td>
<td>Chlorophytes, Prasinophytes, Prochlorophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl b2</td>
<td>Prochlorophytes only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl c1 &amp; c2</td>
<td>Diatoms, Chrysophytes, Prymnesiophytes, Raphidophytes, Pelagophytes, Cryptophytes, Xanthophytes, Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carotenoids</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutein</td>
<td>Chlorophytes, some Prasinophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9'-Cis-neoxanthin</td>
<td>Chlorophytes, Prasinophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violaxanthin</td>
<td>Chlorophytes, Prasinophytes, Chrysophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasinoxanthin</td>
<td>Some Prasinophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fucloxanthin</td>
<td>Diatoms, Chrysophytes, Prymnesiophytes, Raphidophytes, Pelagophytes, Cryptophytes, Xanthophytes, Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diadinoxanthin</td>
<td>Prymnesiophytes, Pelagophytes, Raphidophytes, a few Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatoxanthin</td>
<td>Prymnesiophytes, Cryptophytes, a few Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19'-Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin</td>
<td>Prymnesiophytes, Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19'-Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin</td>
<td>Most photosynthetic Dinoflagellates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoxanthin</td>
<td>Cryptophytes, Cyanophytes, Prasinophytes, Prochlorophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peridinin</td>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeaxanthin</td>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ailoxanthin</td>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Plasmids pLC1 and pRLD1 used in this study to produce antisense (AS) RNA gene probes for different evolutionary types of \textit{rbcL} (RubisCO). Both the \textit{rbcL} genes from \textit{Synechococcus} sp. PCC6301 (cyano, cyanobacterial and chlorophyte type) and \textit{Cylindrotheca} sp. (chromo, chromophyte algal type) were subcloned into riboprobe pGEM3Z vectors to produce the constructs shown. Specificity of the probes to a variety of phytoplankton taxa is shown in Fig. 3.

\textbf{RESULTS}

The \textit{rbcL} gene probes from \textit{Synechococcus} PCC6301 and \textit{Cylindrotheca} sp. were tested against a variety of phytoplankton DNA targets at the hybridization conditions used for natural samples (Frischer et al. 1990) as shown in Fig. 3. The cyano gene probe hybridized to DNA from several marine \textit{Prochlorococcus} sp., \textit{Synechococcus} sp., and prasinophyte algae (Tetraselmis sp. and \textit{Prasinosphaera} \textit{malaysianus}) but did not yield a detectable signal with any of the chromophyte algae targets. Conversely, the chromo gene probe hybridized with several diatoms (\textit{Cylindrotheca} sp., \textit{Skeletonema} \textit{costatum}, and \textit{Thalassiosira} \textit{oceanica}), a pelagophyte alga (\textit{Pelagococcus} \textit{subviridis}), and a Prymnesiophyta alga (\textit{Isochrysis} \textit{galbana}) but not with any of the prochlorophytes, cyanobacteria or prasinophytes. Neither gene probe hybridized non-specifically with DNA from bacteria (\textit{Bacillus subtilis} and \textit{Escherichia coli}), a marine bacteriophage (HSIC-3), or yeast (\textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}).

\textbf{Size fraction experiments}

Surface water natural phytoplankton communities were sampled from $<5$ m depth at a variety of stations shown in Fig. 1. Size fraction data for parameters measured appear in Table 2. At a coastal/estuarine station (Stn 1A, B, entrance to Tampa Bay) cyano \textit{rbcL} mRNA,
Cyanobacteria / Chlorophytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>MED IV-1</td>
<td>Sargasso Sea</td>
<td>WH1902</td>
<td>WH1902</td>
<td>WH1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria</td>
<td>Chromophytes</td>
<td>Chromophytes</td>
<td>Chromophytes</td>
<td>Chromophytes</td>
<td>Chromophytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ng</td>
<td>5 ng</td>
<td>5 ng</td>
<td>5 ng</td>
<td>5 ng</td>
<td>5 ng</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Specificity of the cyanobacterial and chromophytes rbcL gene probes for a variety of target phytoplankton rbcL genes. Probes were hybridized to total DNA preparations from phytoplankton, as mentioned in the text, under the hybridization conditions used to detect rbcL DNA in natural phytoplankton communities. Bacterial, yeast, and bacteriophage DNA and sterile deionized water were used as negative controls.

Carbon fixation, and chlorophyll a were predominately found in the largest size fraction (>5 \( \mu m \)). Cyanobacterial rbcL DNA was approximately equally partitioned between the 1-5 \( \mu m \) and <1 \( \mu m \) fractions, with 10% of cyanobacterial DNA in the >5 \( \mu m \) fraction. At Stn 1B, chromophyte rbcL mRNA was all found in the >1 \( \mu m \) fraction while chlorophyte rbcL DNA was mainly in the <1 \( \mu m \) fraction. Red-fluorescing cells were mainly >1 \( \mu m \) in size while Synechococcus were either confined to the <1 \( \mu m \) size class (Stn 1B) or equally distributed between <1 and >5 \( \mu m \) size fractions, possibly due to aggregate formation.

At Stn 2, a coastal station, cyanobacterial rbcL mRNA was approximately equally partitioned between the >5 and <1 \( \mu m \) fractions. This pattern did not repeat itself for carbon fixation rates or chlorophyll a where the majority of carbon fixation was shifted towards the smaller size fractions (44% <1 \( \mu m \) and 31% in the 1-5 \( \mu m \)) and the majority of chlorophyll a appeared in the >5 \( \mu m \) (45%) and <1 \( \mu m \) (32%) fractions. The majority of the cyanobacterial DNA (70%) passed through the 1 \( \mu m \) filter. In this case, expression on a DNA (template) basis displayed a greater proportion of RNA per DNA in the >5 \( \mu m \) size fraction (mRNA:DNA = 87.5) and less in smaller size fractions 1-5 \( \mu m \) (mRNA:DNA = 10.3) and <1 \( \mu m \) (mRNA:DNA = 14.3). The majority (63%) of red cells were >5 \( \mu m \) while most Synechococcus were in the smaller size fractions of 1-5 \( \mu m \) (31%) and <1 \( \mu m \) (58%).

Other coastal stations sampled included a subtropical mangrove-fringed lagoon (Marquesas, Stn 12) and a station located on the far edge of southwestern Florida Bay (Stn 14). At Stn 12 cyanobacterial rbcL mRNA was found equally in both the >5 and <1 \( \mu m \) size fractions while at Stn 14 twice as much cyanobacterial mRNA was <1 \( \mu m \). Large amounts of chromophyte rbcL mRNA were found in the >5 \( \mu m \) fraction, at Stn 12, while small quantities of DNA (both cyanobacterial and chromophyte) were detected in all size fractions. Thus the cyanobacterial and chromophyte mRNA:DNA ratios indicate that the majority of Rubisco gene expression at Stn 12 is contained in >5 \( \mu m \) cells (cyanobacterial mRNA:DNA = 140), as might be expected in a subtropical lagoon environment. Similarly, the cyanobacterial mRNA:DNA ratios at Stn 14 also indicate the >5 \( \mu m \) fraction is the most active (mRNA:DNA = 105) even though twice as much mRNA is in the <1 \( \mu m \) fraction. For Stn 12 carbon fixation and chlorophyll a reflect a similar size distribution as seen at Stn 1A/1B since the overwhelming majority of both carbon fixation and chlorophyll a were in the >5 \( \mu m \) fraction (87 and 79%, respectively). Alternatively, the size distribution of carbon fixation at Stn 14 resembled that found at Stn 3A (an offshore environment) where carbon fixation is shifted towards phytoplankton of smaller size (74% <1 \( \mu m \)). At Stn 14 chlorophyll a size distribution patterns resembled those at Stn 2. At both stations (12 and 14) red cells were mainly present in the 1-5 \( \mu m \) size fraction while Synechococcus were mainly <1 \( \mu m \).

At Stns 3A and 3B, offshore oligotrophic environments, the majority of the cyanobacterial rbcL mRNA, carbon fixation, and chlorophyll a appears in the <1 \( \mu m \) size fraction (86, 51, and 56% for 3A, and 84, 55, and 69% for 3B, respectively). The majority of the red cells were 1-5 \( \mu m \) in size at Stn 3A and >5 \( \mu m \) at Stn 3B. Few red cells were observed in the <1 \( \mu m \) fraction at either station. At Stn 3A the majority of Synechococcus were <1 \( \mu m \) while at Stn 3B a larger portion were >5 \( \mu m \). Cyanobacterial rbcL DNA was found equally distributed between the <1 \( \mu m \) and >5 \( \mu m \) at Stn 3A and distributed amongst all 3 size classes examined at Stn 3B. At both stations the majority of the activity is contained in the <1 \( \mu m \) fraction (mRNA:DNA ranging from 27.6 to 32).

Stn 4A, another offshore oligotrophic environment, largely reflects the size fraction data for Stn 3A except that an additional size class, <0.6 \( \mu m \), was examined. Nearly 100% of the cyanobacterial rbcL mRNA signal apparently originated from the smallest size class, <0.6 \( \mu m \). Carbon fixation and chlorophyll a were approximately equally
Table 2. Rubisco (rbcL mRNA) gene expression and other parameters in various size fractions of surface water from the Gulf of Mexico. nd: not determined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stn</th>
<th>Cyanobacteria mRNA (ng l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cyanobacteria DNA (ng l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cyanobacteria mRNA:DNA</th>
<th>Chromothricin mRNA (ng l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chromothricin DNA (ng l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chromothricin mRNA:DNA</th>
<th>Carbon fix. (µg C l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Chl a (µg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>C. chl a</th>
<th>Synechococcus (10⁶ ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Red cells (10⁵ ml⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>August 1994</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 1A (27°35'N, 82°43'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>11.72 ± 2.96</td>
<td>0.059 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>6.336</td>
<td>1.014 ± 0.283</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>8.29 ± 4.7</td>
<td>1.76 ± 2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.127</td>
<td>0.305 ± 0.0947</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.586 ± 0.283</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.0283</td>
<td>2.40 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.245 ± 0.0</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.258 ± 0.006</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.19 ± 2.25</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 2 (27°24'N, 82°57'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>7.35 ± 3.15</td>
<td>0.084 ± 0.119</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.249 ± 0.0424</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.495</td>
<td>2.34 ± 2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.297</td>
<td>0.149 ± 0.211</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.127 ± 0.008</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.75 ± 2.46</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>7.83 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.547 ± 0.233</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.174 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>3.28 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 3A (27°04'N, 83°18'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>0.355 ± 0.502</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.217</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0464</td>
<td>0.0126 ± 0.00141</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.127</td>
<td>0.145 ± 0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.172 ± 0.066</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0472</td>
<td>0.0358 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.171 ± 0.033</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0674</td>
<td>0.0375 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 3B (26°42'N, 83°42'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.0141</td>
<td>0.258 ± 0.0947</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0283</td>
<td>0.0052 ± 0.00283</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.0990</td>
<td>0.227 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.269</td>
<td>0.189 ± 0.0933</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0273</td>
<td>0.0112 ± 0.00566</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.116 ± 0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.171 ± 0.033</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.0674</td>
<td>0.0375 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 12 (24°30'N, 82°28'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.0566</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.0396</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>10.54 ± 0.183 ± 0.2</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>1.767</td>
<td>0.679 ± 0.0438</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.0283</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.212</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.003</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.0 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.110 ± 0.0637</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.125 ± 0.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.0741 ± 0.013</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 14 (24°55'N, 81°28'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 µm</td>
<td>5.94 ± 1.26</td>
<td>0.0565 ± 0.0658</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.382 ± 0.0594</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.56</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 µm</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.778</td>
<td>0.0735 ± 0.104</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>0.239 ± 0.110</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>3.86 ± 1.98</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>10.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.184 ± 0.050</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.929</td>
<td>0.350 ± 0.012</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>9.22 ± 1.66</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>September 1993</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 1B (27°35'N, 82°43'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 µm</td>
<td>32.41 ± 0.297</td>
<td>0.179 ± 0.165</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>20.7 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.1</td>
<td>10350</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.198</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1 µm</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.092</td>
<td>0.146 ± 0.103</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.398 ± 0.035</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.49 ± 2.21</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 4A (25°53'N, 85°07'W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1 µm</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.116 ± 0.163</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.13 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0327 ± 0.00707</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.0266 ± 0.0377</td>
<td>0.0259 ± 0.0113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6-1 µm</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0005 ± 0.00707</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.0371 ± 0.00728</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.0254</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.6 µm</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.078 ± 0.033</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.119 ± 0</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.038</td>
<td>0.0014 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
distributed amongst the 3 size fractions. Cyano DNA was approximately equally partitioned in <0.6 μm and >1 μm size fractions. All chromo rbcL mRNA was >1 μm while all of the detected chromo rbcL DNA was contained in 0.6 to 1 μm and <0.6 μm size fractions. Synechococcus were found in all 3 size classes but the majority was contained in the <0.6 μm. The majority of red cells occupied the >1 μm fraction.

Correlation analysis of the size fraction data using a linear model yielded a significant relationship between rates of carbon fixation and cyano rbcL mRNA (r = 0.633, p = 0.0015). The same type of analysis between carbon fixation and chromo rbcL mRNA or total rbcL mRNA (cyano + chromo) generated relationships of lower confidence (r = 0.540, p = 0.211 and r = 0.670, p = 0.100, respectively). A stepwise multiple correlation analysis with carbon fixation as the dependent variable yielded a model in which variations in carbon fixation were related to variations in chl a, cyano rbcL mRNA and chromo rbcL mRNA concentrations. The cyano rbcL mRNA and chromo rbcL mRNA exhibited the highest correlations with Synechococcus concentrations (r = 0.614, p = 0.0023) and with chl a (r = 0.822, p = 0.023), respectively.

### Depth distribution of gene expression

rbcL gene expression, and other parameters were examined in profiles through the euphotic zone at 3 offshore oligotrophic stations in the Gulf of Mexico. At Stn 5 cyano rbcL mRNA increased with depth (Fig. 4) from a surface value of 5 ng l⁻¹ to a maximum of 30 ng l⁻¹ at 65 m, declining rapidly over the next 15 m to undetectable limits below 100 m. A subsurface maximum in carbon fixation was found 15 m deeper than the peak in cyano rbcL mRNA. This peak in carbon fixation was coincident with the subsurface chlorophyll maximum (SCM) and the maximal abundance in red cells. The subsurface peak in cyano rbcL mRNA appeared most associated with the small subsurface peak in Synechococcus and a slight elevation in cyano rbcL DNA. This peak in cyano mRNA and Synechococcus abundance was also the depth of the maximum dissolved oxygen concentration suggesting that the Synechococcus population was undergoing vigorous photosynthesis.

At Stns 4B and 9, rbcL gene expression was monitored using both the cyano and chromo gene probes. At Stn 4B (Fig. 5), cyano rbcL mRNA was most abun-
Fig. 5. Vertical profiles of cyano and chromo rbcL mRNAs, 14C carbon fixation, and other measured parameters at offshore Gulf of Mexico Stn 4B (August 1994). (A) Cyano rbcL mRNA, chromo rbcL mRNA, and 14C carbon fixation; (B) Cyano rbcL DNA and chromo rbcL DNA; (C) Flow cytometric determinations of Prochlorococcus and bacterial abundances; (D) Flow cytometric determinations of Synechococcus and picoeukaryote cell abundances; (E) CTD measurements of temperature, salinity, and in situ fluorescence; (F) Fluorometrically determined chl a (MEOH) and HPLC determined chl a1 & chl a2; (G, H) HPLC determined accessory chlorophylls and carotenoids.
dant in surface waters and declined with depth. Maximum values for carbon fixation were also observed in surface waters at this station although a smaller subsurface peak was also present at 50 m (Fig. 5A). Conversely, chromo rbcL mRNA displayed maximal values deep in the water column (80 m) coincident with the SCM. Synechococcus were maximal in surface waters. Prochlorococcus at mid-depth (50 m), and picoeucaryotes deep in the euphotic zone at 80 m (Fig. 5C, D). Both cyanobacteria and chromo rbcL DNA (Fig. 5B) displayed little change from surface to 115 m. The phytoplankton taxonomic composition at Stn 4B was examined using signature pigments as markers of various phytoplankton taxa (listed in Table 1). The total chl a field was partitioned into both monovinyl chlorophyll (chl a1) and divinyl chlorophyll (chl a2) as shown in Fig. 5F. Maximum concentrations of chl a1 were present at the SCM although there was also a pronounced peak at the surface. These 2 peaks are likely the result of the distribution of both Synechococcus and picoeucaryote cells. Prochlorococcus markers (chl a2 & chl b2) were most abundant at the 80 m depth (SCM) although these pigments and Prochlorococcus were readily detected throughout the water column. Zeaxanthin (cyanobacteria) was found at highest concentration at the surface and decreased slightly with depth. Pigment markers for various eucaryotic phytoplankton (accessory chlorophylls and carotenoids; Fig. 5G, H) were also present in high concentrations at the SCM. 19'-Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin (pelagophytes), 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (prymnesiophytes and fucoxanthin idiatoms) were also elevated at the SCM suggesting the presence of these classes of chromophytic phytoplankton in this assemblage. The pigments peridinin (Fig. 5H) and dinoxanthin (data not shown) were also present in the SCM, indicative of dinoflagellates, but absent in surface waters. True chlorophytes were also not abundant at this station based on the absence of lutein (data not shown). While prasinoxanthin (prasinophytae is not present in all prasinophytes) was not detected in any sample, the pigment 9'-cis-neoxanthin was present at 80 m (0.007 μg l⁻¹), which suggests that prasinophyte algae were probably responsible for the increased levels of chl b1 at 80 m. A small amount of alloxanthin (0.005 μg l⁻¹) was also detected at the SCM indicating prasinophyte algae might also contribute to the SCM community.

Fig. 6 shows the results of a similar analysis through the euphotic zone at Stn 9. Cyanobacteria rbcL mRNA was maximal at 25 m and declined with depth while green rbcL mRNA was undetectable in surface waters and reached maximum levels at the SCM and deeper (Fig. 6A). Carbon fixation rates were maximal in surface waters and declined with depth with a small subsurface peak at the SCM (70 m). As at Stn 4, Synechococcus were maximal in surface waters with a small peak at the SCM, while picoeucaryotes were most abundant at the SCM (Fig. 6D).

Prochlorococcus were present throughout the euphotic zone with maximum abundance at 50 m, a ~20-fold greater abundance than Synechococcus. Unlike any other stations, both cyanobacteria and chromo rbcL DNA varied by ~4-fold over the water column with cyanobacteria reaching maximum levels in surface waters (above 25 m) and declining with depth, and chromo rbcL DNA reaching maximum levels at 50 m and declining with depth.

HPLC pigment analysis was also performed on samples from Stn 9 for assessing the composition of the phytoplankton community. Most of the pigments had maxima coincident with the SCM. The only exception to this was the increase in zeaxanthin in the upper water column and chl b2 which reached a maximum concentration at the deepest depth sampled of 115 m (Fig. 6G). Dinoflagellates were apparently absent or at dramatically reduced concentrations than at Stn 4B, as peridinin was not detected. Again pelagophytes, prymnesiophytes, diatoms and chrysophytes were present as part of the SCM community as 19'-butanoyloxyfucoxanthin (19'-but-fuc), 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (19'-hex-fuc), and fucoxanthin (Fig. 6H) were present in higher concentrations than elsewhere in the water column. Similarly to Stn 4B, undetectable lutein and 9'-cis-neoxanthin indicated that true chlorophyte algae were not present but that prasinophytae were responsible for the chl b1 at depth. Alloxanthin was only detected at the SCM (0.007 μg l⁻¹).

Linear correlation of the profile data set yielded a significant relationship between carbon fixation and the concentration of Synechococcus (r = 0.828, p = 0.0031). Cyanobacteria rbcL mRNA levels also correlated with Synechococcus but with reduced confidence (r = 0.612, p = 0.0601). The variation in chromo rbcL mRNA was highly correlated with red-fluorescing picoeucaryote concentrations (r = 0.791, p = 0.0065). The picoeucaryote phytoplankton can be inferred to be composed of the chromophyte algae as correlations with chromophyte type pigments (fucoxanthin, 19'-hex-fuc, 19'-but-fuc, and chl c1 & c2) displayed correlation coefficients ranging from r = 0.762 to r = 0.939, all at a confidence level of p < 0.01. However, a stepwise multiple correlation of carbon fixation against all measured parameters failed to find a single variable that could completely explain the variation in carbon fixation rates.

A multiple correlation analysis of the entire data set (profiles and whole numbers from the size fraction studies) with carbon fixation as the dependent variable yielded a model in which variation in carbon fixation rates could be explained by variations in the 3 parame-
Fig. 6. Vertical profiles of cyano and chromo rbcL mRNAs, ¹⁴C carbon fixation, and other measured parameters at offshore Gulf of Mexico Stn 9 (August 1994). (A–H) As in Fig. 5
tars, chl a, cyano rbcL mRNA, and chromo rbcL mRNA. Cyano rbcL mRNA was most dependent on the concentration of Synechococcus \((r = 0.903, p = 0.0027)\) and chromo rbcL mRNA correlated most with red-fluorescent cells \((r = 0.902, p = 0.0069)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Marine phytoplankton communities are made up of organisms of various size classes and various taxa. Proceeding from coastal/estuarine environments to the open ocean, the relative abundance of specific phytoplankton taxa changes from larger eucaryotic cells such as diatoms to small procaryotes such as Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus. Using 2 different rbcL gene probes we have found that in coastal/estuarine surface waters both chlorophytic/cyanobacterial and chromophytic gene expression were associated with larger phytoplankton \((>5 \mu m \text{ in size})\). In offshore surface waters cyano rbcL expression was found primarily in cells \(<1 \mu m \text{ in size and occasionally in the 1–5 \mu m fraction. This trend is generally reflected in other autotrophic parameters such as \(^{14}C\text{ carbon fixation and chl a. The relatively low amount of chromo rbcL probe hybridization in size fractionation studies of open ocean surface waters may be a reflection of the vertical structure of the euphotic zone phytoplankton communities. In offshore environments chromophyte algae were at greater abundance deep in the euphotic zone as demonstrated by HPLC pigment signatures as well as chromo rbcL probe hybridization patterns. Therefore, while the chromo probe detected a small amount of rbcL mRNA in surface waters, it appears that it is more aptly suited to studying such populations in coastal/estuarine surface water phytoplankton communities or offshore communities associated with the SCM. In some size fractions rbcL DNA but no corresponding mRNA was detected suggesting phytoplankton containing such genes were not active or were not actively synthesizing RubisCO mRNA at the time of sampling. Diel variations in rbcL gene expression are now well documented for natural populations (Pichard et al. 1993, 1996). In these studies, relatively low but constant levels of cyano rbcL DNA were found while dramatic variations in rbcL mRNA were observed. In other size fractions, rbcL mRNA but not DNA was detected suggesting a high level of expression from relatively few members of the phytoplankton community.

Low but relatively constant levels of cyano and chromo rbcL DNA were observed at all profile stations. This condition makes association of cyano and chromo rbcL DNA with specific taxonomic groups of phytoplankton more difficult. This may be because DNA concentrations were often near the limit of detection by hybridization. The euphotic zone patterns in RubisCO gene expression (cyano and chromo mRNA) are more consistent with the HPLC pigment signatures for the various taxonomic groups than the DNA data, and are indicative of active metabolism by these groups.

Correlation analysis of the data showed that carbon fixation rates in the various size fractions were highly correlated with cyano rbcL mRNA and less so with chromo mRNA. In euphotic zone profiles carbon fixation was also correlated with Synechococcus abundance. Synechococcus abundance also seemed to determine the mid-morning water column levels of cyano rbcL mRNA. Diel studies of surface water communities dominated by Synechococcus show that this was the time of day that the community exhibits the greatest cyano rbcL mRNA abundance (Pichard et al. 1996). On the other hand, chromo rbcL mRNA was more predominant deeper in the water column and highly correlated to red-fluorescing picoeucaryote abundance. Examination of the combined data by multiple correlation showed that variation in the rate of carbon fixation can be attributed to variations in both cyano and chromo mRNA, as well as to chl a biomass.

Numerous studies have demonstrated dramatic differences in taxonomic composition between surface communities and those deep in the euphotic zone (cited in Venrick 1993). The transition between a shallow and deep water phytoplankton assemblage can be very sharp (Venrick 1982), resulting in a pronounced difference in surface and deep water community taxonomic composition. Recently, fine scale structural differences in the phytoplankton community have been demonstrated in the tropical/subtropical SCM (McManus & Dawson 1994). The genera Synechococcus and Prochlorococcus are just now being recognized as extremely heterogenous groups that exhibit high genetic variation (Wood & Townsend 1990, Palenik & Haselkorn 1992, Palenik 1994, Scanlan et al. 1996). Oligonucleotide rRNA targeted probes are currently under development for use in identifying various phytoplankton taxa and could be used for resolving fine scale differences in the phytoplankton taxonomic composition (Simon et al. 1995).

In this study, HPLC pigment analysis of divinyl chlorophyll pigments suggested that Prochlorococcus exhibit their maximum abundance near the SCM; Prochlorococcus were detected throughout the euphotic zone water column with maximum counts found at 50 m (~20-fold greater than Synechococcus). The divinyl chlorophyll pigments are known to vary on a cell basis from 0.09 fg cell\(^{-1}\) for surface populations to 1.45 fg cell\(^{-1}\) for SCM populations (McManus & Dawson 1994) and recent studies indicate that there are at least 2 separate large groupings of Prochlorococcus.
based on chl a2/chl b2 ratios and 16S rRNA sequences (Moore et al. 1996). Similarly, different types of Prochlorococcus have been detected based on allelic variation of petB/D (photosynthetic chromosomes) in depth profiles from oligotrophic marine water columns (Urbach 1995). Data also suggests that there are at least physiologically (possibly a genetic basis) distinct populations of Prochlorococcus residing at different depths throughout the water column (Campbell & Vaulot 1994) as well as differences in the chl a2/chl b2 ratios between Mediterranean, North Atlantic, and Sargasso Sea isolates of Prochlorococcus (Partensky et al. 1993, Moore et al. 1995). The Sargasso Sea isolate appears to be able to adjust this chlorophyll ratio under varying light regimes while the Mediterranean and North Atlantic isolates appear to have fixed ratios indicative of some difference between isolates, an observation that seems supported by the 70 to 75% genetic similarities between strains (Scanlan et al. 1996). While we have shown several Prochlorococcus strains to hybridize well with the cyano rbcL gene probe, other Prochlorococcus strains have been shown to contain a divergent rbcL sequence that is more closely related to those of chemoautotrophic gamma proteobacteria (Shimada et al. 1995) and to another apparently divergent sequence from Synechococcus WH7803 (Watson & Tabita unpubl). Whether this variation between surface and deep water Prochlorococcus populations is reflected in the rbcl gene sequence is not known.

Not all phytoplankton taxa can be expected to be detected by the broad-specificity probes used in this study. Recent evidence on RubisCO in peridinin containing dinoflagellates suggests that rbcL expression in this group should not be detected using either of these probes since dinoflagellates have now been determined to contain an evolutionarily diverse form II (type II) RubisCO (Morse et al. 1995). Whether this is true for all dinoflagellates is not known. For example, some dinoflagellates contain fucoxanthin in place of peridinin (Andersen et al. 1996), and these have not yet been examined for the form of RubisCO they contain.

While the genetic probes employed enabled discrimination between evolutionary lineages of RubisCO, their use does not allow resolution of differences in expression between algae within a lineage. Similar problems are encountered when interpreting phytoplankton pigment signatures where different taxa contain the same pigment (Wright et al. 1991, Millie et al. 1993). The full spectrum of specificity of these probes remains unknown as the probes have not been tested against all phytoplankton taxa, marine chemoautotrophic, or photosynthetic bacteria. Nonetheless, a number of observations can be made based on the data presented: (1) Coastal environments, such as estuarine outwals, continental shelves, mangrove-fringed lagoons and embayments, and surface water communities show the highest levels of cyano rbcL mRNA. The cyano mRNA is mainly associated with the larger size class (>5 μm) in coastal environments and with the smaller size class (<1 μm) in offshore environments. (2) Coastal environments and deep euphotic zone communities (SCM) have the highest levels of chromo rbcL mRNA consistent with their relative phytoplankton taxonomic composition based on HPLC pigment analysis. For coastal environments the chromo mRNA is associated with cells >5 μm while in the deep euphotic zone cells are mainly eucaryotic picoplankton. (3) At offshore oligotrophic environments (Stns 4B and 9) the phytoplankton community was well stratified with peak abundances of different taxa appearing at various depths throughout the euphotic zone. Synechococcus were most abundant in surface waters, Prochlorococcus were most abundant in mid-waters, and picoeucaryotes were present in greatest abundance in the deeper layers of the euphotic zone (SCM). (4) At offshore stations the maximum levels of cyano rbcL mRNA were coincident with the peak abundance of Synechococcus while maximum levels of chromo rbcL mRNA are found deep in the euphotic zone associated with the SCM community. In summary, we have demonstrated differences in RubisCO gene expression in marine surface water and water column phytoplankton communities in order to understand the spatial dynamics of RubisCO gene expression and its relationship to water column carbon assimilation. Such use of molecular probes is a first approach to elucidating marine phytoplankton groups are actively transcribing their Calvin cycle genes and thereby contributing to the spatial patterns of water column carbon fixation.
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